1	
2	
3	
4	
5	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
6	450 N STREET
7	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
8	STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
9	
10	
11	
12	OCTOBER 18TH, 2022
13	CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
14	BOARD MEETING
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY: Jillian M. Sumner
25	CSR NO. 13619

1		APPEARANCES
2	For the Board of	
3	Equalization:	Honorable Malia M. Cohen Chair
4		Honorable Mike Schaefer Vice Chair
5		Honorable Ted Gaines
6		First District
7		Honorable Antonio Vazquez Third District
8		Anthony Epolite
9		Appearing for Betty T. Yee, State Controller
10		(per Government Code Section 7.9)
11	For the Board of Equalization Staff:	
12		Yvette Stowers Executive Director
13		
14		Henry Nanjo Chief Counsel
15		Legal Department
16		Julia Himovitz Tax Counsel
17		Legal Department
18		Richard Moon Tax Counsel
19		Legal Department
20		Lisa Renati Chief Deputy Director
21		David Yeung
22		Deputy Director Property Tax Department
23		Laurel Williams Technical Advisor
24		Special Taxes
25		

1			APPEARANCES CONTINUED
2			Lisa Thompson Chief
3			Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office
4			Patricia Lumsden
5			Chief County-Assessed Properties Division
6			Jack McCool
7			Chief State-Assessed Properties Division
8			Sonia Yim
9			Appeals Attorney
10			Dustin Weatherby Chief Legislative, Research and Statistics
11			Division
12			Peter Kim Chief Communications Officer
13			Communications Department
14 15			Mary Cichetti Clerk Deard Dressedings Division
	Public	Speakers:	Board Proceedings Division
16			Ernest Dronenburg Jr. San Diego County
17			Assessor-Recorder-Clerk
18	Public	Comment:	Cathy Brusseau
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1		INDEX	
2			PAGE NO
3	Item D2		11
4	Motion		14
5	Item I1		15
6	Item J1		34
7	Motion		35
8	Item J2		36
9	Motion		45
10	Item Kla		47
11	Item K1b		62
12	Item K1c		65
13	Item Kld		67
14	Item Kle		76
15	Item K2a		80
16	Item K3a		86
17	Item K4a		100
18	Item K5a		117
19	Item K6a		138
20	Item Ml		153
21	Item N		155
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
2	450 N STREET, SACRAMENTO
3	OCTOBER 18, 2022
4	000
5	MS. COHEN: Good morning, ladies and
6	gentlemen. We are ready to call this Board Meeting to
7	order.
8	Ms. Cichetti, would you do me the honor and
9	please call the roll.
10	MS. CICHETTI: Will do.
11	MS. COHEN: Thank you.
12	MS. CICHETTI: Good morning, everyone.
13	Chair Cohen.
14	MS. COHEN: Good morning.
15	Present.
16	MS. CICHETTI: Vice Chair Schaefer.
17	MR. SCHAEFER: Present.
18	MS. CICHETTI: Member Gaines.
19	MR. GAINES: Present.
20	MS. CICHETTI: Member Vazquez.
21	MR. VAZQUEZ: Present.
22	MS. CICHETTI: Deputy Controller Epolite.
23	MR. EPOLITE: Present.
24	MS. COHEN: Thank you very much.
25	A quorum is present, and this Board Meeting is

1 now called to order.

2 We'll start with the Pledge of Allegiance. 3 Please join me by standing and placing your right hand over your heart, and repeat after me. 4 5 (Whereupon the Pledge of Allegiance was 6 recited.) 7 MS. COHEN: All right. Good morning. 8 I just want to welcome everyone to today's 9 Board Meeting. 10 Let me check in with my colleagues to see if 11 there's any opening remarks. 12 Mr. Gaines. Yes. 13 MR. GAINES: Good morning. How are you? 14 MS. COHEN: Good morning. 15 MR. GAINES: Wonderful. 16 Thank you for this opportunity to make a couple comments. They're sobering in nature. But I 17 18 feel like they need to be expressed. And I'm here to 19 offer my condolences regarding two tragic events that 20 unfolded in my district. 21 In Merced a family, including an 22 eight-year-old baby girl. 23 MS. COHEN: Eight month old. 2.4 MR. GAINES: Eight month old. 25 Thank you. Yeah.

1 Were senselessly kidnapped and murdered. 2 The suspect is a former employee who worked for them at their family trucking business, and has been 3 apprehended. 4 5 It breaks my heart that these were immigrants 6 to America. They worked tirelessly for 18 years to 7 achieve safety, security and community. And I pray for 8 their family during this devastating time. 9 I also want to acknowledge the victims of a 10 serial killer in Stockton who has now been arrested. 11 His killing spree included six men and wounding a woman. 12 And my heart and prayers are with the family who has 13 been left behind. 14 Thank you. 15 MS. COHEN: Thank you very much. 16 It's a privilege to be able to honor their 17 memory with you today. 18 Mr. Schaefer. 19 MR. GAINES: Thank you. 20 MR. SCHAEFER: Thank you, Madam Chair. 21 I find it sad that there's so much violence in 22 the world to comment on. But I want to share with you 23 my great concern over the journalist in Las Vegas, Nevada who was brutally slain a few weeks ago, 24 25 Jeff German.

1 I spent 20 years in Nevada before returning 2 home to San Diego about five years ago. And I knew him. Not real well. But I was in campaigns, and we were on a 3 first-name basis. 4 5 I was at a seminar at San Diego State 6 University on Saturday where Steve, the politics editor 7 for that newspaper, gave a nice forum, and spoke in his 8 memory of his dear friend, and on the violence that so 9 many journalists suffer. We've had 15 journalists, I 10 think, in Mexico alone slain this year. 11 So consistent with my colleagues recitation, 12 there's just so many horrible things going on in the 13 world. And I'm just hoping that, you know, society can 14 only go up from here. 15 Thank you. 16 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 17 To my right any announcements? 18 Mr. Epolite. 19 MR. EPOLITE: Yes. 20 Good morning, Chair Cohen and Members. 21 I have some prepared remarks on behalf of the Controller. But I will hold them off until the 22 23 Executive Director's Report. 24 MS. COHEN: Okay. 25 MR. EPOLITE: Thank you.

1 MS. COHEN: Opening remarks, Mr. Vazquez. 2 MR. VAZQUEZ: I would just like to add my 3 condolences. You know, I've been listening to all these 4 5 things that have been going up and down the state. And 6 I know here, specifically in Member Gaines' District, 7 this was a real tragedy when I heard about it. 8 Especially when you're talking about young kids. 9 MS. COHEN: All right. Thank you. 10 Ms. Cichetti, could you please announce our first order of business. 11 12 MS. CICHETTI: I have a couple of 13 announcements. 14 Good morning, everyone. 15 We are having issues with the 16 closed-captioner. We ask anyone who needs that service 17 to please use the YouTube service for the 18 closed-captioning at this time. 19 When the captioning is reinstated, then you will see that automatically pop up, and you'll be able 20 21 to use the closed-captioning that we offer. 22 All right. Good morning, Madam Chair and 23 Members. The Board Meeting Information Announcement is as follows: 2.4 25 First, I would like to remind the audience to

1 silence your cell phones and any other wireless devices. 2 The COVID-19 guidelines for the Board of 3 Equalization strongly encourage, but no longer mandatory, that all BOE employees wear a mask while 4 5 inside a BOE facility, or while attending a BOE event. If needed, supplies of masks and hand 6 7 sanitizer are available to all and can be found in the 8 back of the auditorium.

9 The public is invited to comment during 10 matters before the Board. If there are any members of 11 the public wishing to speak before the Board on an 12 agenda item in person, we ask that you complete and 13 submit to the Sergeant of Arms a "public comment 14 appearance sheet" located at the entrance of the 15 auditorium.

16 If you wish to speak before the Board by 17 telephone, please dial the phone number and access code 18 provided on our Public Agenda Notice, and follow the 19 instructions of the AT&T moderator.

If you intend to make a public comment today using the AT&T moderator, we recommend dialing into the meeting on the teleconference line prior to the beginning of the agenda item, if you wish to make a comment.

We recommend this as the audio broadcast on

25

1 our website service provides a one-to-three minute delay 2 between the live stream and the live event. 3 When giving a public comment, please limit your remarks to three minutes. 4 5 The order that the Board identifies public 6 comments at the conclusion of an agenda item is as 7 follows: 8 I will first identify any public comment 9 requests that have been received in the Board 10 Proceedings auditorium. 11 Then, we will identify any comments with the 12 AT&T moderator. 13 Then, we will read into the record any public 14 comments received in writing in advance of this meeting 15 today. 16 This concludes the informational announcement. 17 Thank you. 18 MS. COHEN: Thank you very much. 19 Please call our fist agenda item. 20 21 ITEM D2 22 2.3 MS. CICHETTI: The first agenda item is D2, 24 Tax Program Nonappearance Matters - Consent: Property 25 Tax Matters.

1 This item will be taken up in one vote. 2 Petition for Unitary Escaped Land Assessments: 3 A) Southern California Edison Company (0148). Petitions for reassessment of unitary value: 4 5 B) Pacific Bell Telephone Company (0279); C) Torrance Valley Pipeline Company 0409; 6 7 D) Sprint Spectrum LP (2720). 8 Petitions for Penalty Abatement on Unitary/ 9 Nonunitary Value: 10 E) Foresthill Telephone Co. (0235); 11 F) Kerman Telephone Co (0246); 12 G) Blythe Energy, Inc. (1136); 13 H) Purple Communications, Inc. (7999). 14 This is a constitutional function. 15 Contribution Disclosure forms are not required pursuant 16 to Government Code 15626; therefore, the Deputy Controller may not participate in this matter 17 under Government Code 7.9. 18 19 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 20 MS. CICHETTI: This matter will be presented 21 by Mr. McCool. 22 MR. McCOOL: Thank you. 2.3 Good morning, Chair Cohen and Honorable Members of the Board. 24 25 My name is Jack McCool, Chief of the

1 State-Assessed Properties Division.

2 Before you today for your consideration and 3 adoption are eight petitions: One petition for abatement of penalty and 4 5 interest for unitary escaped land assessment; three petitions for reassessment of unitary value; and four 6 7 petitions for penalty abatement on unitary value. 8 For all of these matters, staff and 9 petitioners are in agreement on the recommendations, and 10 I ask for your adoption. 11 Thank you. 12 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 13 Mr. Schaefer. 14 MR. SCHAEFER: Madam Chair, I -- I read 15 through all of the -- I read through all of the material on all of these matters, and I'm very impressed with how 16 17 our office has handled it. That we've respected 18 reasonable cause whenever it's existed, and treated the 19 applicants with great respect, and listened to their 20 views. And I want to commend your office on the 21 handling of these items. 22 MR. McCOOL: Thank you very much. 23 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 24 Colleagues, do we have any other questions or 25 comments? No?

Is there a motion on this item? 1 MR. VAZQUEZ: So moved. 2 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 3 Motion made by Mr. Vazquez. 4 5 Is there a second? MR. SCHAEFER: Second. 6 7 MS. COHEN: Second by Mr. Schaefer. 8 Let's call the roll. 9 MS. CICHETTI: The motion is to adopt staff 10 recommendation. 11 Before we take the vote, I'm going go out to 12 the AT&T moderator to see if we have any public comments 13 on this item. 14 AT&T moderator, can you let us know if there 15 is anyone who would like to make a public comment on this matter? 16 17 AT&T MODERATOR: Certainly. Thank you. 18 And if so, please press one, zero at this 19 time. Again, it's one, zero. 20 And giving it a minute here, we've got nobody 21 in queue. 22 MS. COHEN: Thank you. MS. CICHETTI: All right. Then I'll call the 23 24 roll. 25 Chair Cohen.

1	MS. COHEN: Aye.
2	MS. CICHETTI: Vice Chair Schaefer.
3	MR. SCHAEFER: Aye.
4	MS. CICHETTI: Member Gaines.
5	MR. GAINES: Aye.
6	MS. CICHETTI: Member Vazquez.
7	MR. VAZQUEZ: Aye.
8	MS. CICHETTI: Deputy Controller Epolite.
9	MR. EPOLITE: Not participating.
10	MS. CICHETTI: Not participating.
11	MS. COHEN: This motion passes.
12	Thank you.
13	Ms. Cichetti, please call the next item.
14	Thank you, Mr. McCool.
15	
16	ITEM I1
17	
17 18	MS. CICHETTI: The next item is I,
	MS. CICHETTI: The next item is I, Chief Counsel Matters; I1, Other Chief Counsel Matters,
18	
18 19	Chief Counsel Matters; I1, Other Chief Counsel Matters,
18 19 20	Chief Counsel Matters; I1, Other Chief Counsel Matters, Board Governance Review: Review of the provisions of the
18 19 20 21	Chief Counsel Matters; I1, Other Chief Counsel Matters, Board Governance Review: Review of the provisions of the Board Governance Policy.
18 19 20 21 22	Chief Counsel Matters; Il, Other Chief Counsel Matters, Board Governance Review: Review of the provisions of the Board Governance Policy. This matter will be presented by Mr. Nanjo.

Counsel. And I'm here today to go over the Board
 Governance Policy with you.

As you may remember, the Board Member Strategic Plan has, as one of its provisions, that the Board will annually review its policy -- or excuse me --Board Governance Policy. And that is what this item is about.

8 With me today is Ms. Julia Himovitz. I'm 9 happy to announce Ms. Himovitz has gotten a promotion, 10 and she is now an attorney for or over governance 11 matters. So I'm going to be utilizing her skill set to 12 go over the Governance Policy.

And then we are both available to answer any questions or any items that the Board Members may have. And with that, I'll turn it over to Ms. Himovitz.

17 MS. COHEN: Congratulations.

18 MS. HIMOVITZ: Thank you.

19 Good morning, Chair Cohen.

20 Can you guys hear me? Sorry.

21 Good morning, Chair Cohen and Members of the 22 Board.

As Henry said, my name is Julia Himovitz. I'm an attorney with the Legal Department. And today I'm going to be presenting for your review the

Governance Policy, which was adopted on January 15th,
 2021.

And as you may recall, as part of your strategic plan, you agreed to review the policy annually. And as such, I will be walking you through the key provisions.

7 If there are any specific sections that you'd 8 like me to highlight as I go through, just please let us 9 know, and we can do that. Or we can save it all for the 10 end.

11 So for Section I, Purpose, discusses -- this 12 discusses the purpose of the Governance Policy, 13 specifically outlining the policies that govern the 14 Board, consistent with the constitutional and statutory 15 responsibilities, guides the Board in their processes, 16 and identifies and distinguishes between the roles of 17 the Board Members and the Executive Director.

18 MR. NANJO: If I can pause you for a second,19 Ms. Himovitz.

I just wanted to let the Board Members know that you have a copy of the Board Governance Policy at your places in the dais. It's the color-bound one. For members who are listening in -- public

24 members who are listening in, that document is also 25 available on the BOE website. You can click on that,

1 and pull it up.

And for anybody in the audience who may want a 2 3 copy, Board Proceedings Division has additional copies available for review. 4 5 So pardon the interruption. 6 MS. HIMOVITZ: No problem. 7 Sections II, III and IV discuss the Mission 8 Statement, the history of the agency, and the three tax 9 programs administered by the BOE. 10 Section V covers the Governance Principles 11 that guide the conduct, decisionmaking and behavior of 12 the Board, and provide a framework for the development of policies and practices. 13 14 Specifically, the Board and the ED work together ethically and remain open and accountable to 15 16 the Legislature and taxpayers. 17 Section VI, conformity with state law and 18 avoidance of conflicts of interest, highlights the state 19 laws that the Board Members must comply with, 20 specifically, Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act, Conflict of 21 Interest, Kopp Act, incompatible activities and ethical 22 quidelines for professional conduct, mass-mailing 23 restrictions, Behested Payments, gift and travel restrictions and limitations, Statement of Economic 2.4 25 Interest, use of state resources, use of public funds to

1 support or oppose a ballot measure.

2 Please note that the gift limit increased to 3 \$520 for calendar years 2021 and 2022. The gift limit 4 in 2020 was \$500.

5 Section VII outlines the roles and powers of6 the Board consistent with the Government Code.

Subparagraph A describes what the Board isauthorized to do pursuant to the Government Code.

9 Subparagraph B highlights the Board Members'
10 authority over the Executive Director and their
11 relationship to the agency staff.

12 Subparagraph G discusses the Board's authority 13 to prescribe rules for its own governance, and that the 14 Board may implement public disciplinary action against a 15 Board Member whose conduct fails to meet the standards 16 outlined in or in violation of the Governance Policy, or 17 whose conduct is inconsistent with Board policies.

18 The discipline will be at the discretion of 19 the Board.

20 Section VIII is on the Board Chair and Vice 21 Chair. Subparagraph A outlines the process of the 22 election of the Board Chair and Vice Chair.

23 It reads as follows:

The Board shall automatically place on the agenda an election to take place in December for the

offices of Chair and Vice Chair of the Board, or earlier
 if there is no December meeting.

The Chair and Vice Chair shall serve a full 3 one-year term, which shall begin January 1st of the 4 5 following year and will end on December 31st. There is no limit on serving consecutive 6 7 If there's a tied vote, the currently serving terms. 8 Chair or Vice Chair, as the case may be, shall continue 9 to serve. 10 Subparagraph B provides that the role of the Board Chair -- excuse me -- provides for the role of the 11 12 Board Chair, and states that the Chair approves the 13 Board Meeting agendas, and may add additional meetings. 14 Additionally, the Chair convenes and adjourns 15 the meetings, calls the items, and provides leadership 16 to the Board. The Chair also serves as a Member of the 17 Franchise Tax Board. 18 19 Subparagraph C provides for the role of the Vice Chair, which is to serve on behalf of the Chair if 20 21 the Chair is absent or incapacitated.

22 Section IX discusses the Board Member roles, 23 responsibilities and conduct. This section highlights 24 the Government Code and discusses the repeal of 25 Section 15623, which previously allowed individual

1 Board Members to have investigatory powers.

2	Now, upon a motion approved by the Board in
3	open session, Members can collectively or individually
4	inspect the work of any local officers whose duties
5	relate to the assessment of property under
6	Government Codes 15612, 15611 and 15613.
7	Subparagraph A discusses the Board's governing
8	styling.
9	Subparagraph B highlights Members'
10	competencies. Members should develop an understanding
11	of their roles and responsibilities, state laws, the
12	agency's organizational structure, and the proper
13	conduct of Board Meetings.
14	Subparagraph C states that Members should
15	direct questions at meetings to the ED or to the person
16	who is designated to speak on the item.
17	Subparagraph D outlines the rules around ex
18	parte communications, which are restricted pursuant to
19	Government Code Section 11430.10.
20	While a proceeding is pending, there shall be
21	no communication regarding any issues in the proceeding.
22	This applies to all adjudicatory proceedings, which is a
23	proceeding that is an evidentiary hearing for
24	determination of facts, pursuant to which an agency
	determination of facts, pursuant to which an agency
25	formulates and issues a decision.

