1	
2	
3	
4	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
5	450 N STREET
6	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
7	BOARD MEETING TELECONFERENCE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
14	FEBRUARY 24, 2021
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	REPORTED BY: Jillian M. Sumner
28	CSR NO. 13619

1	APPEAR	ING TELEPHONICALLY
2 3	For the Board of Equalization:	Honorable Antonio Vazquez Chair
4		Honorable Mike Schaefer Vice Chair
5 6		Honorable Ted Gaines First District
7		Honorable Malia M. Cohen Second District
8 9		Yvette Stowers Appearing for Betty T.
10		Yee, State Controller (per Government Code Section 7.9)
11	For the Board of Equalization Staff:	
12	-	Brenda Fleming Executive Director
13 14		Henry Nanjo Chief Counsel
15		Legal Department
16		Richard Moon Tax Counsel IV Legal Department
17		David Yeung
18 19		Deputy Director Property Tax Department
20		Patricia Lumsden Chief County-Assessed Properties
21		Division
22		Lisa Thompson Taxpayer Rights Advocate
23		Peter Kim
24		Chief Communications Officer
25		Lisa Renati Chief Deputy Director
26		Cathy Taylor
27		Chief Board Proceedings Division
28		-

7		DANGEG CONSTRUCT.
1	APPEA	ARANCES CONTINUED:
2	Additional speakers:	
3 4 5		Ernest Dronenburg President California Assessors' Association
6		Jeff Prang
7		Assessor Los Angeles County
8	Public Speakers:	Joseph Penino
9		
10		
11		INDEX
12		<u>PAGE NO</u> .
13	Introductions	4
14	Item M	9
15	Item G1	21
16	Item Kld	50
17	Public Comment	77
18	Item G1 Recalled	79
19	Item K4	83
20	Item N	87
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION 1 TELECONFERENCE 2 FEBRUARY 24, 2021 3 -----4 MR. VAZQUEZ: Good morning. Good morning, 5 6 everybody. Looks like we're all present and ready to 7 So we'll go ahead and reconvene our meeting that qo. we started yesterday. 8 If I can get Ms. Taylor to please call the 9 roll. 10 MS. TAYLOR: Certainly. 11 12 Chairman Vazquez. MR. VAZQUEZ: Present. 13 MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer. 14 MR. SCHAEFER: Present. 15 MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines. 16 17 MR. GAINES: Present. 18 MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen. 19 MS. COHEN: Present. 20 MS. TAYLOR: Deputy Controller Stowers. 21 MS. STOWERS: Present. MR. VAZQUEZ: So we have a quorum. 22 23 Everybody is present. 24 I wanted to just, again, remind everybody, 25 another friendly reminder, that we're all sharing the same line. And I know some of us yesterday, and it 26 looks like today again, are experiencing some 27 technical problems with the Internet. So if I could 28

1 just remind everybody that. And you did a great job yesterday. And 2 hopefully we can do it again today, in terms of just 3 identifying yourself. And I will recognize you to 4 speak, so we can make sure the transcriptionist gets 5 it right when they're recording our minutes, and keep 6 everything as clean and clear as possible. 7 With that, let me have Ms. Taylor please 8 announce the first order of business. 9 MS. TAYLOR: Our first order of business is 10 an announcement regarding the public teleconference 11 12 participation. Good morning and thank you for joining 13 today's Board of Equalization Meeting via 14 teleconference. 15 Throughout the duration of today's meeting 16 17 you will primarily be in a listen-only mode. 18 As you may know from our public agenda 19 notice and our website, we have requested that 20 individuals who wish to make a public comment fill 21 out a "public comment submission form" found on our "additional information" web page in advance of 2.2 today's meeting. Or, alternatively, participate in 23 24 today's meeting by providing your public comment 25 live. After the presentation of an item has 26 concluded, we will begin by identifying any public 27 comment requests that have been received by our Board 28

