

220.0111 **Corporate Stock Transfers.** Generally, transfers of corporate stock do not trigger a change in ownership of a corporation's property. There are two exceptions, i.e., (1) if a single owner obtains direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 50 percent of the corporate voting stock; e.g., if Mr. Jones purchases 40 percent of corporation X stock and is already majority owner of Y corporation which, in turn, owns 20 percent of X corporation stock; ~~and or~~ (2) if owners transfer property to a corporation but the transfer is excluded from "change in ownership" as a change in the method of holding title, then the owners become "original co-owners" under Revenue and Taxation Code section 64(d), and subsequent transfers by any of them in one or more transfers that result in a transfer of more than 50 percent of the total interests in the corporation constitute a change in ownership. C 8/11/86; C 1/22/99. (Am. 2000-1).



STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

1020 N STREET, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

(P.O. BOX 1799, SACRAMENTO, CA 95808)

(916) 324-6594

WILLIAM M. BENNETT
First District, Kentfield

CONWAY H. COLLIS
Second District, Los Angeles

ERNEST J. DRONENBURG
Third District, San Diego

RICHARD NEVINS
Fourth District, Pasadena

KENNETH CORY
Controller, Sacramento

DOUGLAS D. BELL
Executive Secretary

August 11, 1986

Dear Mr. [redacted]:

Re: Reassessment of Real Estate Assets Following
Purchase of Stock in the Corporate Owner

In your letter to Mr. Richard Ochsner dated August 1, 1986, you ask that we issue a letter opinion on whether reassessment of corporate real property is required when a majority interest in a corporation is acquired by more than one individual or entity, none of which has more than 50 percent of the voting stock. You indicate that you have received conflicting opinions from county assessors on this question.

Revenue and Taxation Code section 64(a) (all statutory references are to the Revenue and Taxation Code unless otherwise indicated) provides in relevant part:

Except as provided in ... subdivisions (c) and (d) of this section, the purchase or transfer of ownership interests in legal entities, such as corporate stock or partnership interests, shall not be deemed to constitute a transfer of the real property of the legal entity.

Section 64(c) provides in pertinent part:

(c) When a corporation, partnership, other legal entity or any other person obtains control, as defined in Section 25105, in any corporation, ... through the purchase or transfer of corporate stock, ... such purchase or transfer of such stock ... shall be a change of ownership of property owned by the corporation ... in which the controlling interest is obtained.

August 11, 1986

Section 25105 defines ownership or control as:

Direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 50 percent of the voting stock of the taxpayer shall constitute ownership or control for the purpose of this article.

Section 64(d) provides in appropriate part:

(d) If property is transferred on or after March 1, 1975, to a legal entity in a transaction excluded from change in ownership by paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 62, then the persons holding ownership interests in such legal entity immediately after the transfer shall be considered the "original coowners." Whenever shares or other ownership interests representing cumulatively more than 50 percent of the total interests in the entity are transferred by any of the original coowners in one or more transactions, a change in ownership of that real property owned by the legal entity shall have occurred, and the property which was previously excluded from change in ownership under the provisions of paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 62 shall be reappraised.

The foregoing statutory provisions have been interpreted by Property Tax Rule 462(j) in relevant part as follows:

(3) Transfers of ownership interests in legal entities. Except as is otherwise provided in subdivision (4), the purchase or transfer of corporate stock, ... is not a change in ownership of the real property of the legal entity.

(4) Exceptions:

(A) When any corporation, partnership, other legal entity or any person:

(i) obtains direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 50 percent of the voting stock in any corporation which is not a member of the same affiliated group of corporations as described in (2)(A) ...

Upon the acquisition of such direct or indirect ownership or control, all of the property owned directly or indirectly by the acquired legal entity is deemed to have undergone a change in ownership.

August 11, 1986

(B) When real property transferred to a corporation ... is excluded from a change in ownership pursuant to (2)(B) and the "original co-owners" subsequently transfer in one or more transactions, more than 50 percent of the total control or ownership interests in the entity as defined in (4)(A). For purposes of determining whether more than 50 percent of the total control or ownership interests in the entity has been transferred, transfers of such interests by the "original co-owners" shall be cumulated beginning with the time of the first ownership interest transfer.