1 Subparagraphs E through F highlight the 2 Board Members' limitations around their involvement with 3 agency staff and staff work. The communications protocols with the Executive Director, confidentiality, 4 attendance and Board Member vacancies. 5 6 Lastly, the final section discusses the role 7 of the Executive Director. 8 So this completes my review. But Henry or I 9 are available to answer any questions that you have. 10 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 11 Colleagues, do you have any questions? Any 12 questions on clarification? 13 Mr. Vazquez. 14 MR. VAZOUEZ: Mine's not so much on 15 clarifications. But if there's some clarifications, you 16 might want to take those up. I just have some 17 suggestions. 18 MS. COHEN: Please. 19 MR. VAZQUEZ: First of all, thank you for your 20 update on this. 21 And I would like to make a few -- or propose a 22 few changes. But I didn't have the opportunity to put 23 it in writing. I want to put it in a form of a memo, and at least give our Board Members, as well as staff, 24 25 an opportunity to review these before we consider the

1 possible changes.

2 So I would like to ask, if it's possible, I 3 guess, Chair, as well -- to agendize this for our November meeting. And I will, before then, hand out or 4 5 make sure everybody on the Board, as well as staff, including the Executive Director, receives the memo with 6 7 just a couple changes or recommendations, so we can 8 discuss the matter at the November meeting if that's 9 possible. 10 MS. COHEN: Well, let's see. What are your 11 ideas? Let's see what your ideas are. 12 MR. VAZQUEZ: Oh. 13 MS. COHEN: Before I commit to putting 14 anything on the agenda. 15 MR. VAZQUEZ: The first one is just -- I'd 16 like the Board to consider adding a paragraph on the Board Work Groups, and to link or attach the Board Work 17 18 Group charter as an appendix to the Governance Policy, 19 if that's possible. 20 Second one, I would like to propose some minor 21 clean-up edits on a few pages that I think would add 22 some clarity. That's all. If those are friendly, I 23 would like to --MR. NANJO: So in order to not run afoul with 2.4 25 Bagley-Keene, what I would recommend, Member Vazquez, is

if you would like to send those suggested changes to my
 office, then I will work with Board Proceedings to
 distribute those to the other Members before the
 meeting.

5 MR. VAZQUEZ: I'd appreciate it. That's why I 6 was bringing it up. Because I wasn't sure if I could 7 just hand it to them before the meeting.

8 MR. NANJO: Yeah. If you go through us, we --9 and if any -- if it -- if it pleases the Chair, I would 10 also invite any other Board Members who have any ideas 11 to also send those to my office, and I can compile them 12 and get them to the other Board Members at that point. 13 MR. VAZQUEZ: That would be great.

And I would just suggest, you know, if any of my colleagues are interested in this, to give us all, you know, ample time, at least a week's notice. Because it's tough, at least for me, to look at something the day of or the day before. So just out of courtesy.

19 MS. COHEN: Thank you.

20 Mr. Schaefer has something to say.

21 MR. SCHAEFER: Mr. Nanjo, I applaud this 22 entire collection of rules that we abide by. I think 23 they're sound and they preserve our integrity.

The Quentin Kopp Act 1990 is very strong and important, but inflation has been pretty hard on all of

1 us. That was 30 years ago. And I would think there should be an indexing of the amounts every 10 or 20 2 years, so that they are more reflective of the current 3 time. And I would suggest you take a look at that. 4 5 MR. NANJO: Thank you, Vice Chair Schaefer. I would note, as Ms. Himovitz pointed out, the 6 7 gift limit does, for lack of a better term, adjust every 8 year. So at least that part is being changed on an 9 annual basis. 10 MR. SCHAEFER: So the \$250 limit used to be 11 \$100 or so? 12 MR. NANJO: The gift limit was 500, and I 13 think it's now 520. 14 MS. COHEN: Just wanted to reorient my colleagues that the item that's being presented today is 15 16 in part of the Board's commitment to review the 17 Governance Policy. 18 MR. NANJO: Absolutely. 19 MS. COHEN: And, like you did, make 20 suggestions. 21 Something that I think that we need to talk 22 about is when the elections are held. What's 23 interesting is that we have, in our Governance Policy, elections held in December. However, as we know, this 2.4 25 year, there's an election in November. And the new

1 Members -- new Members or new Member will be -- won't 2 take the seat, won't be sworn in until January. 3 So my question to this body is, we need to address or reconcile that vote. I would suggest that we 4 consider doing a vote in February -- January or February 5 6 of 2023. That way it would allow any new Member to join 7 this body to be a part of the vote for leadership of 8 this body. 9 And so this is just a discussion item. This 10 is not an action item. And I just wanted to discuss 11 this with you. And I will reach out to Mr. Nanjo and 12 his Legal Counsel team to formally draft something if it 13 comes to that. 14 MR. NANJO: Okay. I'd be happy to. 15 Thank you, ma'am. 16 MS. COHEN: Yes. Thank you. So I just wanted to point out that there's a 17 18 slight -- I don't know -- error seems too harsh -- an 19 oversight in our Governance Policy. 20 Do you guys follow what I'm saying? 21 Mr. Vazquez. 22 MR. VAZQUEZ: I think it makes sense if 23 there's a major turnover on this Board. But if it turns out it's just one Member that we're replacing, I would 24 25 probably support that we keep it as is.

But I guess that's up for discussion, I guess,
 at the November meeting.

MS. COHEN: True. But what I'm looking for is 3 deeper further into the future. This is a Governance 4 5 Policy that's going to live well beyond you and I and this particular body. We are setting up governance 6 7 rules as a quide to help this body govern. 8 So --9 MR. VAZQUEZ: So maybe -- oh. 10 MS. COHEN: Making a decision on -- making a 11 decision on one isolated case, to stick with your 12 example, you're saying, "Hey, one person just comes in. 13 We don't need to wait." 14 What I'm saying is that we need to look at the policy in its entirety. Because that is what it is this 15 16 election cycle. Who -- you know, in another four years, when 17 18 everyone is termed out, it will be a different 19 situation. So it would literally be like how it was 20 when we came in. The previous Board allowed us, a new

Board, to come in, take a seat, and then we decide -- we decided how that leadership was going to unfold.

According to our governance rules right now, that courtesy doesn't exist. So that is why I'm bringing up this conversation.

1 MR. VAZQUEZ: Maybe a suggestion might be, you 2 know, in the event of, let's say, November, where it looks like there's -- who knows, right? Because it's 3 all left up to the voters. If there is a drastic change 4 5 of maybe more than two Members, then we consider your 6 suggestion as a policy, right? 7 MS. COHEN: I think as a policy. I think 8 drastic or in-drastic, one person or four Members, I 9 think that's inconsequential. I think we need to discuss how -- what is best 10 for the future of the Board of Equalization and its 11 12 elected Members. 13 Mr. Nanjo, I don't know if you have any 14 experience -- I think you were part of the transition prior to this. What is this -- we're the class of 2018. 15 16 We were put into -- we were sworn in in January of 2019. 17 And if I'm not mistaken, I think it was in January, or 18 was it in February that we took that vote? 19 MR. NANJO: I think you were sworn in in 20 January. I believe the vote occurred in February. 21 MS. COHEN: That's what I thought. 22 MR. NANJO: Yeah. 23 MS. COHEN: Okay. That's what I was thinking. So do you have any insight that you could 2.4 25 share with us?

MR. NANJO: Well, I mean, I -- I -- there are a couple items I would just point out to the Board. Which is, obviously, this is the Board policy, so you're free to change it at any time.

5 So, for example, if you do hold your elections 6 in December, hypothetically, as suggested in the current 7 policy, and then there is a desire to allow maybe some 8 of your new Members to participate, you could always 9 hold another election in the earlier part of the year. 10 So that is something that you could always do.

MS. COHEN: How does that work with the Board Governance structure as it exists right now? MR. NANJO: Well, again, like I said, the Board Governance Policy -- the Board Governance Policy is just that, a Board policy. So the Board can either make a temporary change or a permanent change at any time.

So just because you have a published, so to speak, Board Governance Policy, doesn't mean it's set in stone and you can never change it.

The other issue that I would have you keep in mind is it's always challenging if the election -- well, if the election isn't until January or February, then that means the, again, hypothetically, that means the current Chair would have to serve until that time.

1 Because one of the things that happens in 2 between the Board Meetings, as you know, Chair Cohen, and Board Members, is that there's a lot of planning as 3 to what's on the agenda and scheduling, and things of 4 5 that nature. So I believe one of the reasons that 6 7 November/December was suggested, was so that when we go 8 into the new year, we have an existing leadership 9 structure that we can consult regarding scheduling. 10 So those are just two points that I know came 11 up in discussions at various points regarding the 12 Governance Policy. 13 MS. COHEN: I see. 14 MS. HIMOVITZ: Excuse me. If I may. 15 I know there's been different iterations of 16 the Governance Policy. 17 We -- Legal did not draft this. This is your policy. Prior iterations had different methods for 18 19 electing Chairs. Some of them just being an automatic 20 transition, which I believe is what it was prior to this 21 one. Where it just went by district number, and you 22 rotated through that way. So, again, this is your policy as a Board. 23 And it's something that you guys can discuss and change 24 25 over time, at each meeting if you -- you wanted.

1 MR. NANJO: So desired. MS. HIMOVITZ: You know? So you're not tied 2 3 to whatever is in here every time. But for consistency, there should be some 4 5 agreement on it, like Henry said, for the simple fact of transitioning between the November/December into 6 7 January/February. 8 MS. COHEN: Okay. Thank you very much. 9 I fully understand that. I think the example 10 that Mr. Nanjo uses, we certainly, the Board Governance Policy covers that, if I remember correctly. 11 12 I think Mr. Gaines presided over the meeting 13 until there was an election. So having one be a steward 14 of the ship, that is something that we can reconcile in 15 December, for example. So I'm not so much worried about 16 that. 17 I wonder, as our Legal Counsel, if you have 18 any other advice to changes that we need to make to the 19 Governance Policy as we're reviewing this document 20 today. 21 MR. NANJO: Upon my review, I don't see 22 anything that's critically -- that critically needs to 23 be changed. 2.4 MS. COHEN: Okay. 25 MR. NANJO: You know, Member Vazquez made a

1 suggestion about putting the Work Group information in 2 there. That would probably be appropriate if the Board 3 continues to want to have Work Groups. That's an integral -- appears to be an 4 5 integral part of this Board's business. So that would 6 be an appropriate change. 7 But other than that, we are -- we do, from 8 time to time, review the Governance Policy to make sure 9 that it is -- it is current with current law, that it's 10 timely, that there are no issues. And I'm not aware of 11 any. 12 Are you, Ms. Himovitz? 13 MS. HIMOVITZ: Other than the gift amount 14 change, I didn't find anything at this time. 15 MR. NANJO: Thank you. 16 MS. COHEN: Thank you very much. 17 MR. NANJO: And Ms. Himovitz is correct. At 18 the time -- I neglected to mention that the time that 19 you folks, this -- excuse me -- this current Board, the 20 Honorable Members joined the Board of Equalization, I 21 believe the policy, at that time, was a automatic 22 rotation based in order of district number. 23 So, again, it's a Board policy. You can do whatever you want to do along those lines. And if there 24 25 are any legal issues, I, of course, would let you know

1 about that.

MS. COHEN: Thank you. 2 Are there any -- did you complete your review, 3 or is there more for you to present to us? 4 5 MR. NANJO: No, that's all I have. MS. COHEN: That's what I thought. 6 7 Thank you. 8 Is there any questions or comments or anything 9 down there? 10 Okay. Thank you very much. 11 MR. NANJO: Great. 12 And if it meets with your approval, 13 Chair Cohen, if the Board Members want to send their 14 suggestions to me, I will compile them and make sure 15 they're distributed to the Board Members before the next 16 meeting. 17 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 18 So, Board Members, think about if you have any 19 recommendations to send to Mr. Nanjo. 20 Mr. Epolite, if you have any recommendations 21 or any ideas, please let us know also. 22 Mr. Epolite. MR. EPOLITE: Absolutely. 23 2.4 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 25 All right. Let's continue.

1 MR. NANJO: Thank you very much, Chair 2 Cohen --MS. COHEN: You're welcome. 3 MR. NANJO: -- Vice Chair Schaefer and 4 Honorable Members. 5 Thank you, Ms. Himovitz. 6 7 MS. HIMOVITZ: Thank you. 8 MS. CICHETTI: Before we go to the next item, 9 I am going to go out to the public to see if we have any 10 public comment on this item. 11 AT&T moderator, please let us know if there is 12 anyone on the line who would like to make a public 13 comment regarding this item. 14 AT&T MODERATOR: Thank you. 15 And if so, please press one, zero at this 16 time. Again, that is one, zero on your telephone 17 keypad. 18 And currently nobody in queue. 19 MS. CICHETTI: Thank you. 20 MS. COHEN: Ms. Cichetti, please call the next 21 item. 22 23 ITEM J1 24 25 MS. CICHETTI: The next item on the agenda is

Administrative Session; J, Administrative Consent 1 2 Agenda; J1, Adoption of the Board Meeting Minutes for September 27, 28, 2022. 3 The minutes of the Meeting were attached to 4 5 the Public Agenda Notice for your consideration. MS. COHEN: All right. 6 7 Colleagues, is there any discussion or 8 anything that you'd like to note in the minutes or 9 change? 10 All right. Seeing none, is there a motion on this item? A motion to adopt the September 27, 28 11 12 Board Meeting minutes? 13 MR. VAZQUEZ: So approved. 14 MR. SCHAEFER: Second. 15 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 16 Motion is made -- has been made by Mr. Vazquez and approved by Mr. Schaefer. 17 18 Let's go to public comment. 19 MS. CICHETTI: AT&T moderator, is there anyone 20 on the line who'd like to make a public comment 21 regarding this item? 22 AT&T MODERATOR: And, again, it's one, zero. 23 And currently nobody in queue. 24 MS. COHEN: Thank you. Thank you very much. Let's call the roll. 25

1	MS. CICHETTI: Chair Cohen.
2	MS. COHEN: Aye.
3	MS. CICHETTI: Vice Chair Schaefer.
4	MR. SCHAEFER: Aye.
5	MS. CICHETTI: Member Gaines.
6	MR. GAINES: Aye.
7	MS. CICHETTI: Member Vazquez.
8	MR. VAZQUEZ: Aye.
9	MS. CICHETTI: Deputy Controller Epolite.
10	MR. EPOLITE: Aye.
11	MS. COHEN: Thank you. The motion passes
12	unanimously.
13	Thank you, Members.
14	Ms. Cichetti, please call the next item.
15	
16	ITEM J2
17	
18	MS. CICHETTI: All right. The next item on
19	the agenda is J, Administrative Consent Agenda; J2,
20	Adoption and Presentation of Retirement Resolution:
20 21	Adoption and Presentation of Retirement Resolution: Retirement of Patricia Lumsden.
21	Retirement of Patricia Lumsden.
21 22	Retirement of Patricia Lumsden. I have her resolution that I'm going to read

1 her retirement.

2 Whereas, Patricia Lumsden, Chief of the 3 County-Assessed Properties Division, will retire on 4 December 1st, 2022 after 14 years of outstanding and 5 notorious service to the State of California and the 6 California Board of Equalization.

7 Whereas, Patricia began her career with the
8 California State Board of Equalization on June 26, 2008
9 as an Associate Property Appraiser in the Assessment
10 Practices Survey Unit.

11 And, whereas, as a result of diligent work and 12 demonstrated ability, she advanced to positions of 13 increasing responsibility, first earning appointment to 14 the position of Senior Specialist Property Appraiser in April 2011, and after ably serving the Assessment 15 16 Practices Survey Unit, she moved to the Welfare 17 Exemption Section in September 2014, advancing to the 18 position of Supervising Property Appraiser. 19 And, whereas, in recognition of her 20 outstanding leadership skills and proven 21 professionalism, she was appointed to the position of 22 Principal Property Appraiser in the Assessment Services 23 and Training and Certification Unit in February 2019,

and Division Chief of the County-Assessed Properties

25 Division in April 2020.

And, whereas, the California State Board of Equalization has greatly benefitted from Patricia's knowledge, experience, dedication and integrity, all while setting an example of quality, exceptional service, and earning the respect of management, coworkers and peers.

7 Therefore, be it resolved that we, the Members 8 of the Board, do hereby extend to Patricia Lumsden our 9 sincere and grateful appreciation for her dedicated 10 services to the California State Board of Equalization 11 and to the State of California.

12 Our congratulations on her well-earned 13 retirement, and our best wishes to her continued 14 success, happiness, and good health in the years to 15 come.

Adopted in Sacramento, California, today,October 18th, 2022.

18 MS. COHEN: That's amazing.

19 Ms. Lumsden, would you like to come down?20 Try not to skip so fast.

21 It's good to see.

22 MS. LUMSDEN: Nice to see you.

MS. COHEN: I, first, wanted to see if theExecutive Director had any remarks.

25 If you do, you're welcome to say some things

1 before the Board makes a few remarks.

2 We'll make this -- we'll make this as painless 3 as possible. I can see the anxiety on your face, Ms. Lumsden. 4 5 MS. STOWERS: Thank you, Chair Cohen and Vice 6 Chair Schaefer. 7 Yvette Stowers, Executive Director. 8 Thank you for recognizing Patty, our Chief of 9 County-Assessed Properties Division by presenting her 10 with this lovely resolution. 11 I know I speak for the entire BOE team, that 12 while we are sad to see her qo, we are also very happy 13 for her and what's to come in her well-deserved 14 retirement. 15 Her depth of knowledge in property tax 16 assessment, her professionalism in dealing with county 17 assessors' offices and the public, and her amazing 18 leadership of the entire team has been a very positive 19 experience, and a very long-lasting impact on the BOE. 20 In addition, she has contributed so much, and 21 was instrumental in rebuilding the BOE after its 22 restructuring, and has implemented effective changes 23 that will carry on as part of her legacy. 2.4 Once again, on behalf of the entire BOE team, 25 congratulations, Patty, on your well-deserved

1 retirement. You will be missed.

2 MS. LUMSDEN: Thank you. Appreciate that. 3 MS. COHEN: Ms. Lumsden, I, too, want to just echo a few of the remarks from the Executive Director. 4 5 You've seen a lot of transition in this body, and yet you have been one of the senior staff members 6 7 that have remained steadfast. 8 People enjoy your trainings. And as a matter 9 of fact, as you know, we've been pushing and asking for 10 more. 11 And, you know, we are working through the 12 constraints that we have. But this is a pivotal moment. A transition. And I hope that you're excited. I'm 13 14 excited for you. And this is a moment where you get to step 15 16 back and review your life's work. And I hope it's a prideful moment. You have had positive impact on many 17 18 Californians lives, even if they don't know it. 19 So I wanted to commend you and celebrate you in this moment for your hard work. Dedicating your life 20 21 to public service is not an easy job. And being a 22 teacher, being a trainer, working in this particular 23 space is not an easy job. But you have risen to the occasion and have fully executed in a brilliant way. 24 25 I've enjoyed working with you, and I've

enjoyed watching you work, listening to you speak on panels, working with the assessors and the assessors' association. It's been a joyful moment for me. I just wanted to let you know that.

5 Let me see if my colleagues --

6 Mr. Vazquez had something to say.

7 MR. VAZQUEZ: Patty Lumsden, what do I say 8 here?

9 First of all, just sincere congratulations. 10 I think it's been a real joy, at least when I came in 11 here as a freshman in 2018, you were such an asset to my 12 office and to my district. And I really want to -- I 13 really, really appreciate your service.

