220.0550 **Possessory Interests.** When taxable property is purchased by a tax exempt governmental agency pre-existing leases become taxable as possessory interests, assuming that they satisfy the requirements of Property Tax rule 21. Any renewal, extension, sublease or assignment of such a possessory interest is a change in ownership, regardless of the term of possession remaining under the lease; whereas transfers of leasehold interests in taxable property constitute changes in ownership depending on the terms of possession remaining as provided in Revenue and Taxation Code section 61(c)(1) and (2). C 8/9/91.



## STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

1020 N STREET, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA (P.O. BOX 942879, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 94279-0001)

(916) 324-6594

WILLIAM M. BENNETT
First District, Kentfield
BRAD SHERMAN
Second District, Los Angeles
ERNEST J. DRONENBURG, JR.
Third District, San Diego
MATTHEW K. FONG
Fourth District, Los Angeles
GRAY DAVIS
Controller, Sacramento

August 9, 1991

CINDY RAMBO Executive Director

Madera County Government Center 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

Re: Proper Treatment of Leased Property Upon Change of Ownership

Dear

This is in response to your letter to me of May 30, 1991 in which you ask whether the opinion expressed in our letter of September 4, 1985 to the Madera County Counsel has changed in view of the following events which have occurred since that time.

Since 1985 an Assessment District was formed and a substantial amount of funds raised through a bond issue by that District. Those funds were used to purchase the master leases from the two private companies who previously held them. The County of Madera is now the holder of those master leases. The funds were also used to purchase lease extensions for all of the sublessees so that at this time each sublease well expire at the same time the master lease expires. The master lease will expire in the year 2013. As part of this transaction, each sub-lessee acquired a right of first refusal to purchase his or her property.

The question remains whether (and if so, how) a change of sublessee can be treated as a change in ownership of the improvements located on the leased property.

In our letter of September 4, 1985 we concluded that neither the sublessee nor PG&E (the lessor) was the owner of the improvements on the leased land. The improvements, therefore, necessarily must have been owned by the two lessees subject to estates for years held by the sublessees. Thus, when Madera County purchased the master leases from the two private

companies that previously held them, Madera County became the owner of the improvements subject to estates for years held by the sublessees.

These improvements, therefore, would be exempt from taxation pursuant to Article XIII, section 3(b) of the California Constitution. The acquisition of the fee interest in these improvements by Madera County, however, results in the creation of taxable possessory interest in the improvements pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code section 107 and Property Tax Rule 21 subdivisions (a) and (b). See also Board Letter to Assessors No. 83/103 dated January 6, 1983 (copy attached) for a discussion of the creation of taxable possessory interests where leased property is purchased for investment purposes by a public retirement system.

Revenue and Taxation Code section 61(b) provides that subject to exceptions not here present, the creation, renewal, sublease or assignment of a taxable possessory interest in tax exempt real property for any term is a change in ownership. See also Property Tax Rule 462(e).

Accordingly, a change of sublessee (presumably by assignment) would constitute a change in ownership of the sublessee's possessory interest in the improvements under section 61(b) and Property Tax Rule 462(e).

Our intention is to provide timely, courteous and helpful responses to inquiries such as yours. Suggestions that help us to accomplish this goal are appreciated.

Very truly yours,

Ein F. Ensembauer

Eric F. Eisenlauer Tax Counsel

EFE:ta 3461D Enclosure

cc: Mr. John W. Hagerty
Mr. Verne Walton