With respect to section 64(c) and Property Tax Rule 462(j)(4)(A), it has been and continues to be our position that a change in ownership of corporate real property under those provisions requires that one person or entity obtain more than 50 percent of the voting stock of the corporation without attribution of stock ownership. In our view, there is no legal basis for attribution of stock ownership. See enclosed Letter to County Assessors Only 83/17 dated July 15, 1983 (no attribution between spouses where 100 percent of ownership interests held by spouses as joint tenants); Letter to County Assessors 85/33 dated March 5, 1985 (same treatment where ownership interests held by spouses as community property); and Letter to County Assessors 80/39 dated March 7, 1980 (no attribution where two individuals acquire 15 percent and 40 percent of the voting stock of a corporation respectively). As you may know, several bills which would have added attribution rules to the change in ownership provisions have been introduced over the years and they have all failed passage.

There is, however, what might be termed an exception to the rule of no attribution which is based on section 25105 and Property Tax Rule 462(j)(4)(A)(i) set forth above. We have taken the position pursuant to those provisions that the voting stock owned by a corporation may be attributed to the individual or entity owning a controlling interest in the corporation for purposes of section 64(c) and Property Tax Rule 462(j)(4)(A) (see enclosed Letter to County Assessors 79/191 dated October 26, 1979). Thus, if 40 percent of the voting stock of X corporation is transferred to individual A and 15 percent of the voting stock of X corporation is transferred to A corporation and individual A owns more than 50 percent of the voting stock of A corporation, the X stock acquired by A corporation would be treated as indirectly owned by individual A for purposes of section 64(c) and Property Tax Rule 462(j)(4)(A). Since individual A acquired more than 50 percent ownership (40 percent directly and 15 percent indirectly) of the voting stock of X corporation, a change in ownership of the real property owned by corporation X occurred.

August 11, 1986

Also, under the facts specified in your letter there would be a change in ownership of the corporate real property under section 64(d) and Property Tax Rule 462(j)(4)(B) if the transferors of the stock in question were "original coowners" as defined by section 64(d).

The views expressed in this letter are, of course, advisory only and are not binding upon the assessor of any county. You may wish to consult the appropriate assessor in order to confirm that the described property will be assessed in a manner consistent with the conclusion stated above.

Very truly yours,



Eric F. Eisenlauer
Tax Counsel

EFE:cb

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Gordon P. Adelman
Mr. Robert H. Gustafson
Mr. Verne Walton

STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

450 N STREET, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

(PO BOX 942879, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 94279-0082)

TELEPHONE (916) 323-7715

FAX (916) 323-3387

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1999

DEPT. OF REVENUE
PROPERTY TAXES

January 22, 1999

JOHAN KLEHS
First District, HaywardDEAN F. ANDAL
Second District, StocktonCLAUDE PARRISH
Third District, TorranceJOHN CHIANG
Fourth District, Los AngelesKATHLEEN CONNELL
Controller, SacramentoE. L. SORENSEN, JR.
Executive Director

, Senior Manager

In Re: Change in Control - Direct and Indirect Ownership of Partnership Interests - Attribution.

Dear Mr. :

This is in response to your letter of August 8, 1998, in which you requested our opinion concerning the application of the change in ownership provisions under Revenue and Taxation Code Section 64 in transactions that may result in transfers of direct and indirect ownership in partnership capital and profits interests. Your hypothetical fact patterns focus on the issue of "control" of a partnership where some of the partners in the partnership are other partnerships or corporations. Also at issue in each fact pattern is whether there is a basis for the attribution of stock ownership or partnership capital and profits interests to an individual or entity. We apologize for the time delay in responding to your request; unfortunately prior commitments took longer to complete than originally anticipated.

In setting forth the answers to your questions, it is important to note the statutory basis for a "change in control" under Section 64 (c) and its regulatory implementation under Property Tax Rule 462.180(d). The reappraisal of real property owned by a partnership, corporation, or other legal entity occurs under Section 64(c) when any person or entity obtains "control," through direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 50 percent of the voting stock of any corporation, or obtains a majority interest in any partnership, limited liability company or other legal entity through the purchase or transfer of corporate stock, partnership, or ownership interests in other legal entities.

"Control" is further defined and applied to corporations and partnerships specifically in Rule 462.180(c) and (d), which states in part:

(c) Except as is otherwise provided in subdivision (d), the purchase or transfer of corporate stock, partnership shares, or ownership interests in other legal entities is not a change in ownership of the real property of the legal entity.