And I know you've worked very hard in this position for many, many years. And you're leaving some huge, huge shoes to fill. But I hope -- and I know Executive Director is probably going tap you for some assistance down the road as you retire.

But really appreciate all the hard work you've put into BOE. Because you've really helped us in terms of fulfilling our mission as the new BOE. And I just wanted to thank you for that.

And it's sad to see you go, but I'm sure you have a lot to do in your retirement. And wish you nothing but the best.

1 MS. LUMSDEN: Thank you. 2 MS. COHEN: Mr. Epolite, if you would like to 3 say some more comments. MR. EPOLITE: Sure. 4 5 Patty, in coming back, it was good to see your familiar face. I wish you all the best in your 6 7 retirement. Many years of dedicated service to the 8 Board of Equalization, but many years to come in 9 retirement. And best wishes to you. 10 Thank you. 11 MS. COHEN: Mr. Gaines. 12 MR. GAINES: Patty Lumsden, thank you so much 13 for everything you've done while you've been here. Ι 14 really appreciate your dedication. 15 You know, we talked a lot about what was 16 happening with education, and helping our county 17 assessors and our own staff in getting the education 18 that they needed. And just so encouraged to see so much 19 progress that was made over the course, through the 20 pandemic, for which we had to adjust to. And getting 21 innovative and coming up with ideas in terms of how we 22 can still serve the needs of those that need to take 23 their classes, and then accelerating that passed the pandemic. So thank you so much for the time you've 24 25 dedicated here.

I did want to hear a little bit about what your plans are. Do you have some ideas of what you're going to do?

MS. LUMSDEN: At this time I have a lot of 4 5 things to do that have to do with more -- some personal 6 matters, you know, helping my father transition over 7 to -- we just got done moving him closer to his -- I 8 actually moved myself to the San Luis Obispo area. And 9 actually looking forward to retiring there with the rest 10 of my family. 11 MR. GAINES: Wonderful. 12 MS. LUMSDEN: Two siblings that live up there 13 as well. 14 MR. GAINES: Fantastic. 15 MS. LUMSDEN: So, yeah. We'll be 16 transitioning that. As a matter of fact, my day after I 17 leave, I will be helping move my dad into his new 18 apartment. So that will be the start of my exciting 19 retirement. 20 MR. GAINES: Still work hard. 21 MS. LUMSDEN: Yeah. 22 I appreciate all the nice comments. And I've 23 enjoyed working with all of you. And I've really enjoyed working with the BOE all these years, and 24 25 appreciate all the opportunities that they have given

1 me.

2 And a lot of the successes that I've had are 3 because we've had great staff working with me, and also great -- a great team working with me as well. Not only 4 5 just the staff, but also the other supervisors and 6 managers along the way. And I really appreciate all of 7 them. 8 MS. COHEN: Well, I'm delighted to welcome you 9 into the BOE District Two down in San Luis Obispo. We 10 get down there pretty often. 11 MR. GAINES: Thank you so much. 12 MS. COHEN: Mr. Schaefer. 13 MR. SCHAEFER: Yes. 14 Ms. Lumsden, I was the new kid on the block 15 when I got here 4 years ago. And it's so nice to have 16 somebody with your experience, you know, to give kindness and help our office as you have done. 17 18 We're all prohibited from serving more than 19 eight years in public service, and your fourteen gives 20 you special standing. 21 Also very impressed that you were here in 2017 22 when about 90-95 percent of our personnel disappeared in 23 the reorganization. And that gives you a unique insight into what we are doing as survivors. 24 25 So I want to wish you well in your retirement,

1 and I look forward to retirement someday too. 2 MS. LUMSDEN: Thank you. I really appreciate 3 that. MS. COHEN: All right. Well, thank you very 4 5 much. We've got a --6 MS. CICHETTI: Opportunity for a photo session 7 if the Board Members wouldn't mind stepping down? 8 MS. COHEN: If you don't mind, we'll take a 9 five-minute recess. Ten-minute recess. 10 MS. CICHETTI: Yes. 11 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 12 (Whereupon a break was taken.) 13 MS. COHEN: Reconvene, everyone. 14 Good morning. It's 10:08. 15 Ms. Cichetti. 16 MS. CICHETTI: We need to complete the resolution for Ms. Lumsden. 17 18 MS. COHEN: Yes. 19 MS. CICHETTI: We stopped to take a 20 photograph. We need to take a motion adopt it. 21 MS. COHEN: Thank you very much. 22 Is there a motion to adopt to adopt the retirement resolution for Ms. Patricia Lumsden? 23 24 MR. VAZQUEZ: So moved. 25 MR. GAINES: Second.

1 MS. COHEN: All right. Made by Mr. Vazquez. 2 Second by who? Mr. Gaines? 3 MR. GAINES: Gaines. Yeah. MS. COHEN: Thank you. 4 5 MS. CICHETTI: Going to go out to the AT&T 6 moderator to see if we have any public comment on this. 7 AT&T moderator, please let us know if there's 8 anyone on the line who would like to make a public 9 comment regarding this item. 10 AT&T moderator. AT&T MODERATOR: Can you hear me? 11 12 MS. CICHETTI: Now we can hear you. 13 We're looking to see -- is there anyone on the 14 line who would like to make a comment on the last item, 15 J2? 16 AT&T MODERATOR: Again, it is one, zero at 17 this time. 18 And currently nobody in queue. 19 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 20 MS. CICHETTI: Ready to take roll. 21 MS. COHEN: Yes, please. 22 MS. CICHETTI: The motion was to adopt the resolution for Patricia Lumsden. 23 2.4 Chair Cohen. 25 MS. COHEN: Aye.

MS. CICHETTI: Vice Chair Schaefer. 1 2 MR. SCHAEFER: Aye. 3 MS. CICHETTI: Member Gaines. MR. GAINES: Aye. 4 5 MS. CICHETTI: Member Vazquez. 6 MR. VAZQUEZ: Aye. 7 MS. CICHETTI: Deputy Controller Epolite. 8 MR. EPOLITE: Aye. 9 MS. COHEN: All right. This motion passes 10 unanimously. 11 Ms. Cichetti, please call the other 12 administrative matters. 13 14 ITEM Kla 15 MS. CICHETTI: The next item on the agenda is 16 17 K, Other Administrative Matters; Kla, Executive 18 Director's Report: Organizational Update. Report on the 19 status of pending and upcoming organizational 20 priorities. 21 This matter will be presented by Ms. Stowers. 22 MS. COHEN: Good morning. 23 MS. STOWERS: Good morning, Chair Cohen, Vice 24 Chair Schaefer and Members. 25 I am Yvette Stowers, Executive Director.

For today's report, I will provide an update on our presentation to the California Society of Enrolled Agents, and I will then provide highlights of upcoming meetings of note.

5 On September 29th, 2022, the BOE participated 6 in the California Society of Enrolled Agents annual 7 State Tax Agency Liaison Meeting. There were over 100 8 enrolled agents statewide attending this virtual 9 meeting.

I gave a brief overview of the BOE, followed by a presentation from the Property Tax Department staff, who gave an informative presentation on Proposition 19. And I will say, it was well received. Our Taxpayers Rights Advocate also highlighted

15 our recently updated information sheets on property tax 16 savings and ways to reach our office.

This was our first appearance at this annual event, and I believe it provided an excellent opportunity for our outreach to our stakeholders on the agency's role in property tax and other important tax information.

We would like to thank the California Society of Enrolled Agents for inviting BOE to participate, and we look forward to next year's meeting.

25 Next, Members, I'd like to highlight a few

1 important dates of note.

2 Members, as you know, tomorrow is your annual 3 meeting with the assessors. And this is a meeting that is required under Government Code Section 15607. 4 5 This annual meeting will provide a good 6 opportunity for a formal and informal dialogue amongst 7 the Members of the Board of Equalization and the 8 58 county assessors. 9 This conversation will be regarding 10 administration of property tax assessments and taxation 11 loan. 12 The first half of the meeting agenda will 13 include public presentations and discussion, followed by 14 the second half of the meeting for county assessors and 15 the Board Members to meet collectively. 16 Then on November 2nd through the 4th, the California Lawyers Association will hold its annual 17 18 meeting of Tax Bar and Tax Policy Conference in 19 San Diego, California. 20 BOE Chief Counsel, Henry Nanjo, will be 21 participating as part of the Chief Counsel round table 22 discussion. He will be accompanying with other Chief Counsels for other California tax agencies. 23 2.4 And finally, Members, on November 14th is the annual California Assessors' Association Conference, 25

1 which will be held in Solano County.

2 I would continue to keep your offices up to 3 date on the details of these upcoming events. Lastly, Members, sad, very sad. As I shared 4 5 earlier, a former BOE employee, Rose Marie Kinnee, passed away last week on Monday, October the 10th. 6 7 Rose Marie was an employee of the BOE for over 8 28 years, most recently as a Property Tax Legislative 9 Analyst. 10 She also worked as a Senior Consultant for the 11 Assembly Committee on Revenue and Taxation before she 12 retired from State service in November of 2020. 13 Rose Marie was a brilliant and well-known 14 property tax expert, who was also certified as an 15 advance appraiser by the BOE. 16 She was a caring colleague, mentor and friend to many, and she will be deeply missed by all those who 17 knew her and loved her. 18 19 She is survived by her husband, former BOE Executive Director, Dean Kinnee. 20 21 Thank you, Chair Cohen, for agreeing to 22 adjourn the meeting in her honor. Because she is a family member, several of my 23 team members, when they do their report, would like to 24 25 make some remarks as well.

MS. COHEN: Of course. 1 2 MS. STOWERS: Thank you. 3 Please join me in expressing our deepest condolences to the Kinnee family. 4 5 This concludes my presentation. The management team will follow. And I'm 6 7 available to answer any questions that you may have. 8 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 9 Are there any questions or comments? 10 Yes. 11 MR. VAZQUEZ: I'm sorry to hear that we lost 12 one of our stars from the BOE. 13 I'd like to give my condolences to her and her 14 family as well. 15 But you mentioned in your report, and I don't 16 know if this is a question for you or Mr. Nanjo. I 17 guess on November 4th, he's going to be making a 18 presentation to the round able. 19 Just out of curiosity, do you know what he's 20 going to be covering? 21 MS. STOWERS: They generally talk about --22 come on up, Mr. Nanjo. They -- all the Chief Counsel generally talk 23 about recent completed litigation that's not public, any 24 25 rules and regulations that's been passed.

1MR. NANJO: Thank you, Executive Director2Stowers.

Chair Cohen and Vice Chair Schaefer, Honorable
Members of the Board, Member Vazquez.

5 So the Chief Counsel's round table is an 6 opportunity at the close of the State Tax Policy 7 Conference. It's an opportunity for the Chief Counsels 8 of the Office of Tax Appeals, California Department of 9 Tax and Fee Administration, FTB, Franchise Tax Board, 10 and BOE to just present kind of what's been going on in 11 their agency in the last year.

12 We do a very high-level overview of 13 litigation, regulations, any kind of new news that's 14 going on at our agency. And more than anything else, 15 it's an opportunity for the audience to ask us questions 16 and answers about, you know, what's going on, and what 17 may be planned.

So it's something that happens on an annual basis. And I'm happy to participate and represent the Board.

21 MR. VAZQUEZ: I appreciate it.

I was just wondering, you mentioned CDTFA, I guess, is present there?

24 MR. NANJO: Yes.

25 MR. VAZQUEZ: And I know when I participated

in some of these conferences, we constantly get asked about our role, who's doing what. And at least in my district, and I think I'm speaking for other Members as well, you know, we constantly -- I just had a call last week from actually a senator in my district that thought we had some authority over CDTFA. And I said we really don't.

8 And I'm just -- you know, I still -- we're 9 four years into this, I haven't heard anybody really 10 speak highly that this new body that they created, this 11 bureaucratic Board has actually been very effective for 12 the taxpayers.

And I'm just wondering, in your experience as you're hearing from folks, especially on the legal side, if that's correct, or if you're hearing otherwise?

MR. NANJO: You know, it's -- I don't hear a lot from my peers. You know, a lot of the folks I have contact with are, for lack of a better term, are kind of tax policy nerds. So they're kind of where the difference is between CDTFA and BOE.

And I think as a matter of professional courtesy, I would assume that they're not necessarily talking to me about their criticisms of another State agency.

25 Thank you for the question, though.

1 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 2 MS. COHEN: Mr. Epolite. 3 MR. EPOLITE: Just to -- I had a comment to 4 make. 5 But just to address Mr. Vazquez's question, this is a Tax Policy Conference. So this is tax 6 7 professionals attending this particular conference. So 8 I don't think for that particular conference, there 9 would be those types of questions being asked at that -from that audience, that particular audience. 10 11 To go to Executive Director's comments 12 regarding Ms. Kinnee, I have a statement to make from 13 the Controller. 14 MS. COHEN: Please. 15 MR. EPOLITE: This is a statement from the 16 Controller. The Board of Equalization has lost a gem with 17 18 the passing of Rose Marie Kinnee. 19 I have known and worked with Rose Marie for 20 close to 30 years. 21 She was not only a dedicated public servant, 22 whose career began at the BOE in 1989, and assented to 23 become a respected, sought-after property tax expert by her colleagues, but by legislators, county assessors, 24 25 tax practitioners and taxpayers.

Rose Marie was best known for her reputation
 as a can-do person who always had a positive attitude.
 This was how she lived her life through her last day
 with us.

5 I extend my heartfelt condolences to her 6 husband, another leader of this organization, former 7 Executive Director, Dean Kinnee, and their family.

8 May Rose Marie's memory always be a blessing, 9 and may her life continue to inspire.

I respectfully request that this Board Meeting be adjourned in memory of Rose Marie Kinnee, the one who gave so much of herself to this organization and its mission.

14 Thank you.

15 Chair Cohen and Members, I would also like to 16 add my own words of memory for Rose Marie Kinnee as 17 well.

18 Rose Marie was one of the first people that I 19 met as a new property tax attorney when I started with 20 the Board almost 23 years ago.

21 Rose Marie was an expert in her field as an 22 analyst of property tax legislation on behalf of the 23 Board and the Legislative Division, and I'm grateful to 24 have worked with her.

25 As the Controller mentioned, Rose Marie was

1 full of life, and her time with us was too short. She 2 will be deeply missed by all of us who knew her. 3 Thank you. MS. COHEN: Thank you for your remarks on 4 5 behalf of the Controller and yourself. 6 Let's see if there's anyone on my left. 7 MR. GAINES: Yeah, if I could. 8 MS. COHEN: Mr. Gaines. 9 MR. GAINES: I appreciate your comments. 10 And thank you for sharing that history with 11 us, too, in terms of Rose Marie Kinnee. 12 I'm wondering if we can -- is there some way 13 that we can memorialize her life here, her life's work 14 at the BOE? 15 You know, when I was in the Senate, we had 16 opportunities to memorialize folks that had passed away, and you could give a brief biography of those, and then 17 18 give that to the family. 19 And I'm just wondering if we might be able to 20 do something that would highlight the wonderful things 21 she did at the BOE that we could give privately or 22 publicly, whatever the family would desire. 23 But I'd sure like to recognize her in writing with a document, if that would be, No. 1, the will of 24 25 the Board, and if that would make sense in terms of

recognizing someone who dedicated so many years to the
 BOE.

MS. COHEN: Mr. Gaines, I'm not that familiar with the Senate's practices, but it sounds fitting if we could adopt some of it.

6 Would you mind leading the Board and the staff 7 in that process?

8 MR. GAINES: Sure. Yeah. You bet. 9 MS. COHEN: Just work with the staff. 10 MR. GAINES: Absolutely. 11 MS. STOWERS: If I may, Madam Chair. 12 Absolutely, we can definitely write something up. And I would communicate with the family, and see if 13 14 they would like for it to be private or publicly. 15 And I know, obviously, that we're hurting. 16 Many of the team members will go to the service. 17 And in the past, when we lost another property 18 tax expert, we attended the service, and had -- I'm not 19 sure the exact title of this document, but something was 20 prepared by the Board and presented to the family. 21 So if I could retrieve that and move forward,

22 and share it with you guys before it's published.

MS. COHEN: Absolutely. Thank you very much.
I wanted to see if there's any members of the
Executive Team that would like to go on the record and

1 share a memory or thought about Rose Marie Kinnee. 2 There's no pressure. You don't have to. But 3 if you'd like to. MS. THOMPSON: I thank the agency's Executive 4 5 Director, Ms. Stowers, for dedicating and paying tribute to one of our long-time employees, Rose Marie Kinnee. 6 7 And also for Deputy Controller Epolite, for 8 his nice remarks on behalf of himself as well as 9 Controller Betty Yee. 10 I'd like to say that I, like many others, will 11 miss her professionally and personally. 12 My heart goes out to her husband, family and 13 friends. But I know she will continue to do great 14 things and be her bubbly self, just at a different 15 place. 16 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 17 Does that conclude your remarks? 18 MR. YEUNG: Thank you for this opportunity. 19 David Yeung here, Deputy Director of the 20 Property Tax Department. 21 I also would like to express my condolences to 22 the Kinnee family for this tragic loss. 23 Ms. Rose Marie Kinnee, whenever -- I know, personally, whenever I had a question about anything 24 25 that relates to legislation, she was the absolute oracle

in that aspect. And she always had it at the tip of her tongue and the very edge of her mind. And her recall was fantastic.

But more on a personal basis, I could always tell when Rose Marie entered the room. The tenor and the mood of the room lifted with her appearance.

7 So my deepest condolences to friends and8 family.

9 Thank you.

10 MS. COHEN: Thank you, Mr. Yeung.

11 Mr. Nanjo.

MR. NANJO: Thank you, Board Members, for thisopportunity.

When I joined the Board, it was right after the split. I had two attorneys who were relatively new to property tax and the BOE, and myself, and one of the first people that I ran into was Ms. Rose Marie Kinnee.

And Rose Marie was just fantastic in that she, as it's been said by everyone, she is pleasant, she is effusive, she is happy to help. Her knowledge of property tax was amazing, especially about when rules went into place, the history of those rules.

23 She was better than any encyclopedia or 24 Westlaw program, or anything else that I could have 25 asked for.

1 She helped out my staff immensely by providing 2 us with background, giving us leads on where to do 3 research. She just had an encyclopedic knowledge of 4 property tax.

5 But above and beyond that, the thing that was 6 most lasting about Rose Marie was she was so happy, so 7 excited, so pleasant to do this for you or with you that 8 it made you get interested in property tax and really 9 go, "Wow. This must be pretty cool stuff, because look 10 at Rose Marie. She's like taking off with this."

11 So, again, it is a great loss to not only the 12 BOE, the Kinnee family, but the entire property tax 13 community.

14 I know she helped out the Legislature and was 15 invaluable over there as well.

My heart goes out to Dean Kinnee and his entire family and Rose Marie's family. It is very tragic, because she -- she has unfortunately left us way too early.

20 But thank you very much.

MS. COHEN: Thank you for sharing yourthoughts and your memories.

Colleagues, thank you for sharing your thoughts and well wishes. I'd like to just add my voice to this sad occasion. This is a tremendous loss.