Proceedings staff, with the AT&T operator providing 1 directions for you to identify yourself. 2 After all known public commenters have been 3 called, the operator will also provide public comment 4 instructions to individuals participating via 5 teleconference. 6 7 Accordingly, if you intend to make a public comment today, we recommend dialing into the meeting 8 on the teleconference line, as the audio broadcast on 9 our website experiences a one-to-three minute delay. 10 When giving a public comment, please limit 11 your remarks to three minutes. 12 We ask that everyone who is not intending to 13 make a public comment, please mute their line or 14 minimize background noise. 15 If there are technical difficulties when we 16 are in the public comment portion of our meeting, we 17 18 will do our best to read submitted comments into the 19 record at appropriate times. 20 Thank you for your patience and 21 understanding. 2.2 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 23 Before we begin, Members, I just want to 24 thank Senator Hertzberg, Robert Hertzberg, and then 25 my Senator, Ben Allen, and then one of the coauthors now, Senator Mike McGuire, for their work to 26 introduce SB-539, which would implement the 27 constitutional provisions of Prop. 19. 28

Members, due to the introduction of this 1 important Proposition 19 legislation just a few days 2 ago, I would like us to start with that, as it really 3 drives everything else that we will have on our 4 agenda today. 5 Therefore, I am going to move Item M from 6 7 our public policy hearing on Prop. 19 up to the first place on our agenda, to give time to our Executive 8 Director, Ms. Fleming, and her staff to provide an 9 overview that was scheduled under K4b, legislation, 10 by Ms. Fleming. 11 12 This will allow each Member, the assessors, and the public to hear her report, ask questions, as 13 we provide input moving forward. 14 Having said that, since there is -- are 15 Prop. 19 items and discussion matters on the agenda, 16 17 we will need to limit the public policy hearing 18 session to one hour, in order to give all other 19 scheduled speakers an opportunity to present their 20 reports and answer questions. 21 This means that after we conclude Item M, the Prop. 19 Public Policy Hearing, our second item 2.2 23 will be Item G, rulemaking. 24 Our third item will be the K1b, an overview 25 of the action plan for Prop. 19, which has six sub 26 items. And then our fourth item will be Item K4b, 27 legislation and recommended Board action by 28

Ms. Fleming. 1 With that, and then just a big shout-out, a 2 real thank you to staff, the BOE staff, and my chief 3 of staff, and my staff. 4 You know, these last two weeks it's been --5 I know it's been real tough. And I've asked them to 6 7 put extra hours in, especially over the weekends, to make sure that we've got this thing moving. 8 And with the help of our senators and the 9 leadership, we now have a bill that we can move 10 forward. 11 12 Now the task is to make sure that it gets through these committees and moves forward in a 13 14 productive way. And of course we had a lot of participation 15 from our assessors' leadership, you know, 16 17 Mr. Ernest Dronenburg, and then Jeff Prang, my 18 assessor here. But with that, let me open it up and see if 19 20 any of the Members have any opening remarks before I 21 ask Ms. Fleming to begin our presentation on Item M. Seeing no hands, we will go ahead and get 22 23 started then. 24 Ms. Taylor, is Ms. Fleming on track and 25 available? MS. TAYLOR: I believe she is. Would you 26 like me to call the first item? 27 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes, please. 28

1 ITEM M 2 3 MS. TAYLOR: Our first item is M, Public 4 Policy Hearings. Items that appear under these 5 6 matters provide information to the Members, and may 7 require Board action or direction. Subitem 1 is Proposition 19 implementation, 8 discussion on the implementation of Proposition 19, 9 10 The Home Protection for Seniors, Severely Disabled, Families, and Victims of Wildfire or Natural 11 12 Disasters Act of 2020. Is Ms. Fleming ready to present? 13 MS. FLEMING: Good morning. 14 Thank you, Ms. Taylor. 15 Good morning, Chairman Vazquez and Honorable 16 17 Members. This is Brenda Fleming, Executive Director. 18 Happy Wednesday. 19 Members, I will provide an overview of the 20 proposed legislation related to the implementation of 21 Prop. 19. As I recently informed the Board last 2.2 23 Thursday, February 18th, Senate Bill-539 was 24 introduced by Senator Bob Hertzberg, joined by 25 principal coauthors Senators Ben Allen and Mike McGuire, to assist in implementation of 26 Proposition 19. 27 The bill, Members, adds section 63.2 and 28