(d) Exceptions:

(1) When any corporation, partnership, other legal entity or any person:

(A) obtains direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 50 percent of the voting stock in any corporation which is not a member of the same affiliated group of corporations as described in (b)(1), or

(B) obtains direct or indirect ownership of more than 50 percent of the total interest in both partnership capital and profits, _____

* * *

Upon the acquisition of such direct or indirect ownership or control, all of the property owned directly or indirectly by the acquired legal entity is deemed to have undergone a change in ownership.

Under the foregoing, it has consistently been our position that the definition of "control" provides a basis for attribution of stock or partnership capital and profits interests only when one individual or entity acquires a controlling interest in a corporation, partnership, or other legal entity for purposes of Section 64(c). (See Annotation No. 220.0111, enclosed.) Therefore, to attribute to an individual or entity, indirect ownership of property which is directly owned by a general or limited partnership requires ownership by that individual or entity of more than 50 percent of the capital and profits interests in the partnership. (See Annotation Nos. 220.0525 and 220.0501, enclosed.) The fact patterns you have submitted for purposes of our analysis illustrate the application of this principle of property tax law and are addressed accordingly.

Fact Pattern A

P1 is a partnership which owns real property in California. P1 is owned 40 percent by A, an individual, 50 percent by P2, a partnership, and 10 percent by C1, a corporation.

1. Is there a change in control of P1 resulting in a change in ownership of the real property owned by P1, if A acquires 50 percent of the capital and profits of P2?

Answer: No.

There is a change in ownership of the real property owned by P1 only if A obtains direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 50 percent of the total interests in both the partnership capital and profits in P1. Under the facts posited in this question, A *directly* owns only 40 percent of P1 and acquires a 50 percent capital and profits interest in P2. Upon such acquisition, A would not own a controlling interest in P2, and therefore, *indirectly* owns no interest in P1 through his 50 percent capital and profits interest in P2. No change in control of P1 would occur. In order for A

to acquire an *indirect* interest in P1 attributed to him through P2, he must own more than a 50 percent *direct* interest in P2.

2. Is there a change in control of P1 resulting in a change in ownership of its real property, if A acquires 51 percent of the capital and profits of P2?

Answer: Yes.

As discussed in regard to Section 64 (c) and Rule 462.180 (d)(1)(B) above, the capital and profits interests in a partnership may be attributed to the individual or entity owning a controlling interest in that partnership for purposes of determining a change in control. In applying this principle, if A obtains more than a 50 percent interest in P2, resulting in A acquiring "control" of P2, then A's (51 percent) direct interest in P2 would be attributed to him for purposes of determining a change in ownership in P1. Thus, when A acquires 51 percent of the capital and profits interests in P2, there is a change in control of P2. The result is that the 50 percent capital and profits interest which P2 owns in P1 would then be attributed to A. Since A already owns 40 percent of P1 directly, and A's acquisition of control of P2 is regarded as an indirect transfer to him of P2's entire 50 percent in P1, A would own 90 percent of the total capital and profits interests in P1. The result is a change in control of P1 under Section 64 (c). In calculating the 90 percent, A's *direct* 40 percent interest in P1 is added together with A's *indirect* 50 percent interest in P1 (attributed through A's "control" of P2). Upon the change in control of P1, all of the real property held by P1 would be subject to reappraisal.

3. Is there a change in control of P1 resulting in a change in ownership of its real property, if C2, a corporation, acquires 51 percent of the capital and profits interests of P2 and 51 percent of the voting stock of C1?

Answer: Yes.

Per the narrative explanation stated above, the capital and profits interests in a partnership may be attributed to the individual or entity owning a controlling interest in that partnership for purposes of Section 64 (c) and Rule 462.180 (d)(1)(B). If, an unrelated corporation, C2, acquires 51 percent of the capital and profits interests in P2, there is a change in control of P2. The result is that the 50 percent capital and profits interest which P2 owns in P1 would then be attributed to C2. Likewise, if C2 acquires 51 percent of the voting stock of C1, there is a change in control of C1. The result is that the 10 percent capital and profits interest which C1 owns in P1 would be attributed to C2. Therefore, following its acquisition of control of C1, C2 would have acquired control of P1. That is, since C2 acquired 50 percent of P1 indirectly through its control of P2 in the first step, and 10 percent of P1 indirectly through its control of C1 in the second step, C2 has *indirectly* obtained ownership of 60 percent of the capital and profits interests in P1. The result is a change in control of P1 under Section 64 (c). Upon the change in control of P1, all of the real property held by P1 would be subject to reappraisal.