1	To the Kinnee family, we're very thankful that
2	you shared this lovely woman with us for so many years.
3	And, colleagues, just want to note that we
4	will be sending a resolution to the Kinnee family. It
5	will be packaged in a nice portfolio with nice binding
6	for a keepsake momentum for the family. And it will
7	highlight Ms. Kinnee's accomplishments and contributions
8	for a stellar career.
9	So with that, I think we can keep moving.
10	Ms. Cichetti.
11	MS. CICHETTI: We'd like to go out to the AT&T
12	moderator to see if there's anyone who would like to
13	make a public comment on this.
14	MS. COHEN: Yes.
15	Thank you to the Executive staff.
16	Thank you.
17	MS. CICHETTI: AT&T moderator, can you let us
18	know if there's anyone on the line who would like to
19	make a comment on this item.
20	AT&T MODERATOR: Certainly.
21	Again, it is one, then zero to make a comment.
22	And currently nobody in queue.
23	MS. CICHETTI: Do we want to take a moment at
24	this time, or did you want to move forward?
25	MS. COHEN: Let's continue moving forward.

1	Thank you.
2	
3	ITEM K1b
4	
5	MS. CICHETTI: The next item on this agenda is
6	Executive Director's Report, K1b, Operational
7	Priorities: Report on the status of operational
8	priorities of the Board of Equalization.
9	This matter will be presented by Ms. Renati.
10	MS. RENATI: Good morning, Chair Cohen and
11	Honorable Members.
12	My name is Lisa Renati, Chief Deputy Director.
13	Today I'll report on some of the agency's
14	operational priorities and projects.
15	The first item is our recruitments. Since my
16	last report to the Board, we have filled four positions:
17	three promotions of internal candidates, and one
18	position was filled with a professional new to State
19	service.
20	We are actively recruiting our remaining
21	vacancies by leveraging the recruitment services of
22	CDTFA. This includes targeted online recruitment
23	services, allowing our recruiters to obtain training and
24	access to materials, and also participation in multiple
25	recruitment efforts.

For the current calendar year, we have participated in 13 separate informational and/or recruitment activities: four events were in person, nine were virtual.

5 We have also established a new career webpage 6 on our website. Since July 2022, we have had 756 unique 7 page views: 277 of those views have been in the last 8 17 days. So we pretty much think that our last three 9 recruitments events that we did in a month, 17 days, 10 were partially responsible for that increase.

11 My next item is in regard to the agency's 12 strategic goal regarding workforce development. Just 13 that we have spent time and energy with our recruiting 14 efforts. We are also making sure our new staff and 15 managers have the tools, training and mentoring needed 16 to successfully perform and grow in their roles.

The management team is committed to providing practical experience and intentional focus on knowledge transfer and succession planning, so that we develop the next generation of property tax subject matter.

21 Members, this concludes my report on the 22 agency's day-to-day operational priorities.

23 If you have any questions, I'm available to 24 answer them.

25 MS. COHEN: Thank you very much.

1 Congratulations on moving that agenda forward and getting these vacant positions filled. That is 2 3 awesome news. I'm going to pivot to my colleague, 4 5 Mr. Gaines. I know he's got something to say. 6 MR. GAINES: I just want to thank you. Keep 7 up the good work. 8 MS. RENATI: Thank you. 9 MS. COHEN: All right. 10 MR. VAZQUEZ: Real quick. I'm sorry. 11 Thank you, Ms. Renati, for your presentation. 12 I just have one question. And it's, have you 13 found that any of the recruitment methods you described 14 that particularly affected our efforts to attract and 15 hire qualified candidates? 16 MS. RENATI: I think the combination of 17 everything we're doing is getting our name out and 18 letting people know that we have positions. 19 A majority of our appraiser classifications require education and experience. So it's not so easy 20 21 as just going and finding a recent college graduate in 22 training. We need to find those people with experience 23 and education. But by getting our name out and getting through word of mouth, we are getting more qualified 24 25 candidates, and people realizing that we're interested.

1 One of the things that CDTFA provides to us is 2 that targeted recruitment efforts, where they go comb 3 through LinkedIn and different methods, and they seek out people for us, and ask them to apply for jobs. And 4 5 that's been very successful for us. 6 MS. COHEN: Okay. 7 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 8 MS. COHEN: That answers that. 9 Ms. Cichetti, I think we should go to public 10 item. 11 MS. CICHETTI: The next item? 12 MS. COHEN: Yes. If that completes --13 Ms. Renati, does that complete your report? 14 MS. CICHETTI: No, she's got more. MS. COHEN: Well then, let's go. 15 16 17 ITEM K1c 18 19 MS. CICHETTI: The next item on the agenda is 20 Executive Director's Report, K1c; Proposition 19 21 Implementation Project: Report on the status of the 22 agency's Proposition 19 implementation project. 23 This item will be presented by Ms. Renati. 24 MS. RENATI: Again, Lisa Renati, Chief Deputy 25 Director.

Today I will provide an update on our Prop. 19
 Implementation Project. A high-level implementation
 plan is attached to today's agenda.

I'm happy to report that the status of our
implementation of Prop. 19 is green, meaning no issues
to report.

7 The BOE has a dedicated web page regarding 8 Prop. 19, which can be accessed from our home page. The 9 webpage includes guidance, frequently asked questions, 10 related legislation, and additional resources to help 11 all taxpayers.

12 As of October 16th, our Prop. 19 webpage 13 received approximately 398,400 unique external page 14 views since we launched the page in November of 2020. 15 This includes an additional 15,400 unique 16 external page views since our last Board Meeting. 17 This concludes my presentation on this topic. 18 I'm available to answer any questions. 19 MR. VAZQUEZ: Just a quick one. 20 You know, on Prop. 19, I constantly run across 21 folks that were really confused on this whole issue. As 22 at matter of fact, last night I was at a reception, and I was talking -- I believe he's the new Chair of the 23 Hispanic Chambers of Commerce here for this region, 24 25 and -- as a Realtor. And I was asking him, "Did you

1 know what this all was going to entail, the final
2 product?"

And, once again, he confirmed what I've heard 3 from many other folks, that what they started with was 4 5 something they thought was going to be really helpful, especially for this whole intergenerational wealth 6 7 transfer. And they -- he was very disappointed with the 8 final outcome. 9 And I'm wondering if that's something you're 10 hearing, too, when -- with folks that are calling in and 11 they're asking about assistance. 12 MS. RENATI: That's a great question, and one 13 that David, or Mr. Yeung, or Ms. Lumsden can answer for 14 you, or Ms. Thompson as well. 15 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 16 17 ITEM K1d 18 19 MS. CICHETTI: The next item on the agenda is Executive Director's Report, K1d, Budget Quarterly 20 21 Report: Report on the status of the BOE Budget. 22 This matter will be presented by Ms. Renati. 23 MS. RENATI: Good morning. Again, Lisa Renati, Chief Deputy Director. 24

25 Today I'll provide a quarterly update on the

1 agency's budget.

2	Members, as you're aware, approximately
3	86 percent of the BOE's budget is appropriated for
4	personal services. That is salaries and benefits for
5	the BOE's 193 positions.
6	The remaining 14 percent of funding is
7	appropriated for the operating expenses and equipment.
8	For the fiscal year 21/22, which ended
9	June 30th, '22, the BOE expended 84 percent of our
10	appropriated funding.
11	For personal services, we expended 85 percent,
12	and for operating equipment and expenses, or OE&E,
13	75 percent.
14	A few years ago, at the end of fiscal year
15	2019/20, our personal service expenditures were only
16	77 percent. If you compare that to our current
17	85 percent PY expenditures, it demonstrates in the last
18	two years, we've made significant progress in filling
19	our key program and administrative positions as shown by
20	our 11 percent increase and overall expenditures for
21	personal services.
22	Our fiscal year 21/22 OE&E expenditures were
23	lower than the amount appropriated due to multiple
24	factors. These include reductions in business-related
25	travel for audit surveys and training due to COVID-19

with travel restrictions, savings due to reduced office
 space, and less spending on printing and office supplies
 due to our modernization efforts.

Overall, we are pleased with our budget
management efforts for the BOE's fiscal year 21/22
budget.

7 Which brings me to our current budget. For 8 our fiscal year 22/23 budget allocation, which began in 9 July 2022, our funding and positions for both the agency 10 and Member offices remain the same. We have 193 11 positions.

Projections based on current expenditures, posted for the first quarter of this fiscal year, which is July, August, and September, indicate that our personal services spending at the end of the fiscal year will be 88 percent.

This is close to our sweet spot, and close to those of other agency's with low vacancy levels. We're very excited about reaching those levels.

20 Our projections for OE&E expenditures for 21 fiscal year 22/23, our current fiscal year, relies on 22 many moving parts, involving basic operational 23 functions, like our must-haves, our goals for 24 modernization, and the reality of increasing costs.

25 For example, we have modernized our survey,

audit and training programs to include hybrid and in-person courses, which can reduce expenses for more in-person hybrid classes that are taught online.

At the same time, we are implementing succession plans for essential roles and program subject matter, which includes on-the-job training, which can increase expenses with both trainees and trainers traveling.

9 Another example is that we transitioned our 10 telephone service from old technology with equipment 11 that is tethered to a physical office location, to Voice 12 over Internet Protocol or VoIP.

This technology allows you to answer your phone anywhere you're at, from your laptop or from your handheld device like a cell phone.

Once we complete gathering up all the old equipment and to return it to the vendor, we are going to save a substantial amount of money each year, while at the same time, continuing better facilitation of our teleworking. So that movement is going to change our budget.

We are also phasing out desktop computers in favor of more versatile laptops, docking stations, additional monitors and headsets. And those expenses hit our budget this year.

1 These are just a few examples of the moving 2 parts being tracked for our agency's expenses on a 3 global level.

And lastly, Members, we continue to provide 4 5 expenditure reports by unit to each senior staff and to 6 each of your offices so that expenses can be tracked in 7 somewhat realtime. We get them about a month later. 8 And you can make decisions at the lowest level on 9 spending, and make sure of managing budgets. 10 So there are two takeaways from today's 11 report. One, we are on target for our fiscal year 21/22 12 budget, with an increase in filling our vacant positions 13 that were allocated in the budget to us. 14 And, No. 2, our current fiscal year projections are on target, and we continue to focus on 15 16 managing our spending so that we can continue our 17 modernization efforts for the agency. 18 This concludes my presentation. I'm available 19 to answer any questions you may have. 20 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 21 Let's see. Any questions? 22 I think it was a succinct presentation. 23 Mr. Gaines. 2.4 MR. GAINES: Yeah. 25 I just want to thank you. Because it's an

1 indication that things are going in the right direction. 2 And you think we're okay -- I don't know if 3 this will be a question for Yvette Stowers or not. But do you think we're okay in terms of staffing for the 4 5 role that we play as an entity? It sounds like we're getting to kind of a 6 7 margin where there's always going to be a certain amount 8 of vacancy. But are we -- are we satisfying our duties 9 as the Board of Equalization in terms of providing the 10 services that we should be at the staff levels that we 11 have now? 12 MS. STOWERS: Thank you, Member Gaines, for 13 that question. 14 Currently, we are staffed. There's a few 15 vacancies. But our current staff is allowing us to 16 carryout our requirements as far as surveys, training, 17 handbooks, regulations. So we are staffed at a sufficient level. 18 19 Could we use more? Absolutely. 20 We get new assignments all the time. We're 21 looking to highlight the surveys that are completed. So 22 we will be using staff to pull those out and present to 23 everyone in the near future. 24 So we will say that we're staffed 25 sufficiently, but could always use more.

1 MR. GAINES: Okay. Thanks. 2 That would include Prop. 19? 3 MS. STOWERS: Right. Prop. 19. MR. GAINES: Because we've had to implement 4 5 that. And I know that's taken a lot of labor. So I'm 6 just --7 MS. STOWERS: It took a lot of labor. A lot 8 of calls. A lot of inquiries on what --9 MR. GAINES: Yeah. 10 MS. STOWERS: -- Prop. 19 did. But the 11 Property Tax Department was able to respond to the 12 questions, help out the constituents as needed, and 13 along with our Taxpayers Rights Advocate. 14 MR. GAINES: Right. 15 MS. STOWERS: I do not see any gaps. Nothing 16 has fallen through. We have carried out everything. MR. GAINES: Yeah. That's great. 17 18 And it's tough with the transition, if someone 19 retires, they might have paid sick leave, things like 20 that, that we've got to include in our budgeting, right? 21 So it's a balancing act. 22 MS. STOWERS: Absolutely. Yes, that's a very 23 good point. And that's why we sent out, and we monitor the annual leave balance, and occasional leave balances. 24 25 And we basically have -- when our staff is exceeding the

1 balance, we ask for them to establish a plan on how 2 they're going to use their time. 3 MR. GAINES: Right. MS. STOWERS: Because if they do retire, we 4 5 have to cover that in our budget. 6 MR. GAINES: Right. So you have an estimate 7 of retirement dates, and then what the obligation is 8 financially. Okay. That's great. Wonderful. 9 Thank you. 10 MS. COHEN: Mr. Gaines, I want to also jump in 11 here. 12 And as a part of our strategic plan, I've been 13 working with the Executive Director to complete the 14 agency assessment. And I believe that that process will 15 answer your questions thoroughly that you just posed to 16 the Executive Director in a very meaningful way. So

17 there is more to come.

18 MR. GAINES: Great. Thank you.

19 MS. COHEN: Thank you.

20 MS. STOWERS: Madam Director, you come from 21 years of working with elected officials, and you know 22 that we're here to serve the taxpayers more than to 23 serve ourselves.

24 MS. CICHETTI: Mr. Schaefer, can you turn your 25 microphone on please? Thank you.

1 MR. SCHAEFER: Yeah. Sure. 2 You come from many years of working with 3 elected officials, and you know that we're here to represent the taxpayers rather than the bureaucracy. 4 5 And when we're trying to make Prop. 19 work 6 better, we're making it work better, not for the 7 government, but make it work better for the taxpayers. 8 And I think you're doing a good job in that 9 respect. I just want to chime in and remind us that 10 that's what we're all looking for. 11 Thank you. 12 MS. STOWERS: Thank you, sir. 13 MS. COHEN: I would instead say that we have 14 the bare minimum just to get the job done. And that 15 we -- we need more, so that we can fully execute. So we 16 can have more than just one Patricia Lumsden, you know, 17 in the education training field. 18 And we've just lost Ms. Lumsden, and now we 19 need to really step up and amplify. We're losing a lot 20 of our institutional knowledge. A lot of our folks with 21 sage wisdom. 22 So look forward to continuing to partner with 23 you to make sure that this agency has the resources she needs in order to move forward and provide stellar 24

25 service to the taxpayers.

1 All right. Ms. Chic -- oh, Ms. Renati, does that conclude everything? 2 3 MS. STOWERS: Thank you, Ms. Cohen. That's That concludes our presentation. 4 it. 5 MS. COHEN: Okay. Let's go to public comment. 6 7 ITEM Kle 8 9 MS. CICHETTI: The next item on the agenda is 10 Executive Director -- we were going to go at the end of the Executive Director's Report. So we have one more. 11 12 I apologize. 13 MS. COHEN: No problem. MS. CICHETTI: The last Executive Director's 14 15 Report for today is K1e, Special Taxes Quarterly Report: 16 General discussion on the Special Taxes workload over 17 the last three months. 18 This matter will be presented by 19 Ms. Laurel Williams. 20 MS. WILLIAMS: Good morning, Chair and Honorable Members of the Board. 21 22 I am Laurel Williams, the BOE's Technical 23 Advisor for Special Taxes. 2.4 Today I will be providing you with a report 25 regarding the BOE's Special Tax programs. I'll start

1 with the alcoholic beverage tax.

The alcoholic beverage tax, as you know, is a per-gallon excise tax, collected on alcoholic beverages in California.

5 Currently there are 10,353 active accounts, 6 which is slightly down from the 10,648 accounts reported 7 in June; however, still up from the March number.

8 Most of the accounts report their revenue on a 9 calendar yearly basis. So since they're have been no 10 returns filed -- excuse me -- since my last report, 11 there are no significant revenue changes to report. 12 There are currently no appeals for this

13 program.

The next program is the Tax on Insurers Program. Insurance companies may be subject to as many as three types of insurance taxes in California. There are currently 2,695 active accounts, which is up from the 2,637 accounts reported in June.

Most insurance accounts also file once a year.
The different programs have slightly different due
dates, but they're mostly annual.

22 So this program also has no significant 23 revenue changes to report for this period.

24This program also currently has no appeals.25For both programs, we have continued to have

regular meetings with CDTFA, which have been going
 consistently very well.

3 In general, we have found the CDTFA staff to be very responsive and collaborative in regards to the 4 5 Special Tax programs. 6 This concludes my report for today. 7 Are there any questions? 8 MR. SCHAEFER: I have a question. Ms. Williams, I noticed for beverage tax 9 10 appeals and for insurance appeals that you have no 11 appeals pending as of this moment. 12 Do we have to go back a few years to find an 13 appeal, or does an appeal come along once in a blue 14 moon, or did we have some earlier this year? Just how 15 active is the appeal business? MS. WILLIAMS: So these are some of the most 16 17 compliant programs that BOE and CDTFA also have. 18 For the insurance appeals, we have not had one 19 for quite a while. I believe two years ago we had one 20 that was in process, and it was -- did not come all the 21 way to an appeal before the Board. It was settled 22 administratively. Alcohol, you would have to go back several 23

years, I believe even before the split, to find an alcohol appeal.

1 MR. SCHAEFER: Thank you. 2 MS. COHEN: Thank you. Any other questions? No? Yes? 3 MR. VAZQUEZ: Just a quick question. 4 5 When you mentioned the last one and the 6 alcohol you're saying probably like four years ago or 7 more, what was that in regards? Do you remember what it 8 was about, the appeal? 9 MS. WILLIAMS: I don't remember specifically 10 what the appeal was about, to be honest. It was prior 11 to my even coming on from BOE to CDTFA. 12 MR. VAZQUEZ: Okay. 13 MS. COHEN: Thank you. All right. Thank you. 14 Let's go to public comment. 15 MS. CICHETTI: We're gonna go to the AT&T 16 moderator for any public comments. 17 AT&T moderator, please let us know if there is 18 anyone on the line who would like to make a public 19 comment regarding these matters. 20 AT&T MODERATOR: Thank you. 21 And, again, ladies and gentlemen, please press 22 one, zero at this time. Again, one, zero. 23 And currently no comments in queue. 2.4 MS. COHEN: All right. Thank you. 25 No comments in queue. There it is.

1	Let's keep moving.
2	
3	ITEM K2a
4	
5	MS. CICHETTI: Next item on the agenda is
6	Other Administrative Matters, K2a; Communication Chief's
7	Quarterly Report: Report on the status of BOE's
8	communications.
9	This matter is being presented by Mr. Kim.
10	MR. KIM: Thank you.
11	Good morning, Chair Cohen and Honorable
12	Members.
13	I am Peter Kim, BOE's Chief Communications
14	Officer.
15	As outlined in the memo attached to the
16	agenda, I'll provide a summary of the communications
17	efforts for the 2022 Taxpayer Bill of Rights Hearing,
18	and improvements made to the BOE website.
19	I will conclude with some highlights of
20	projects the Department is currently working on.
21	In collaboration with the Chief of the
22	Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office, the Department
23	implemented a broad communication strategy to inform the
24	public, taxpayers and stakeholders regarding this year's
25	Taxpayers' Bill of Rights Hearing.

For this year's hearing, we assembled an outreach tool kit consisting of the flier, the public service announcement, social media graphics, suggested talking points and e-bus message, an image for use as a web button on external partners' websites, and web links for additional information regarding the hearing.

Excuse me.

7

8 We also expanded our electronic communications 9 by leveraging the Taxpayers Bill of Rights Hearing 10 Listserv, and sending e-blasts to those on that list.

In addition, e-blasts were sent to all known e-mail addresses associated with entities filing alcoholic beverage tax returns.

Also, for the first time, the BOE website featured a dedicated banner regarding the hearing, along with taxpayers and stakeholders being able to utilize an electronic, rather than paper form, to submit comments or concerns leading up to the date of the hearing.

Finally, in partnership with the TRA office, a modified outreach toolkit was provided to BOE Advisory Council Members, statewide taxpayer organizations and associations, and all State legislative offices.

Numerous organizations and legislative offices
 highlighted the hearing on their social media accounts
 and electronic communications.

Members, as you know, the BOE website is a critical tool in communicating and providing essential and up-to-date information to taxpayers and the general public.

5 With the assistance of the California 6 Department of Tax and Fee Administration's Web Services 7 Unit, Board Member webpages were enhanced to have a more 8 modern look with additional features.

9 The last major update to Board Member webpages 10 took place in 2019.

In addition, the BOE website homepage has been modified to include three new graphics under the main banner to highlight the Taxpayer Rights Advocate, Proposition 19 and Disaster Relief. This will allow visitors to easily find information by making these topics front and center.

17 Also, updates remain to the Proposition 19 18 webpage to include the Proposition 19 filing 19 requirements checklist, the TRA office information 20 sheets on property tax savings, and other updates in 21 realtime.

22 And, finally, a new BOE career's web page was 23 recently launched to assist with recruitment efforts. 24 Through the Board's leadership, a translate 25 button to offer translation to non or

limited-English-speaking individuals was implemented
 approximately four months ago.

The Board requested an update, and there are approximately over 900 unique external page views since October 7th.

6 Before I conclude, I wanted to provide a brief 7 look forward. In the coming months, the Department will 8 be focussed on preparing the agency's fiscal year 9 2021/22 annual report, updating the State Board of 10 Equalization facts sheet, and since a new Board will 11 soon be seated, several forms and publications will also 12 need to be updated.

13Members, this concludes my report. And I'm14available to answer any questions you may have.

15 Thank you.

16 MS. COHEN: Thank you.

17 Vazquez.

25

18 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you for your report,19 Mr. Kim. I just have a question for you.

You said that this is the first year you've used the TRA's Listserv, and you sent three e-blasts about the hearing to notify those who subscribe, and you sent three e-blasts to all known e-mail addresses for entities filing alcohol beverage tax returns.

In addition, this was the first year you

1 featured the TRA hearing with a dedicated banner on your 2 homepage for a whole month. And the first time 3 taxpayers could submit comments and questions electronically rather than paper. 4 5 All these efforts prove very successful, 6 because we had a huge turn out. I think it was around 7 50 people. 8 My question is, can you use these same tools 9 for Board Work Groups or public hearings when we have 10 important issues we need to vet to the public and for 11 their input? 12 MR. KIM: Yes. Thank you for that question, 13 Board Member Vazquez. 14 I could definitely work with our Executive 15 Director to see how we could coordinate efforts 16 regarding future Work Groups and events. 17 I know that for the Taxpayers Bill of Rights 18 Hearing, it's an important event. We wanted to make 19 sure all taxpayers were able to participate. It was 20 their opportunity to address the Board, to raise issues 21 and concerns. And so that's the reason why all those 22 resources were put in, to ensure that folks knew about 23 it. 2.4 But of course I could work with the Executive

25 Director to see and explore what we could do.

1 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yeah. I would like to recommend 2 that we somehow make that part of the permanent --3 MR. KIM: Sure. MR. VAZQUEZ: -- communications and outreach. 4 5 It's gonna be real successful. MR. KIM: Thank you. 6 7 MS. COHEN: Anyone on this end? No? 8 Thank you, Mr. Kim. 9 MR. KIM: Thank you. 10 MS. COHEN: We'll take public comment. 11 MS. CICHETTI: Gonna go to the AT&T moderator 12 first. 13 AT&T moderator, please let us know if there is 14 anyone on the line who would like to make a public 15 comment regarding this item. 16 AT&T MODERATOR: Certainly. Thank you. 17 And, again, it's one, zero to make a comment. 18 Please press one, zero. 19 And currently nobody in queue. 20 MR. KIM: Thank you. 21 MS. CICHETTI: All right. Thank you. 22 MS. COHEN: All right. Ms. Cichetti, next 23 item. 24 25

1	ITEM K3a
2	
3	MS. CICHETTI: The next item on the agenda is
4	Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office Report; K3a,
5	Operational Update: Update on activities of the
6	Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office, including
7	Proposition 19 education and outreach, and other
8	matters.
9	This matter will be presented by Ms. Thompson.
10	MS. THOMPSON: Good morning, Chair Cohen and
11	Honorable Board Members.
12	I'm Lisa Thompson, Chief of the Taxpayer
13	Rights Advocate Office.
14	I'm here to provide you with an update on the
15	activities of the Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office, and
16	also on Proposition 19, and outreach to keep you
17	informed.
18	First, I'd like to share with you some
19	statistics on the number of completed cases by the
20	TRA office last month, and provide some insight on the
21	types of those cases.
22	Attached to the Public Agenda Notice is a
23	memorandum from the TRA office to the Executive Director
24	reporting the number of completed cases by Board Member
25	Districts, which distinguishes the cases between the

1 administrative and valuation category, and topics within 2 those categories.

3 In September 2022, we completed 33 cases: 5 were in Board Member Gaines' district, District One; 4 5 10 were in Member Cohen's district, District Two; 11 over in Member Vazquez's district, District Three; 6 and 7 in Board Member Schaefer's district, 7 8 District Four. 9 Of the 33 cases, 7 were in the administrative 10 category and 26 in the valuation category. 11 The administrative category includes topics such as creating and mailing of tax bills, refunds, 12 penalty cancellations, defaulted taxes, access to data, 13 14 special assessments or direct levees on a property tax 15 bill. 16 The valuation category includes topics such as change in ownership, declines in value, assessment 17 18 appeals, exemptions, exclusions, new construction, 19 enrollment of value, general -- and general property 20 taxation. 21 With respect to the administrative category 22 and its 7 in total, 4 pertain to delinquent or defaulted 23 taxes, and 3 involved other concerns, such as a property tax postponement program for seniors.

25 With respect to the valuation category and its

24

1 6 cases in total, 7 pertain to actual enrollment of 2 values, 4 pertain to change in ownership, 1 case 3 pertained to decline in value, 7 cases pertained to exclusions from reassessment, 4 involved exemptions, and 4 5 3 involve general property taxation. To provide some additional insight on the 6 7 specific types of exclusion and exemption cases, I offer 8 this further breakdown. For the 7 cases involving 9 exclusions from reassessment, 4 pertain to the parent-child exclusion, and 3 pertain to base year value 10 11 transfers for persons aged 55 and over. 12 Three of the four parent-child exclusion cases fell under prior law, Proposition 58, since the 13 14 transfers occurred before February 16th, 2021. And that 15 was the effective date for Proposition 19 intergenerational transfer exclusions, while one of the 16 17 parent-child cases fell under current law of 18 Proposition 19. 19 With respect to the three cases pertaining to base year value transfers, two occurred during current 20 21 law under Proposition 19, and one was under prior law of 22 Proposition 60. For the exemption cases, one pertained to the 23

25 and two for the Disabled Veterans' Exemption; two

24

Welfare Exemption, one for the Homeowners' Exemption,

provide some insight on the nature of the cases that our office works on to assist taxpayers. I offer some additional information on this case to highlight the work that our office does, and how we help the taxpayer.

5 An example of a case that our office helped 6 resolve involved a taxpayer representative who contacted 7 our office about property that was held in two trusts, a 8 marital trust and a survivor's trust, where one of 9 the -- one of the owners died over 20 years ago, and the 10 other owner had died more recently in 2020.

In both instances the assessor's office was not notified of the change in ownership for the property that resulted from the death. And portions of one trust had transferred ownership to the trustor's children, but the property had not been reassessed.

16 A claim for the parent-child exclusion for 17 reassessment had not yet been filed, claiming the 18 exclusion from reassessment.

Our office explained that upon the death of a property owner, the assessor's office should be notified about the change in ownership, even if the property is held in trust.

We also indicated that although the recording of documents is not within our area, generally an affidavit of death or affidavit of death of trustee

should be recorded with the county recorder's office
 shortly after the death to reflect that the descendent
 no longer owned a property through the trust.

A change in ownership occurred for this
transaction pursuant to Section 480 due to the death,
since interest in the property owned in the trust become
those of another party.

8 We explained that the first death would not 9 result in re-assessable change in ownership, because the 10 ownership passed to the descendant's spouse; however, 11 the second death would. And unless the transaction 12 qualified for exclusion from reassessment under law, 13 that would be subject to reassessment.

14 The taxpayers' representative indicated that 15 the beneficiaries of the trust were the decedents' 16 children and grandchildren. So we explained that, 17 generally, in order to receive the parent-child 18 exclusion as of the change in ownership date, the claim 19 form must be filed within three years of the date of change in ownership. In this case, would be the date of 20 21 the last parent's death.

However, since the property had not yet been reassessed for the change in ownership, and they still owned the property, they could still file a claim for exclusion and receive exemption from basically the date

1 of change in ownership.

2	And that was based on a provision of law
3	stating that the claim is still considered timely if
4	filed within six months of the date of mailing of the
5	notice of supplemental assessment.
6	We made sure that the taxpayer knew to use
7	claim form BOE-58-AH to file for the parent-child
8	exclusion, since the death occurred before the
9	February 16th, 2021 effective date of Proposition 19.
10	We also reminded them that the death
11	occurred if the death had occurred on or after that
12	February 16th date, then it would not have qualified for
13	the parent-child exclusion, since the property owned by
14	the trust was commercial property, in case they were
15	considering transfers of interest in the future.
16	It should be noted that the provision in law
17	where a claim can be filed more than three years after
18	the change in ownership date and still be considered
19	timely filed, if filed within 6 months of the
20	supplemental or escape notice, is remains in law
21	today. It is part of Proposition 19's implementing
22	legislation in Revenue and Taxation Code Section 63.2 as
23	well.
24	So we provided the taxpayer with copy the

24 So we provided the taxpayer with copy -- the 25 copy of the code section allowing for the claim filing

beyond the three years. And also an LTA from 1994 that
 discusses the 1993 amendment to Revenue and Taxation
 Code Section 63.1, which added this provision, so they
 could provide it to the assessor's office.

5 We suggested that they notify the assessor's office of both changes in ownership events, and any 6 7 effect on the ownership interest on the property through 8 those trusts at the same time that they submit a claim 9 for parent-child exclusion on any portion of the 10 interest that transferred to a child of the decedent, 11 and also to write a note on the claim form indicating 12 that it was timely, in accordance with LTA 94/21, as the 13 property had not yet been reassessed.

14 The taxpayer representative has our contact 15 information should they need further assistance once the 16 claim is filed.

17 The next item that I would like to report on 18 to the Members is work associated with Proposition 19 19 education and outreach for taxpayers.

As you are aware, our office completed work on eight information sheets to help taxpayers understand property tax laws on exclusions available to them that could result in property tax savings. That completed our update to an existing information sheet that we published from 2018 through June of 2020 to reflect

changes due to the passage of Proposition 19. They are
 published and available on the BOE's website under the
 TRA page.

An LTA announcing the availability of these information sheets will be issued shortly and posted to our agency's website.

At future Board Meetings, the TRA office will
provide further updates on the activities of the TRA
office to keep you informed.

10 That concludes my update. I'm available to 11 answer any questions.

12 At this time, I am going to take the 13 opportunity to address a question that was posed to 14 Chief Deputy Director, Ms. Lisa Renati, during her 15 presentation by Member Vazquez.

16 So you had asked if we had been hearing from 17 people about Proposition 19, and if they thought it was 18 what was intended.

And at the August 2022 Board Meeting, as part of the Taxpayers' Bill of Rights Hearing, many people submitted comments about Proposition 19. Some of which express concerns about changes in law specific to the intergenerational exclusion, parent-child transfers, and basically the loss of not being able to pass on the property tax savings through that exclusion for

commercial properties and industrial properties, or
 rental properties basically.

3 So, generally, people do like the base year value transfer provisions of Proposition 19. But the 4 5 focus, I guess, any comments that we hear during that hearing, as well as other contacts direct with our 6 7 office, pertains to the April 1st, 2021 effective date. 8 Some taxpayers, unfortunately, purchased 9 properties before the April 1st effective date, and thought that it was, you know, effective basically when 10 11 it passed into law in November of 2020. Others may have thought that it was in place as of the January 1st, '21 12 13 lien date. So that's kind of where we're hearing. 14 So when we do our approach by taxpayers, I mean, we explain to them the law, you know, and where 15

17 constitutional provisions, as well as constitutional 18 amendment that had it, as well as the code section. So 19 they understand why they aren't able to take advantage 20 of that exclusion due to the timing of when they bought, 21 or when they transferred it to their property.

it's shown as far as the effective date in

16

22 So that was kind of where we're at. And we 23 direct them to our resources on our website, as well as 24 take that opportunity to direct them to our information 25 sheets that they can use for themselves or pass onto

friends that, you know, may be interested in doing
 transfers in the future.

3 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 4 Mr. Vazquez. 5 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 6 Thank you for that response. So that was 7 going to be one of my questions. 8 But the second one I had is, I noticed in your 9 report that the number of completed cases in September 10 was almost twice as many in August. And I was wondering 11 what you might attribute that to. 12 MS. THOMPSON: I think the number of completed 13 cases varies, you know, depending on workload. 14 But August, I mean, we were preparing for the 15 Bill of Rights Hearing a little bit. And I was also on 16 vacation. 17 MR. VAZQUEZ: Oh, okay. MS. THOMPSON: So I was out for almost three 18 19 weeks. 20 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thanks. MS. COHEN: Mr. Gaines. 21

22 MR. GAINES: Yeah.

Thank you for your presentation, Ms. Thompson.
And I was just wondering if maybe you could go
through the process. It looks like we're in a recession

state. And looks like property values are declining.
Can you go through the process of what happens when we
have a decline in property values, and the opportunity
for our constituents to ask for an adjustment to
valuation?

6

MS. THOMPSON: Yeah.

7 I mean, that's kind of more of a technical 8 question. But as, I mean, it's a right of any taxpayer 9 to file an appeal if they disagree with the assessed 10 value that the assessors enroll for it in any year 11 during the applicable appeal period.

But assessors, by law, are required to assess property at the lower of its Prop. 13 factored base year value or market value. And so taxpayers, if they think, you know, their properties have declined lower than what they're being assessed at, then they can certainly contact their assessor's office first.

18 Many assessor's office have information 19 available online about, you know, an ability to make a 20 request for kind of an informal review of their values.

They can do that, or they can do the more formal, you know, procedure, and file an assessment appeal with the clerk of the Board through their

24 Assessment Appeals Board.

25 But that's kind of how that's, you know, how

1 that's going. And it's possible that that could happen 2 for, you know, some of the people who particularly have 3 bought in the last few years when the market was higher, then, you know, it might go a little bit lower. 4 5 But assessors are, you know, looking at that 6 every year. And that's, you know, what they do. 7 MR. GAINES: Can the county assessor take 8 action that would impact all property taxpayers? 9 MS. THOMPSON: I can't really address how they 10 do that. But, I mean, I think they have mass, kind of, 11 you know, systems, where they look at different areas 12 that have been reduced. 13 I can't really, you know, address that. But 14 they have tools to do that, I'm aware. 15 MR. GAINES: Okay. Through the Chair --16 MS. COHEN: Yes. 17 MR. GAINES: Would it be -- could I ask for 18 Ernie Dronenburg to come forward to provide clarity from 19 an assessor's standpoint? 20 MS. COHEN: Sure. 21 Mr. Dronenburg, please come down. 22 MR. DRONENBURG: Good morning, Honorable --23 MS. COHEN: Save your remarks for the mic, so we can make sure we can capture -- please sit down and 24 25 have a seat down there wherever you want.

Thank you.

1

2 MR. DRONENBURG: I was just planning to sit. 3 I was elected in 2010 just after the 2008 massive drop. And if we had waited for everybody to 4 5 apply, we would still be doing applications for a place. So what we did is we did sales ratio studies 6 7 of general areas, and then we came to and dropped --8 San Diego County, at that time, had about 850,000 9 appraisals. We came through and dropped over 275,000 10 with just an adjustment as a percentage into an area, 11 and didn't wait for the people to apply. Because it's the assessor's responsibility to 12 13 do it. It's not the taxpayers' responsibility to have 14 to ask for it. So an assessor that's looking to take care of things quickly and more sufficiently will go out 15 16 and do an assessment of general areas within their 17 county and make an adjustment. 18 And they can make an adjustment to the whole 19 county or just a piece. It doesn't have to be an 20 individual home. 21 MR. GAINES: Okay. Thank you for that 22 clarity. 23 And we'll have to see what happens with the economy. None of us know, you know, if we're going into 24 25 a decline. We've got higher interest rates. It's just

1 nice to know kind of what the options are.

2 Thank you for providing clarity for me. 3 MR. DRONENBURG: And right now we're looking at maybe two years, the last two years somebody that 4 5 purchased with them. They're our target right now, and we're watching them closely --6 7 MR. GAINES: Okay. 8 MR. DRONENBURG: -- to see just how much 9 they're dropping. And then we might go, and we can sort 10 by changes, and then go and attack those. But that's 11 just what we are doing in San Diego. 12 MR. GAINES: Wonderful. 13 Thank you, Mr. Dronenburg, and for your 14 service as county assessor in San Diego. 15 MS. COHEN: Any other questions? 16 I appreciate your support, Ms. Thompson. 17 Thank you. It's actually very helpful to know where 18 taxpayers are feeling the pinch, what their questions 19 are, and how we can better serve them. 20 Let's go to public comment. 21 MS. CICHETTI: AT&T moderator, please let us 22 know if there is anyone on the line who would like to 23 make a public comment regarding this matter. 24 AT&T MODERATOR: If you would like to make a 25 comment, please press the one, followed by the zero.

1 One, zero for any public comments. One moment, please. 2 There are none in queue at this time, 3 Madam Chair. Please continue. 4 5 MS. COHEN: Thank you very much. I appreciate 6 that. 7 Ms. Cichetti, do we have -- please call the 8 next item. 9 10 ITEM K4a 11 12 MS. CICHETTI: The next item is Chief Counsel 13 Report; K4a, Legal Workload Quarterly Report: General 14 discussion on the Legal Department's workload of the 15 last three months. 16 This matter will be presented by Mr. Nanjo. MR. NANJO: Thank you, Mary. 17 18 Good morning again, Chair Cohen, Vice Chair 19 Schaefer and Members of the Board. 20 Henry Nanjo, Chief Counsel. And I'm providing 21 my quarterly update to the Board as to what happened in 22 the third quarter of 2022 for the Legal team. 23 First of all, I want to thank my team for their contribution and efforts in the third quarter. 2.4 25 We currently have two vacancies in which we

are working toward filling in the fourth quarter in the
 beginning of the first quarter of 2023.