Fact Pattern B

C1, a corporation, owns a 50 percent general partnership interest in the capital and profits of P1, as does C2, also a corporation. P1 owns real property in California.

1. Is there a change in control of P1 resulting in a change in ownership of the real property owned by P1, if C3, another corporation, acquires 51 percent of the voting stock of both C1 and C2?

Answer: Yes.

As set forth above, capital and profits interests in a partnership may be attributed to an entity owning a controlling interest in that partnership. If, under Fact Pattern B, an unrelated corporation, C3, acquires 51 percent of the voting stock of C1 and 51 percent of the voting stock of C2, there is a change in control of both C1 and C2. The result is that the 50 percent capital and profits interest in P1 owned by C1, and the 50 percent capital and profits interest in P1 owned by C2 would be attributed to C3. Therefore, following its acquisition of a controlling interest in the voting stock of C1 and C2, C3 would have acquired total control of P1 under Section 64 (c). In effect, C3 has indirectly obtained ownership and control of 100 percent of the capital and profits interests in P1, and all of P1's real its property would be subject to reappraisal.

2. Is there a change in control of P1 resulting in a change in ownership of the real property owned by P1, if C3 acquires 100 percent of the voting stock of both C1 and C2?

Answer: Yes.

The reason is the same as in the narrative above under the first question to Fact Pattern B, except that C3 will own 100 percent, rather than 51 percent, of the voting stock of both C1 and C2.

Fact Pattern C

P1, a general partnership which owns California real property, owns a 51 percent general partner interest in the capital and profits of P2, a general partnership which owns California real property, and P2 owns 51 percent general partner interest in the capital and profits of P3, a general partnership which owns California real property.

1. Is there a change in control of either P1, P2, and/or P3 resulting in a change in ownership and reappraisal of their respective real properties, if C1, a corporation, acquires 51 percent of the capital and profits of P1?

Answer: Yes.

As explained previously, the capital and profits interests in a partnership may be attributed to the entity owning a controlling interest in that partnership for purposes of Section 64 (c) and Rule 462.180 (d)(1)(B). If, under Fact Pattern C, C1 acquires 51 percent of the capital and profits interests in P1, there is a change in control of P1 resulting in a change in ownership of the real property owned by P1. A further result is that the 51 percent capital and profits interest which P1 owns in P2 would then be attributed to C1. This in turn, triggers the attribution of the 51 percent capital and profits interest which P2 owns in P3 to C1. Because of the attribution of interests in P1, P2 and P3 to C1, C1 would have acquired ownership and control of each partnership under Section 64 (c). In effect, C1 has acquired 51 percent of P1 directly and 51 percent of both P2 and P3 *indirectly* through its control of P1 in the first step. The result is a change in control of P1, P2, and P3, triggering reappraisal of all of the real property held by each.

2. Is there a change in control of either P1, P2, and/or P3 resulting in a change in ownership and reappraisal of their respective real properties, if S1 and S2, which are 100 percent subsidiaries of C1, each acquire 50 percent of the capital and profits of P1?

Answer: Yes.

The reason is the same as in the narrative above under the first question to Fact Pattern C, except that S1 and S2 will acquire 100 percent of the capital and profits interests in P1, which interests will be attributed to C1, since they are wholly owned subsidiaries of C1.

The views expressed in this letter are only advisory in nature. They represent the analysis of the legal staff of the Board based on the present law and facts set forth herein. Therefore, they are not binding on any person or entity.

Sincerely,



Kristine Cazadd
Senior Tax Counsel

KEC:lg

Property/prtnship/99/02kec.doc

Attachments: Annotation Nos. 220.0501, 220.0111, and 220.0525

cc: Honorable John N. Scott
Alameda County Assessor
Mr. Richard Karlsson, Assistant Alameda County Counsel

Mr. Richard Johnson, MIC:63

Mr. David Gau, MIC:64

Ms. Jennifer Willis, MIC:70