One of them was created by the promotion of Ms. Himovitz, and the other one was one of our attorneys decided to take another position. So we have those two vacancies.

Now, onto the Legal Department's workload, we began our 2022 state-assessed appeals season in the third quarter with 19 positions this year. We have filed 18 briefs with SAPD and Board Proceedings Division. And staff will be holding our appeals conferences and oral hearings in the fourth quarter.

As far as litigation, in the litigation area, as you know, we provide confidential monthly written litigation reports to the Board, as well as providing appropriate public updates to our website on the BOE's Public Litigation Roster.

As you are aware, during the third quarter, the lawsuit with Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway company was dismissed, and the BOE's Public Litigation Roster has been updated as of this month.

22 Regarding the lawsuit with La Paloma, the 23 reply brief was filed on October 7th, and the oral 24 argument has been moved to December 16th, 2022. 25 We continue to closely monitor and handle the

Board's litigation cases, and will continue to keep you informed and updated as to any developments either in closed session or via the confidential memorandum as appropriate.

5 In the area of Public Record Act or Public 6 Disclosure Request, in the third quarter we have 55 7 completed PRA disclosure inquiries. The work in this 8 area is steady and ongoing.

9 We do note that the workload is dependent upon 10 the volume of public inquiries, and we expect work in 11 this category to continue in the fourth quarter as 12 public inquiries begin.

In the area of administration and support of the Board Proceedings Department and Executive Office, Members, as you will recall, administrative assignments are typically requested by the Board Proceedings Division or Exec Office, covering various administrative or Board Meeting-related issues.

In the last quarter, we had two such assignments, which we have completed. We continue to provide support to Board Proceedings Division and the Executive Office on smaller matters as necessary.

In the area of publications review, the Legal Department completed 29 legal reviews in the third quarter. These publication include items originated

1 from the Property Tax and Communication Departments, as 2 well as other agency publications. We expect work in 3 this category to continue in the fourth quarter as well.

In the area of regulations in the third quarter, as you know, we completed the rulemaking for two property tax rules and BOE's Conflict of Interest Rule.

8 We completed Regulation 301, definition and 9 general principles. After the Board adopted the rule in 10 June of 2022, the staff submitted the rulemaking file to 11 OAL, and OAL approved this rule with an effective date 12 of August 25th, 2022.

13 Regulation 905, Assessment of Electric 14 Generation Facilities, after the Board adopted the role 15 in 2022, staff submitted the rulemaking file to OAL in 16 July, and OAL has approved this rule with an effective 17 date of July 28th, 2022.

18 The other regulation that I am pleased to 19 announce that we have completed is Regulation 6001. 20 This is the update to the Board's conflict of interest 21 schedules.

And, as you know, we received FPPC's approval on August 31st, 2022. OAL approved the rulemaking with an effective date of October 28th, 2022. So as of this coming year, 2023, we will be operating under our new

1 conflict of interest schedules.

With regards to our Prop. 19 regulations, we continue to work to make the emergency rules permanent. The Board authorized staff in the third quarter to publish the notice of proposed regulatory action with other amendments. Staff submitted the rule to OAL to begin the 45-day comment period for the Proposition 19 regulations in September 2022.

9 The first was the Property Tax Rule 462.520, 10 Exclusion from Change in Ownership - Intergenerational 11 Transfers. And this was to clarify, interpret and make 12 specific the provisions of Section 63.2 of the Revenue 13 and Taxation Code.

The second was the Property Tax Rule 462.540, Change in Ownership - Base Year Value Transfers, to clarify, interpret and make specific provisions of Revenue and Taxation Code 69.6.

Both rules will remain effective until
January 18th. Both emergency rules will be effective
until January 18th, 2023.

21 We anticipate bringing the rules before the 22 Board at the November meeting to begin the official 23 process to make the emergency rules permanent.

As we are entering the year quarter --25 year-end quarter, I would like to update you on BOE's

1 progress on our 2022 rulemaking calendar.

2 There is one remaining rule on the 2022 3 rulemaking calendar, and that's Property Tax Rule 192, Property Tax Audit Selection. The proposed amendments 4 5 to Rule 192 would clarify, interpret and make specific the statutory changes to Revenue and Taxation Code 469. 6 7 Staff anticipates making progress on this rule 8 in the late quarter of this year, awaiting an interested 9 parties notice to assessors for comments before 10 beginning the rulemaking process. 11 This may delay the rulemaking process, which 12 will result in this rule being added to the 2023 13 rulemaking calendar. 14 As you may figure, the last quarter of the year is not an opportune time to have an interested 15 16 parties process. So we may push that off a little bit 17 to get better participation. 18 Finally, Members, for the third quarter, the 19 Legal Department issued a total of nine legal opinions and memos, which include property tax technical advice 20 21 opinions, internal and Board Meeting-related Chief 22 Counsel memoranda. We expect work in this category to continue in 23 the fourth quarter as inquiries come in. 24 25 In closing, I want to again extend my

1 gratitude to the team for their outstanding work in the third quarter, especially given the fact that there's a 2 3 state-assessed appeals season going on, and my attorneys are very much involved in that as well. 4 5 Members, this concludes my report, and I'm 6 available to answer any questions. 7 MS. COHEN: Thank you for that. 8 Mr. Vazquez. 9 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you for your report, 10 Mr. Nanjo. 11 I just have a couple questions on the 12 possessory interest opinion your Department issued on 13 October 11th to several assessors, including Mr. Prang. 14 Your opinion states that the individual rental 15 units in a public housing project owned by a JPA or 16 local government are taxable possessory interest, and 17 they do not qualify for the Welfare Exemption, because 18 there is no statute or constitutional law exempting 19 them. 20 But then you go on to say at the BOE that has 21 issued at least two prior legal opinions advising 22 assessors not to tax units leased to low-income tenants 23 and public housing projects. 2.4 Since they may -- since they and many other 25 entities have relied on our opinions for the past

27 years, first, would it be best practice for the BOE
 and the assessors to seek legislation confirming this?

And then, second, are we at risk if someone said that they are entitled to the exemption for units rented to people who are above the low-income level of Section 214, since the exemption doesn't really apply here?

8 MR. NANJO: So to your first question, the 9 memo does talk about the rather thin support for the 10 position that we've taken.

As indicated in that memo, what we've done is we try to be consistent with the historical practice of the BOE, which has been relied on for many decades by the assessors and other entities out there.

15 That being said, it would be up to the 16 assessors and this Board if they desire to bring 17 legislation to bring more support to that.

As far as anything above low-income housing, not providing -- not collecting possessory interest on those items. Because of the -- because that opinion is limited to those facts, it'd be hard to argue that there should be an exemption, if you will, for anything above that.

24 So we would strongly recommend limiting that. 25 And as we indicated, there's some caution to even

1 relying on that.

2	MR. VAZQUEZ: So at the end of the day, I
3	think it makes sense to especially, I'm looking at a
4	building where they have like split rents, you know,
5	where they may be charging low income to some of the
6	tenants, and then market rate for others.
7	I guess it would probably be legal for them to
8	just prorate that at some point, and the assessors
9	probably should take that up, if, in fact, they are
10	earmarking or working with some of those units for
11	low-income folks.
12	MR. NANJO: Yeah.
13	Thank you for that question, Member Vazquez.
14	The challenge is really it's very
15	fact-specific.
16	Because of the JPAs have created a number of
17	different scenarios and different structures, it's
18	difficult for me to say at this point whether or not
19	proration would be appropriate, or what have you.
20	It really would require kind of digging into
21	the facts of the specific situation, and very much
22	working with a local assessor. Because they're in a
23	better position to kind of know where they're
24	comfortable giving an exemption or not.
25	MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

1

4

MS. COHEN: Okay.

Gaines.

2 MR. GAINES: Follow-up question, if I could. 3 MS. COHEN: Please. Yes.

5 MR. GAINES: Because I think Member Vazquez 6 brings up a great point. To provide that clarity is 7 really -- it's really important if you're counting on 8 getting a Welfare Exemption, and it's not coming 9 through, and the project was based on low-income housing 10 element to the project, are -- are you saying that there 11 are some cases where they're not getting the Welfare 12 Exemption?

13 MR. VAZQUEZ: That's what I'm hearing. And 14 I'm wondering if, at some point as we move forward, 15 maybe we need an LTA to kind of give at least some 16 direction to the assessors.

17 MR. GAINES: Yeah.

18 MR. VAZQUEZ: Because I'd hate to see some of 19 these developers that are trying to do the right thing 20 in providing affordable housing being dinged, or not 21 able to qualify for the exemption.

22 MR. GAINES: Right. Right.

I mean, based on what we've learned in our workshop, a lot of those projects, sometimes it's a thin --

1 MR. VAZQUEZ: Oh, yeah. 2 MR. GAINES: -- amount of revenue that makes a 3 difference as to whether the project goes forward or not. So I'm in support of getting that clarity. 4 5 MR. VAZQUEZ: I appreciate it. 6 MR. NANJO: And I see Deputy Director Yeung 7 has come up, and he can provide a little bit more 8 color-commentary detail. 9 MR. YEUNG: Thank you, Henry. 10 And thank you, Board, for the opportunity to 11 address this. 12 It's -- I want to make the distinction that 13 our legal opinion asked about a possessory interest 14 issue. It is separate and aside from the Welfare 15 Exemption. What the individuals that are -- that are 16 17 tenants to these units built by or acquired by the JPAs, 18 they are -- they are -- they do not qualify under the 19 Welfare Exemption. It is two separate issues. 20 So you're correct, there is not a whole lot of 21 clarity here for not -- not assessing a PI to a 22 low-income tenant in one of these projects. But it is not a welfare issue. 23 2.4 Mr. Nanjo nailed it, and had it -- it is 25 actually addressed in the -- in the opinion that -- for

clarity, legislation would probably be needed in order
 to settle these issues.

3 MR. GAINES: Can I get a question of clarification, if I could? 4 5 MS. COHEN: Yes. 6 MR. GAINES: What is the possessory interest 7 in that particular case? I'm just curious. 8 MR. YEUNG: The possessory interest is a tax 9 on a private individual's use of publicly-owned 10 property, real property. 11 So if -- if you use either a terminal in a 12 airport, you are a rental car concessionary, your private use of that is taxable. 13 14 And the same concept applies to somebody who 15 lives in government-owned property, be it a cabin in the national forest, or -- or in some cases, it is also 16 17 applicable to somebody who lives in public housing or 18 low-income housing. 19 In that case, our Board, the BOE, has a long 20 history of saying that type of possession, where it's a 21 low-income tenant living in a public housing unit, there 22 should not be an assessment to the tenant themselves. 23 The person who lives there should not get a tax bill. MR. GAINES: Okay. And is Mr. Vazquez's 24 25 question in reference to the tax credit to the owner, or

1 de

developer, or whoever -- yeah? Okay.

Yeah. I think it needs to be clarified. And, 2 3 I mean, from a policy standpoint, we need -- you need that clarified so you can get the housing built. 4 5 MR. NANJO: Yeah. And as I indicated, Member Gaines and 6 7 Member Vazquez, with the Chair's indulgence, the 8 legal -- legal opinion of the Legal Department is very 9 tied to a specific factual situation which was presented 10 to us. 11 The other thing is our legal opinions do not 12 have the force and effect of law. They are just advisory. So statutory law is controlling. 13 14 And part of the challenge here, as I mentioned to Member Vazquez, is a lot of these -- the JPAs, 15 16 these types of projects have a variety of different 17 factual situations and various structures, and that very much makes a difference. 18 19 MS. COHEN: So let me interject here real 20 quick. 21 So, colleagues, we actually had placed the 22 issue of the possessory interest issue on the agenda for 23 tomorrow to allow for a meaningful discussion. And that's just because of the long -- the day is going to 24 25 be long tomorrow. So I took it off the agenda to allow

the discussion to occur at the November Board Meeting.
 So it is definitely coming.

3 MR. GAINES: Okay. MS. COHEN: And so that by doing so, in 4 5 November, we will be able to hear from all the 6 stakeholders on this timely matter. 7 There are a lot of people who want to engage 8 with us on this topic. And so I want to temper the 9 conversation today. Reserve it for when it's noticed, 10 which is in November. That will also allow our staff 11 very adequate time to be prepared to present information 12 to us, to answer all of our questions, but more 13 importantly, take public comment and listen to our 14 stakeholders.

So I believe that the discussion in Novemberwill be very helpful in guiding this conversation.

Now with that said, I see Mr. Dronenburg has found his way back up to the dais. And we'll make sure -- I want to be able to recognize him if he's got any comments that he wants to give.

21 MR. DRONENBURG: Well, just quickly clarify. 22 It's important that for that meeting that you 23 understand exemptions versus -- which is based on --24 exemption is based on use, whereas the -- what we're 25 talking about, a possessory interest, is based on

1 ownership.

And, whereas, the Welfare Exemption and the 2 3 College Exemption, those are all use-based. And this is an exemption based on ownership. And what's a big 4 5 problem in confusion is that there is too many names out there. There's low income. There's moderate income. 6 7 There's workforce income. There's high income. But the 8 only one that anything's been written about is low 9 income. 10 And there's a court case on that. And we've 11 got annotation on that. That one is not really in a lot 12 of doubt. Everybody understands that one. But 13 everybody else is trying to say, "Well, I've got 14 moderate-income housing, and that's what city counsels 15 want, because they get points for that." 16 It doesn't have to be low income to get points. It's creating housing. And so they get it for 17 18 moderate income. And some of these developers are 19 coming in and trying to get an exemption from the PI 20 based on the fact that it's being used for housing. And 21 there's a confusion in that. 22 So the definition of the terms would be very 23 helpful when you start to consider this. You will be miles ahead of everybody else in the room. 24 25 MR. VAZQUEZ: I appreciate it. I think

1 they're hitting it on the L. Because that's one of the 2 issues we have, specifically in Santa Monica. And you 3 probably have it in some other areas of the state, where folks, like you mentioned, just because they're 4 5 providing housing, they think they should get an exemption. And I disagree with that. Because it's not 6 7 really meeting the needs of the affordable housing 8 crisis that we're in.

9 As a matter of fact, we have an abundance of 10 high-end housing in Santa Monica that's vacant, because 11 they're trying to get 7 to \$8,000 a month. I mean --12 and we shouldn't be providing an exemption or a tax 13 credit for that.

And I think you're hitting on a real good point. And I'm hoping when we bring this back up in November, Madam Chair, that we come up with some definitions and some terminology that we all agree upon. So moving forward, especially the development community out there, that I think, you know, they've been going on for the last 27 years based on our opinions.

And like Member Gaines mentioned, you know, they put together these financial packets assuming they're going to get these tax credits, and now we're saying, "Nope. It's not going to happen." And we need to be careful with that.

1 MR. DRONENBURG: They've deceived some of 2 these city councils too. I mean, it's -- I'm not going 3 to get into your next month's meeting. But I will be here. 4 5 MR. VAZQUEZ: Appreciate it. We need your 6 input on this, because I know you have a lot history on 7 this. 8 MR. DRONENBURG: There's a lot of snakes in 9 this pile here. 10 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 11 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 12 MS. COHEN: Mr. Nanjo, is there anything else 13 to your report? 14 MR. NANJO: No, Chair Cohen. Thank you. That 15 concludes my report. 16 MS. COHEN: Thank you. I appreciate that. 17 Before we hear from you, Mr. Yeung, I want to 18 go to Ms. Cichetti, who's going to do public comment. 19 MS. CICHETTI: AT&T moderator, is there anyone 20 on the line who'd like to make a public comment 21 regarding this matter? 22 AT&T MODERATOR: Thank you. Let me check real 23 quick here. 24 Again, it is one, zero to make a comment. 25 Please press one, zero at this time.

1 And giving it a minute, so far, nobody in 2 queue. 3 MS. CICHETTI: Thank you. The next item? 4 5 MS. COHEN: Just a minute. Let me just double check with my team over here to see how they're holding 6 7 up. 8 Do we need to take a break here? 9 No? Everyone's okay? 10 All right. Thank you. 11 We can continue. 12 MS. CICHETTI: Perfect. 13 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 14 15 ITEM K5a 16 17 MS. CICHETTI: The next item on the agenda is 18 Property Tax Deputy Director's Report; K4a [sic], 19 Operational Updates: Report on the status of pending and 20 upcoming projects, activities and departmental issues, 21 including Prop. 19 Implementation, Actions and Guidance, 22 Letters to Assessors, Appraisal Training and 23 Certification, Assessment Practices Surveys, and 24 state-assessed property. 25 These items will be presented by Mr. Yeung and

1 his team.

2 MR. YEUNG: Thank you, Mary. Good morning, Chair Cohen, Honorable Members 3 of the Board. 4 5 David Yeung here. For the record, Deputy 6 Director of the Property Tax Department. 7 Today I will give you an update on our 8 Department's efforts to implement Prop. 19. So far we continue to monitor the progress of Property Tax Rules 9 10 462.520 and 462.540, as it works its way through the Office of Administrative Law for their review. 11 12 As Mr. Nanjo reported earlier, we anticipate 13 that coming before the Board next month. 14 Second, staff is reviewing the need for any 15 additional forms that may be required by Prop. 19. 16 We've already basically created about seven new ones and 17 updated an oldest of existing forms for that. So we're 18 doing a second review to see if there's anything else 19 that's currently needed. 20 And also to chime in on Board Member Vazquez's 21 question earlier about Prop. 19 implementation, our 22 experiences for the Property Tax Department has been 23 very similar to that of the TRA. 24 As you all know, in 2021, we had a many

25 Board Meetings where people came in and provided

1 testimony on the effects of Prop. 19 on their ability to 2 transfer property.

3 By and far, the base year value transfer inquiries have been pretty -- actually, it's been okay, 4 5 because Prop. 19 expanded that benefit. 6 Most of our inquiries have come on the 7 intergenerational transfers. Prop. 19 did three 8 fundamental things to the ability to transfer property: 9 One, it limited it to only residential 10 property; two, it not only limits residential property, but it has to be a primary residence of both a 11 12 transferor and a transferee; and then, third, even 13 though they -- even if it is the primary residence of 14 the transfer and transferee -- and, by the way, family 15 farms does qualify as a primary residence -- so it 16 limited the benefit to \$1 million. So it shrank down 17 that benefit, so you could only transfer a certain type 18 of property. It has to be the primary residence of both 19 transferor and transferee.

20 And even when you qualify under those 21 conditions, you're limited to basically \$1 million above 22 your factored base year value. So it did limit that. 23 Other than inquiries that dealt with

24 administration of "How do you apply for this" and "How 25 do you get this type of benefit," most of them had to do

1 with "Why is the benefit now smaller or less than what 2 it used to be?

3 So your question is, is it kind of what the 4 voters experts? At least for the ones that are calling 5 us, the answer is no.

6 Finally, our efforts in implementing Prop. 19, 7 we, of course, continue to answer questions from 8 stakeholders. We're still getting -- we're still 9 getting a very steady workload on that. And we are 10 continuing our efforts in reviewing our existing 11 guidance.

12 So we're continuously making sure that stuff 13 is current, and catching the stuff that is not, and 14 updating that part.

MS. COHEN: Thank you. I do have a question.MR. YEUNG: Of course.

MS. COHEN: I don't know if you will know thisanswer. Might be a little bit out of your lane.

19 MR. YEUNG: Okay.

20 MS. COHEN: But when Proposition 19 was 21 advertised to voters, it was advertised of revenue, 22 steady revenue for firefighters. I'm wondering, do we 23 know what that revenue stream has been for the last year 24 that this legislation has been in operation? 25 MR. YEUNG: I -- I do not have first-time

1 knowledge of that.

2 MS. COHEN: Do you know how or where we can 3 capture that information?

MR. YEUNG: Well, CD -- our partners, the 4 5 California Department of Tax and Fees is actually 6 charged with basically keeping a track of the revenue 7 lost from the base year transfer's expansion of that 8 benefit to all 58 counties. And from basically one time 9 to three times the revenue loss from that, and balancing 10 it with the revenue gain from the contraction of the 11 intergenerational transfer.

12 And there is a mechanism in which there is a 13 fun set up to make at least whole, or partly in whole, 14 for the counties that have lost revenue due to the 15 expansion of the base year transfers.

16 They would probably have the primary 17 information on that, and they report that on a yearly 18 basis, I believe.

MS. COHEN: Do you know what time of the year they would report this information out?

21 MR. YEUNG: Actually, I do not. But I can 22 make some inquiries and check.

MS. COHEN: Thank you. I appreciate that.
MR. YEUNG: Of course.

25 MS. COHEN: All right. Pardon the intrusion

1 on your presentation. You can continue.

2 MR. YEUNG: Of course. That actually concludes my presentation on the 3 implementation of Prop. 19. I'm available for any 4 5 questions you might have. 6 MS. COHEN: Mr. Vazquez. 7 MR. VAZQUEZ: Just a quick one. 8 Thank you for confirming what I pretty much 9 thought, and what I'm hearing out there in terms of 10 Prop. 19. That you're right, I think most of the 11 voters, even organized bodies that were behind this 12 didn't understand the dynamics of it, the final product 13 that actually came out that was on the ballot. 14 But you kind of touched on the Welfare 15 Exemption piece. MR. YEUNG: Yes. 16 17 MR. VAZQUEZ: And how is it going in terms of 18 streamlining that process? 19 MR. YEUNG: I believe I made a report last 20 week on the improvement process. It's been pretty much 21 steady since then. We have seen improvements in both 22 our turnaround time and how complete packages are when 23 we actually receive them. And both of those have been pivotal in our ability to actually turn around and 2.4 25 review and approve, or in some cases deny, these types

1 of applications.

2 The other thing that's been immensely helpful that Ms. Renati, our Chief Deputy Director, has touched 3 on also is we are now getting to the point where we are 4 5 more staffed than we have been in recent history. And the synergy of a better review and 6 7 approval process, better completed and accurate 8 applications, and basically more hands and eyes to 9 review and to work these have actually been very 10 productive for the Department. 11 MR. VAZQUEZ: What do you see the next steps 12 in that process in terms of streamlining this thing? 13 MR. YEUNG: Wow. The next -- the next steps? 14 Of course, as we hire folks, that our next 15 improvements will probably be from the training of staff and their ability to -- to learn, execute, review these 16 17 things a little bit more. 18 As always, we, with our partners in CDTFA, our 19 system is relatively new on that. And we -- there may be opportunities for an improvement in our computer 20 21 system in our tracking system. So we'll find synergies in -- in our processing, our tracking. Give more 22 23 realtime look into how things are moving through our system electronically. 24 25 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

1	MR. YEUNG: Of course.
2	MS. COHEN: Mr. Gaines.
3	MR. GAINES: Yeah. Thank you.
4	Can you provide more clarity on the exemption
5	aspect of Prop. 19? I know there's a lot of discussion
6	about the AG Exemption. And my understanding was that
7	was a million dollars per parcel, plus the was it the
8	initial purchase price?
9	MR. YEUNG: It's you you are correct.
10	Prop. 19 finally changed intergenerational
11	transfer. It was followed up by legislation, AB 539,
12	that basically added some statute to it. It included
13	the family farm aspect to it.
14	And it is a million dollars per parcel of a
15	qualified family farm.
16	MR. GAINES: Great.
17	Does that exemption apply anywhere else?
18	MR. YEUNG: It only that
19	MR. GAINES: That million dollar
20	MR. YEUNG: Per parcel only applies to family
21	farms.
22	MR. GAINES: That's it.
23	MR. YEUNG: Yeah.
24	MR. GAINES: Okay. All right. That's what I
25	thought. I wanted to make sure I didn't misunderstand

1 what you had said earlier.

2 MR. YEUNG: Okay.

3 MR. GAINES: Thank you.

4 MR. YEUNG: Of course.

5 MS. COHEN: Well, I'd like to ask Ms. Stowers. 6 You don't have to come to the table, I just 7 want to ask that you ask what I asked of Mr. Yeung, and 8 direct it towards you, and that is if you could report 9 back to the Board on the collection of revenue that has 10 been collected since Prop. 19's passage.

Mr. Yeung said that this information is collected and housed at CDTFA. And so maybe you could just inquire a little bit and ask CDTFA to come back and report to us next month, or you report back on their behalf. And we can work with you a little bit more to fine-tune that.

17 Thank you. Okay.

18 Thank you. Please continue.

19 MR. YEUNG: Of course. Thank you.

20 So before I turn it over for the next two 21 presentations to Ms. Lumsden, I would like to recognize 22 on behalf of the Property Tax Department her valuable 23 contributions over the last 14 years she's been with the 24 Department.

25 So her contributions have been tremendous,

especially in the last several years where she's played
 a pivotal role in basically restructuring the Property
 Tax Department CAPD.

It is with a -- with a mixed heart. I'm happy for her, but sad for our loss, that we -- that we celebrate her retirement.

7 She leaves not only with 14 years with the 8 Board, but she came here with 18 years with the county 9 assessor's office. So in total 32 years of property tax 10 administration walks out the door with her.

So thank you for the opportunity to recognizeMs. Lumsden.

And, with that, Ms. Lumsden, will you please.
MS. LUMSDEN: Thank you, Mr. Yeung, for those
kind words. I appreciate that.

16 Good morning, Chair Cohen and Honorable Board 17 Members.

I'm Patty Lumsden, Chief of the
County-Assessed Properties Division. And today I will
be providing you with a brief report on Letters to
Assessors.

And attached to the agenda this month is a memo on Letters to Assessors, which provides a list of all the LTAs that have been issued since our last Board Meeting, as well as a link to the BOE's website

1 with all of the LTAs that have been issued to date.

As of the date of the attached memo, BOE staff have issued a total of 47 LTAs during calendar year 2022. And six of those LTAs have been issued since our last Board Meeting.

6 Two of those LTAs were issued for assessment 7 practices survey reports for Los Angeles County and for 8 San Francisco City and County.

9 We also issued an LTA that announces the 10 annual interest component of the capitalization rate to 11 be used in the valuation of enforceably restricted 12 lands, assessed under Revenue and Taxation Code Sections 13 423, 423.5, and 426.

14 In accordance with Section 423 of the Revenue 15 and Taxation Code, the BOE is required to determine and 16 announce this interest component no later than 17 October 1st each year.

We also issued another LTA announcing the annual interest component of the capitalization rate to be used in the valuation of qualified historical properties as specified under Revenue and Taxation Codes Section 439 through 439.4.

Revenue and Taxation Code Section 439.2 requires the Board of Equalization to annually determine and announce this interest component no later than

1 October 1st each year.

2	And then, finally, we did issue two Letters to
3	Assessors to provide notice to the public regarding
4	amendments to California Code of Regulations, Title 18,
5	Section or Property Tax Rule 462.520, which was the
6	Exclusion from Change in Ownership - Intergenerational
7	Transfers, and also Section 462.540, Change in Ownership
8	- Base Year Value Transfers.
9	As Mr. Yeung mentioned previously, our
10	office the Office of Administrative Law posted the
11	amendments on its website on September 30th, 2022, which
12	began the 45-day comment period.
13	A public hearing regarding the proposed
14	regulatory actions will be held at 10:00 a.m. on
15	November 17th, 2022. Interested parties may present or
16	submit oral or written statements, arguments or
17	contentions at the hearing regarding the adoption of
18	these two property tax rules.
19	Copies of the notices were also attached to
20	those LTAs.
21	And that will conclude my report on Letters to
22	Assessors. I'm available to answer any questions that
23	you may have.
24	MS. COHEN: Thank you.
25	Mr. Vazquez.

1 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. 2 Thank you, Ms. Lumsden. 3 I was just wondering, I know we're going to be discussing this a little bit more in detail tomorrow 4 5 with the assessors, especially on Prop. 19. But I was just curious, from your staff's perspective, do you 6 7 think our rules have helped assessors with this whole 8 guidance on Prop. 19? Or are we still receiving guite a 9 bit of questions from their offices? 10 MS. LUMSDEN: Well, I would like to think that these property tax rules have made it a little bit 11 12 more -- what's the right word? I mean, they give 13 examples of ways to interpret the code sections or 14 helping to implement those code sections. 15 We also have Revenue and Taxation Code 16 Section 63.2, as well as section 69.6, which also help 17 implement that Prop. 19. 18 You know, we're going to continue to get 19 questions for probably years to come. And we still get questions about Proposition 13, you know. 20 21 So, I mean, it's something that's going to 22 evolve as time goes on. And more questions will come 23 up, and different scenarios and ways to do things. And I think we'll be seeing this for quite some time. 24 25 But I definitely think that these property tax

1 rules have assisted in implementing and making it more 2 clear. Clarity was the word I was looking for. To 3 clarify some of these interpretations of the code sections and the Proposition 19 itself. 4 5 So, yeah, I'd like to think that they've 6 helped guite a bit. 7 And if I can say one more thing, the other 8 thing, too, is in putting these property tax rules 9 together, I know our Legal Department had worked with 10 the Property Tax Department, as well as with the CAA, in 11 getting these together and asking for comments. And 12 then plus the public as well. 13 And so I think that they were put through a 14 pretty good interested parties process, and, you know, putting them forward. 15 16 MR. VAZQUEZ: No, thank you. 17 And, once again, I just wanted to thank you 18 for your service. And, specifically, you and your staff 19 for dealing with, especially these training classes, despite COVID, during that whole COVID period. I really 20 21 appreciate that. 22 MS. LUMSDEN: Thank you very much. 23 My staff, like I said, worked really hard in making that all happen. So I appreciate those comments. 24 25 Thank you.

1 MS. COHEN: Mr. Gaines, any -- Mr. Schaefer? 2 No? 3 Thank you. Mr. McCool. 4 5 MR. YEUNG: Oh. Ms. Lumsden, I think you have 6 one more report. 7 MS. COHEN: Oh, sorry. One more? 8 MS. LUMSDEN: Yes. 9 Thank you, Mr. Yeung. 10 Again, Chair Cohen and Honorable Board Members, I'm Patty Lumsden, Chief of the County-Assessed 11 12 Properties Division. 13 And I will now be giving you a report on the 14 BOE's Appraisal Training and Certification Program. 15 And posted to our website is the appraiser training and certification schedule for 22-23 fiscal 16 17 year, and which we have scheduled 33 classes, covering 8 courses. 18 19 And as I've mentioned in past meetings, this is more than what we've offered in the past. Last year 20 21 we were only able to offer 23 classes, covering only 22 5 courses. So we've definitely made improvements from 23 last year in what we've been providing.

And in addition to that, this year, this fiscal year, we were also able to offer both in-person

1 classes -- both in-person conducted classes, as well as 2 virtually-conducted classes.

3 So far for 22-23 fiscal year, we've completed 4 nine of those classes. And three were completed since 5 our last Board Meeting.

6 So we offered Course 3, which is a residential 7 appraisal procedures, taught in person by BOE staff. 8 And it was held in Bakersfield, which is in Kern County. 9 We also taught Course 2a, which is a 10 replacement cost estimating of residential structures. 11 This was taught virtually by BOE staff through Microsoft 12 Office Teams.

And then we also offered Course 120 in investment mathematics and financial calculators, which was taught in person by a Los Angeles County staff member. And it was taught in San Bernardino, which, of course, is in San Bernardino County.

18 And that will conclude my training and 19 certification report. And I'm available to answer any 20 questions you might have.

21 MR. SCHAEFER: Ms. Lumsden, you said you just 22 had courses in San Bernardino County. All of the others 23 were in Sacramento?

24 MS. LUMSDEN: We held one in Bakersfield, 25 which is in Kern County. And we've had some other ones

offered previously. One was in Alameda County in
 Oakland, and then the other one was in San Diego County,
 held in San Diego.

4 MR. SCHAEFER: The ones we have in -- most of 5 them would be held in Sacramento?

6 MS. LUMSDEN: No, actually, we do try to 7 spread them out throughout the state trying to depict 8 locations where we think we can touch, you know, several 9 different counties. So that they can -- it's not so 10 expensive to send their staff to, is kind of our goal.

MR. SCHAEFER: Do the people attending here in Sacramento, are they all local, or do some come from Santa Clara or different places?

MS. LUMSDEN: Mostly it's local, but they do come from other areas that are, you know, semi close by. But they can send them from wherever they -- throughout the state. It just depends.

18 And, typically, I found that our BOE-offered 19 location does tend to receive several other -- several counties where some of the other locations that we pick 20 21 out probably only reach out to probably, I want to say, 22 like, three-to-four counties at a time. And so it just 23 depends. It really depends on the timing and the availability of the assessor's staff to be able to 24 25 attend those courses.

1 MR. SCHAEFER: That was a very fine report, and I appreciate the way you've handled it. 2 3 MS. LUMSDEN: Thank you. I appreciate that. MR. GAINES: Yeah. Can you clarify how many 4 5 classes are being offered this year? MS. LUMSDEN: So for fiscal year, because we 6 actually do our classes on --7 8 MR. GAINES: Fiscal, yeah. 9 MS. LUMSDEN: -- fiscal year. I just wanted 10 to make sure. 11 We're offering 33 classes, and they cover 12 8 different courses. 13 MR. GAINES: Yeah. That's great. 14 And it was 23 last year? 15 MS. LUMSDEN: Yes. Twenty-three last year, 16 and five of -- five covering five different courses. 17 MR. GAINES: And do we know how many classes 18 we've offered historically on a per-year basis? 19 MS. LUMSDEN: Well, I do have some data I presented at some of our other Board Meetings that we've 20 21 had. 22 And these statistics that I do happen to have 23 with me are based upon a calendar year. So it's a little bit different. 24 25 But so far in 2022, the calendar year 2022,

1 which of course is not over yet, we've already taught 2 18 classes to 511 students, 17 of those were taught by 3 BOE instructors. In 2021 we were able to teach 18 classes, 4 5 which is, like I said, that's where we're at right now, and we still have more classes to teach the rest of this 6 7 year. 8 And then we were -- and we taught a total number of students at 578. But, keep in mind, those 9 10 were all taught virtually. 11 And then for BOE instructors, we had 18 BOE 12 instructor classes, and no additional instructors from 13 other counties. 14 Whereas, this year, we've so far had one other 15 instructor from another county teach for us. 16 MR. GAINES: Okay. Yeah. That's fine. 17 Thank you. I just wanted to recognize you, 18 because, you know, you're going out in a boom here. 19 MS. LUMSDEN: That's what I was hoping for. 20 MR. GAINES: I mean, we've got 33 classes this 21 year. 22 MS. LUMSDEN: That's what we were -- that's 23 what we were working towards. MR. GAINES: So we went from 23 to 33. 24 25 And we've been talking about trying to make

1 sure we're reaching out to our county assessors and 2 their staff. I mean, that's been an issue and a 3 complaint when I was first elected. And, yet, we're seeing this great progress. So I just wanted to 4 recognize you and thank you for all that effort. 5 6 MS. LUMSDEN: Thank you. 7 MR. YEUNG: I wanted to actually add to that. 8 Our 33 classes in one fiscal year is actually 9 a -- it's been a high point for BOE for as far as I've 10 been here. So historically for 22 years it's pretty 11 much a high point. 12 MR. GAINES: Wonderful. 13 MR. YEUNG: So, yes. Thank you, Patty. 14 MR. GAINES: Wonderful. Thank you. 15 MS. LUMSDEN: Thank you. 16 MR. VAZQUEZ: So she's entitled to, like, a batting crown, or what? 17 18 MR. YEUNG: I'll have one made. 19 MS. COHEN: Okay. Thank you. 20 MR. YEUNG: Mr. McCool, I think you're up 21 next. 22 MR. McCOOL: Thank you. 23 Good morning again, Chair Cohen and Honorable 2.4 Members. 25 My name is Jack McCool, Chief of the

1 State-Assessed Properties Division.

Today, I will provide a short update on the 2 3 status of ongoing work in our division. As you all know, SAPD staff have worked 4 5 diligently on state-assessed appeals the last few 6 months. 7 Earlier today, the Board adopted joint 8 recommendations for 8 petitions, including several 9 penalty abatement requests. 10 The first round of appeals conferences also 11 took place recently, and our staff have spent a 12 considerable amount of time preparing for those 13 conferences. Additional appeals conferences will be 14 held in November.

15 In addition to our continued work on appeals, 16 SAPD staff have also been preparing to mail the annual 17 Private Railroad Car tax bills to all Private Railroad 18 Car assessees. These bills reflect the Private Railroad 19 Car roll that the Board adopted in July.

The bills were prepared and mailed late last week, ahead of the statutory deadline. The tax is due on December 10th, and the revenue collected from the tax goes to the State's general fund.

That concludes my report, and I'm available to answer any questions.

1 Thank you.

2 MS. COHEN: Thank you. Any questions for Mr. McCool? 3 Thank you. 4 5 MR. McCOOL: Thank you. MR. YEUNG: That concludes our report. 6 7 MS. CICHETTI: Go to the AT&T moderator. 8 AT&T moderator, can you please let us know if 9 there is anyone on the line who would like to make a 10 public comment regarding this item. AT&T MODERATOR: Certainly. Thank you. 11 12 And again, it's one, zero to make a comment. 13 Again, please press one, zero on your 14 telephone keypad. 15 And currently nobody in queue. 16 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 17 Let's continue with the agenda. 18 MS. CICHETTI: We can continue the agenda if 19 you'd like, yes. 20 21 ITEM K6a 22 23 MS. CICHETTI: The next item on the agenda is Legislative, Research and Statistics Division, Chief's 24 25 Report; K5a [sic], Legislative Issues: Update on

administrative and program-related legislative bills
 impacting the BOE.

3 This item will be presented by Mr. Weatherby. 4 MR. WEATHERBY: Thank you. 5 So good afternoon, Chair Cohen, Vice Chair Schaefer, and Honorable Members of the Board. 6 7 This is Dustin Weatherby, Chief of the 8 Legislative, Research and Statistics Division. 9 So attached to the PAN is a summary on final 10 actions on legislation affecting the Board of 11 Equalization this legislative year. 12 The first bill is Assembly Bill 1933 by 13 Assemblymember Friedman, which was signed by the 14 Governor on September 28th. Which provides a Property 15 Tax Welfare Exemption for lien dates occurring on or 16 after January 1st, 2023 and before January 1st, 17 2028, if that property is owned and operated by 18 nonprofit corporation and is organized and operated for 19 the specific and primary purpose of building and 20 rehabilitating single or multifamily residential units. 21 Some or all of these units must be 22 owner-occupied, and sold only to and purchased by 23 first-time home buyers that are low income. The second bill is Senate Bill 989 by 24 25 Senator Hertzberg that was signed by the Governor on

September 28th, which allows taxpayers to defer payment of local property taxes with penalty and interest relief, if they have claimed a Proposition 19, property tax relief, with the county assessor, and have requested deferment with the county assessor within one calendar year, but before January 1st, 2024, within receiving the first tax bill for the property.

8 So this bill only applies to Los Angeles 9 County. But other counties may comply by adopting a 10 resolution of the Board of Supervisors after the Board 11 has consulted with various local elected officials.

12 And, finally, the Senate Bill 518 by 13 Senator Laird, this was signed by the Governor on 14 September 28th, and it requires the BOE, upon request, 15 to provide confidential taxpayer information on the 16 winegrower tax return and schedule for returns filed on 17 and after January 1st, 2023, unless that taxpayer is a 18 natural person.

19 Returns will include an opt-out box for
20 taxpayers who do not wish to have their confidential
21 taxpayer information shared publicly.

22 So this will conclude my presentation on this 23 item. And I'm available to answer any questions.

24 Thank you.

25 MS. COHEN: Mr. Vazquez.

1 MR. VAZQUEZ: One quick one. 2 You mentioned Hertzberg's bill on this 3 deferment piece. Do we have like -- or do you have, like, a quesstimation of what kind of revenue lost? 4 5 Because obviously that's going to hurt some of the counties, especially in LA, the entities that rely 6 7 on that income. 8 MR. WEATHERBY: No, I do not. I'll just echo 9 the comments by Mr. Yeung earlier. Any revenue lost 10 would be attributable and housed by the CDTFA. MS. COHEN: All right. On this end? 11 12 Mr. Gaines. 13 MR. GAINES: Could you go through that 14 explanation again in terms of what the bill does? 15 MR. WEATHERBY: For 989? 16 MR. GAINES: Yes. Thank you. MR. WEATHERBY: Okay. So, essentially, if a 17 18 taxpayer has bought and sold a property, and they claim 19 a base year value transfer under Prop. 19, and they 20 request that with the county assessor, and they request 21 a deferment, they have one calendar year to request that 22 deferment. But before January 1st, 2024. 23 So if the base year value transfer isn't processed in time, they can defer the payment of those 24 25 taxes until the county assessor has time to process that

1 package.

2 MR. GAINES: Okay. MR. WEATHERBY: So it just provides a little 3 taxpayer assistance. Because what was occurring is, in 4 5 some counties, taxpayers would sell their principal home, buy a new home in a different county maybe, and 6 7 the county assessors hadn't processed those claims yet, 8 and they would receive a full property tax bill for the 9 value of the property. 10 MR. GAINES: Right. 11 MR. WEATHERBY: So taxpayers would go from a 12 low base year value to suddenly very high one. MR. GAINES: Yeah. 13 14 MR. WEATHERBY: So it was just trying to 15 provide a little flexibility for assessors to catch up 16 on some backlog. 17 MR. GAINES: Hearing a story about that in the 18 Maybe there are more than one. But it sounds media. 19 like -- I don't think there would be -- to Mr. Vazquez's 20 question, I don't think there'd be much of a revenue 21 hit, would it? 22 Because it's just giving an extra year for 23 them to figure it out, and get that -- get that money to the taxpayer, that credit? 24 25 MR. WEATHERBY: Yes, in a way. But there will

1 be some counties that will inevitably possibly lose 2 revenue, depending on where the transfers occur. 3 MR. GAINES: True. But that's true with Prop. 19 anyway. So he's just trying to provide relief. 4 5 MR. WEATHERBY: Yeah. The switch is delayed 6 revenue. 7 MS. COHEN: Again, Mr. Yeung. We'll recognize 8 him. 9 MR. YEUNG: Thank you. 10 Mr. Weatherby has it correct. 11 And yes, Mr. Gaines, Board Member Gaines, it's 12 basically a delay in collecting the revenue. So if 13 there is revenue loss, it's only the present value there 14 of the collection. 15 But the counties should basically square up 16 specifically with this -- with this change in 989. So, yeah, it should be. 17 18 MR. GAINES: Great. Thank you. 19 MS. COHEN: Okay. Thank you so much. 20 Thank you. Just a second. 21 22 Ms. Stowers, I was wondering if this revenue 23 has impacted our Department, our agency in any way? 24 MS. STOWERS: Has the changes in --25 MS. COHEN: So has the changes in this law

1 impacted our business as an agency? The changes in the 2 law? 3 MS. STOWERS: As the changes in the law in respect to Prop. 19 or the Hertzberg Bill? 4 5 MS. COHEN: Yes. 6 MS. STOWERS: Prop. 19? 7 MS. COHEN: Well, I mean, both. They're 8 companions. So --9 MS. STOWERS: Well, as far as Prop. 19, 10 absolutely. We've spent a great deal of time implementing it. And we used personal service years to 11 12 do it, so, yes. 13 The bill, no. Because that's the workload of 14 the county assessor. It's their job to determine the 15 base year value calculation and send the supplement bill 16 if necessary. So if that's not our work, no impact to 17 BOE. 18 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 19 So, colleagues, I think what I'm going to do 20 is make a recommendation that we have the 21 Executive Director evaluate all statutory changes, and 22 report back to us the impact that it has had on the 23 agency. 2.4 So, for instance, are we able to easily absorb 25 the additional responsibilities, or do we need to staff

1 up?

2 These are all changes. So we can continue to 3 work together on that report back. MR. GAINES: Question of clarification. 4 5 MS. COHEN: Yes. MR. GAINES: Could that also include counties, 6 7 county assessors' offices? 8 MS. COHEN: That's a good guestion. 9 Yeah, we can certainly widen it and have the 10 county assessors. 11 MR. GAINES: Yeah. What is their impact? We 12 work so closely with them. 13 MS. COHEN: So this is not something that's 14 going to be turned around very quickly, obviously. 15 Connecting at least all 58 county assessors, certainly those that will be able to participate in the 16 17 discussion. 18 But, again, I just want to emphasize the 19 changes in this legislative session. That's it. I 20 don't want to go back five years, even last year. Just 21 this legislative session. Just curious to know how 22 these changes have impacted our ability to do our job. 23 MS. STOWERS: Could you restate the request, then, for me? 24 25 MS. COHEN: Yes.

1 So I just wanted to make a recommendation that 2 we have the Executive Director evaluate all statutory 3 changes and report back to us the impact that it has had 4 on the agency. 5 So -- I'm sorry. I forget your name. 6 MR. WEATHERBY: Mr. Weatherby. 7 MS. COHEN: Thank you, Mr Weatherby. 8 Mr. Weatherby comes in on a monthly basis and 9 he reports to us this -- the changes, things that are 10 going on in the legislature that has an impact on the Board of Equalization. I just want to know, this entire 11 12 session, how have those legislative changes impacted us? 13 MS. STOWERS: Okay. 14 MR. GAINES: So a question of clarification. So would that be fiscal and labor, or --15 16 MS. COHEN: Yes, that includes all of the 17 above. 18 MR. GAINES: Okay. It'd be good to know. 19 MS. COHEN: Mr. Vazquez. 20 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. 21 I was wondering if, in that gathering --22 information gathering piece, that -- if they can 23 include -- I know the Governor just recently signed three affordable housing bills. I think it was AB 2011, 24 25 SB 886 and AB 2221, which all three of them pretty much

1 are going to have an impact in terms of uses, you know, 2 from commercial spaces now to residential uses. And I'm 3 wondering the financial impact that would have. And I don't know if that's something -- once 4 5 again, it's probably CDTFA that collects that. And if 6 it is, if we can gather that and include that, I think 7 that'd be helpful. 8 MS. COHEN: I see looks of confusion. What is 9 so confusing? 10 Ms. Stowers, let's start with you. 11 And then we'll go to you, Mr. Weatherby. 12 MS. STOWERS: No, I was clear on your 13 direction. You're asking for the bills that were 14 signed, you want an analysis of the impact on the 15 agency. 16 MS. COHEN: Correct. 17 MS. STOWERS: Whether it's workload or 18 revenue. 19 MS. COHEN: Right. 20 MS. STOWERS: For everything that was signed. 21 MS. COHEN: Yes, ma'am. MS. STOWERS: Okay. I'm clear on what you are 22 23 asking for. 2.4 MR. SCHAEFER: And on the county assessors' 25 offices as well.

1 MS. STOWERS: Then that's the part -- for us 2 to survey the workload on the county assessors, we can 3 ask.

4 MS. COHEN: That's what I'm asking -- we're 5 asking.

And also I'm asking -- making this request with the understanding that you probably won't have anything for us by next month. Because it's going to take some time to talk to the assessors and to assess. Now, it's Mr. Gaines' request that has asked for the assessors to be included in this.

12 Mr. Gaines, do you have any further other --13 MR. GAINES: Yeah. My thinking was, could we 14 have the president of the association, could they give 15 us an update in terms of what the impact is?

I'm thinking specifically of Prop. 19.
Because their impact, I think, is much more heavy than
our impact. And it would be nice to just have an update
in terms of how they're dealing with that.

I mean, they're tracking property that's changing hands. I mean, that's going to involve -- I think they're going to have to hire a lot more staff. And it would be nice for us to just be in tune with that as the BOE.

25 MS. STOWERS: I can check with them, and

1 perhaps that's something we can discuss tomorrow since

2 Prop. 19 is on the agenda.

3 MR. GAINES: Great. Wonderful. 4 MS. STOWERS: If I may. 5 MR. GAINES: Yeah. Thank you. 6 MS. COHEN: Mr. Weatherby, did you have 7 questions or --8 MR. WEATHERBY: Well, I was going to answer 9 Board Member Vazquez's question on --10 MS. COHEN: Please do. 11 MR. WEATHERBY: -- several of those bills. 12 So any of the bills that do not directly 13 affect the Welfare Exemption when it comes to low income 14 or affordable housing, the BOE doesn't track. 15 So I couldn't give you any information on what 16 the potential revenue impacts are for those bills, 17 depending on how the bills are written, and whether it's a low-income housing tax credit for other issues, that's 18 19 other state agencies that administer those bills. 20 So if it's under the jurisdiction of the 21 Housing Community Development Agency, the information 22 may be available on their website. 2.3 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 2.4 Mr. Yeung, anything you want to add? 25 MR. GAINES: I'm good. Thank you.

1 MS. COHEN: Okay. No problem. 2 All right. Mr. Gaines. 3 MR. GAINES: I'm wondering if maybe that would help if you could get an analysis through the 4 5 Legislature so they'll do a committee analysis on 6 legislation. And they might be able to provide the 7 information you're looking at, because they're supposed 8 to look at it fiscally too. 9 MR. VAZQUEZ: I think that would be helpful, 10 yeah. 11 MS. COHEN: So are you asking Mr. Vazquez to 12 do that, or are you just making a statement? What 13 exactly are you directing Mr. Vazquez, or suggesting --14 MR. GAINES: That's a great question. We can 15 maybe follow up and get those analyses, that you could 16 then, you know --17 MS. COHEN: Analyses for the legislation 18 that's been passed in the last legislative session that 19 impacted the Board of Equalization? 20 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yeah. 21 MS. COHEN: Mr. Weatherby, is that something 22 that --MR. GAINES: Because we don't have the data. 23 But they do a bill analysis, right? 24 25 MS. COHEN: Well, we can -- let's do this.

1 We have made what we -- our request known. Ms. Stowers, you and I, we can work together. 2 3 And if you want to keep me out of it because of Bagley-Keene, you can work directly with Mr. Gaines on 4 5 this aspect of the request. 6 Sounds like there's two requests that are 7 happening here. I'm looking for something separate than 8 what Mr. Gaines is looking for. 9 So for the analysis that you're looking for --10 MR. GAINES: Yeah, that's fine. MS. COHEN: -- and the bills and the pieces of 11 12 legislation that have been passed. 13 MR. GAINES: Yeah. And Member Vazquez. 14 MS. COHEN: Oh, okay. 15 MR. VAZQUEZ: That would be helpful. MS. STOWERS: I'll work with you, Chair Cohen. 16 17 Mr. Vazquez, I will follow up with you to get 18 clear clarification on what you're looking for. It does 19 not appear it's going to be a BOE analysis or impact. 20 As Mr. Weatherby said, it's another agency 21 that's going to be charged with administering the new 22 laws. But let me get clarification of what your ask 23 2.4 is. 25 MR. VAZQUEZ: Appreciate it.

1 MS. COHEN: All right. Let's keep moving 2 forward. 3 MS. CICHETTI: Let's go -- we're going to go to the AT&T moderator before we finish this item. 4 5 AT&T moderator, please let us know if there is anyone on the line who would like to make a public 6 7 comment regarding this matter. 8 AT&T MODERATOR: Thank you. 9 Please press one, zero at this time if you 10 would like to make a public comment. Again, it's one, zero at this time. 11 12 And no comments in queue at this time. 13 MS. CICHETTI: Thank you. 14 MS. COHEN: Thank you very much. 15 So there's no action taken on those particular 16 items that we heard. They were informational. 17 And at this time it's 12:15. I'd like to break for a one-hour lunch. 18 19 MS. CICHETTI: We just have a few items, but, 20 yes, we can. MS. COHEN: It's true. We do have a few more 21 22 items. I mean, if people want to continue to go 23 forward, we can. But I want to be respectful of the staff. 2.4 25 Nobody wants to make a decision?

1	All right. I'll make a decision. We'll keep
2	going.
3	MS. CICHETTI: Okay.
4	
5	ITEM M1
6	
7	MS. CICHETTI: The next item on the agenda is
8	Public Policy Hearing; M1, Proposition 19
9	Implementation.
10	There are no planned staff reports or external
11	speakers for this agenda item for this month's meeting;
12	however, persons who wish to address the Board on this
13	topic as a public comment, may do so.
14	AT&T moderator we have no one who's come
15	forward, or no written comments on this matter. So I
16	will go to the AT&T moderator.
17	AT&T MODERATOR: Thank you.
18	Again, it is one, zero to make a comment.
19	Please press one, zero at this time.
20	And currently no comments.
21	MS. CICHETTI: AT&T moderator oh, we've
22	already done that.
23	Can I just take one moment?
24	MS. COHEN: Yes, please. You may.
25	MS. CICHETTI: I apologize. We do have a

1	public comment, but it's on the next item. The next
2	item.
3	MS. COHEN: All right. Well, let's go to the
4	next item.
5	
6	ITEM M2
7	
8	MS. CICHETTI: Public Policy Hearing; M2,
9	Impact of Public Calamities on Property Tax
10	Administration, County Boards of Equalization/Assessment
11	Appeals Boards (AAB) Remote Hearings.
12	There are no planned staff reports or external
13	speakers for this agenda item for this month's meeting;
14	however, persons who wish to address the Board on this
15	topic as a public comment may do so.
16	We have no written comments or anyone in the
17	audience who would like to make a comment, so I'm going
18	to go to the AT&T moderator.
19	MS. COHEN: Please do.
20	MS. CICHETTI: AT&T moderator, please let us
21	know if there is anyone on the line who would like to
22	make a public comment regarding this matter at this
23	time.
24	AT&T MODERATOR: And if so, please press one,
25	zero at this time. Again, it's one, zero.

1	And nobody in queue.
2	
3	ITEM N
4	
5	MS. CICHETTI: The next item on the agenda is
6	N, Public Comment on Matters Not on the Agenda.
7	Persons who wish to address the Board of
8	Equalization regarding items not on the agenda may do so
9	under this item on the agenda.
10	Please note that the Board cannot take action
11	on items not on the agenda; however, the Board can
12	schedule issues raised by the public for consideration
13	at future meetings.
14	We do have a written comment. I have no one
15	in the audience. So we'll go to the AT&T moderator
16	first.
17	AT&T moderator, do we have anyone on the line
18	who would like to make a public comment at this time?
19	AT&T MODERATOR: And public comments, please
20	press one, zero.
21	Currently no comments in queue.
22	MS. CICHETTI: The public comment that came in
23	writing before this meeting on our webpage is from
24	Cathy Brusseau.
25	Hi. I want you to know if there was if it

1 was true that persons over the age of 65 does not have 2 to pay property taxes in California. 3 Thank you. MS. COHEN: Thank you. That's it? 4 5 MS. CICHETTI: All right. Our last item is closing remarks. 6 7 MS. COHEN: All right. Thank you very much. 8 So in closing remarks, what I'd like to do is 9 just make the notion that we adjourn our meeting in 10 honor of Ms. Rose Marie Kinnee, who was -- what's going 11 on? 12 MS. CICHETTI: Nothing. 13 MS. COHEN: Okay. 14 On behalf of the Board, I'd like to express 15 our sincere condolences regarding the passing of 16 Ms. Rose Marie Kinnee. 17 As stated earlier in our meeting in the 18 Executive Director's Report, she worked 28 years, and 19 was well-known throughout the state as a preeminent Property Tax Legislative Analyst, and a valued member of 20 21 our BOE family.

Her incredible spirit, heart for others, and invaluable contributions to the property tax community and friendship will be deeply missed.

25 We extend our thoughts and prayers to her

1 husband, Dean, who served as Executive Director of the Board of Equalization, and as Chief of the Property Tax 2 3 Division. So to the entire Kinnee family, they have 4 5 given a lot to this organization, and we are eternally 6 grateful. 7 Thank you. 8 Colleagues, I don't know if there's any other 9 closing remarks. 10 If not, I think we can close this meeting. MS. CICHETTI: We'll recess this meeting. 11 12 MS. COHEN: And we're going to -- thank you. 13 We'll recess this meeting for our schedule 14 tomorrow. 15 MR. GAINES: Just one comment in reference to 16 the caller. 17 Maybe she was reference -- I'm wondering if 18 she's referencing base year value, which is Prop. 19, 19 which would go into effect at age 55. 20 MS. COHEN: Thank you for the acknowledgment 21 of the public comment. Thank you for all that acknowledged the Kinnee 22 23 family. And we are in recess until tomorrow. 2.4 Thank you. 25 (Whereupon the Board Meeting concluded.)

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	State of California )
3	) ss
4	County of Sacramento )
5	
6	I, Jillian Sumner, Hearing Reporter for the
7	California State Board of Equalization, certify that on
8	October 18th, 2022, I recorded verbatim, in shorthand,
9	to the best of my ability, the proceedings in the
10	above-entitled hearing; that I transcribed the shorthand
11	writing into typewriting; and that the preceding
12	pages 1 through 158 constitute a complete and accurate
13	transcription of the shorthand writing.
14	
15	Dated: January 6, 2023
16	
17	
18	Jillian Summer
19	JILLIAN SUMNER, CSR #13619
20	Hearing Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	