69.6 to the Revenue and Taxation Code, which provides 1 clarifications related to intergenerational transfers 2 of real property and base year value transfers. 3 So crucial elements in the introduced bill 4 will include defining the eligibility requirement, 5 such as transfers can be from grandchild to 6 7 grandparent, excludes stepparents from having to be deceased for grandparent-grandchild, and property 8 must be maintained as a family home, and some 9 additional filing requirements. 10 Members, Mr. Moon is going to go into a 11 12 great deal more detail when we get to the G item as a part of the rulemaking process. 13 And we'll talk more about the details of the 14 legislation, the rulemaking process, and the two 15 options that we have with regular rulemaking process, 16 17 and then the emergency rulemaking process. 18 We'll talk a little bit more about the 19 agility that the emergency rulemaking process 20 provides. We've exercised it before. So just noting 21 with that ability to do emergency rulemaking process, the emergency rules, as you know, is just temporary. 2.2 23 I am pleased to share that the bill also 24 includes the authority for BOE to adopt emergency 25 regulations and produce forms and instructions in order to implement Prop. 19. 26 But, again, I'll note the bill has just been 27 introduced, and is not yet enacted. 28

Members, I will provide a brief overview of 1 the legislative process. 2 Normally, bills are required to be in print 3 for about 30 days before they are heard or acted 4 The Senate has recently taken action that 5 upon. allows the bill to be heard earlier than 30 days. 6 7 As of today, it is anticipated that the bill may be heard in the nearby future in the next week or 8 so, possibly by some of the policy committees. 9 We are hearing it possibly could be Senate 10 Gov. and Finance. But, again, that's tentative, and 11 12 we'll wait for the legislative -- Legislature to publish their information. 13 During the committee process, Members, the 14 bill may be amended. And that will be another 15 16 opportunity -- at the public Legislative Committee 17 hearing, that will be another opportunity for the 18 public and all of us to provide additional public 19 comment and engage in public discussion. 20 Members, also, the California Assessors' 21 Association Legislative and their Executive Committee 2.2 met yesterday regarding Senate Bill-539. 23 The purpose of that meeting was to discuss 24 the -- the legislation as it is compared to the full 25 legislative proposal. That was attached to our January PAN. 26 There was agreement between our 27 organizations, between BOE and NCAA, to recommend a 28

letter of support for Senate Bill-539. And we'll 1 talk about that under a later agenda item today. I 2 will be asking for your -- your approval to proceed 3 with a letter of support. 4 Members, as I noted before, bill 5 introduction is the first phase of the legislative 6 7 process. As you can tell over the last few weeks, and 8 since we've been meeting on this, things are moving 9 quickly. And they change from morning to afternoon. 10 So it's a rapid, very exciting process. 11 12 Later in today's agenda, Members, I will ask for the Board's approval, as I've mentioned, to 13 submit a letter of support to the Legislature, and 14 can take any questions for you at that time. 15 This concludes, Members, my high-level 16 17 overview for this portion of the public policy 18 discussion. 19 We have an opportunity now to have more 20 discussion or Q and A, and also if there's any public 21 commenters. Thank you, Members. 2.2 23 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 24 Any questions for Ms. Fleming? 25 I have one quick one. Ms. Fleming, I know now that this newly 26 introduced legislation, SB-539, the Hertzberg Bill is 27 out there. Where can the public find this bill? 28 Ιs

1 it posted on our BOE website yet? MS. FLEMING: So there's two -- two areas --2 if you can hear me -- two areas where the information 3 is available. 4 So, one, we will have the link to the bill 5 information on our BOE.ca.gov website. It's also 6 7 available if you go to the legislative website, either through the Assembly or the Senate. 8 But, again, the link is also available on 9 our website. So we encourage anyone to visit the 10 website for additional information. 11 12 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Any other questions from any of the Members? 13 If not, let me ask if there's any 14 questions -- or are there any assessors on the line 15 16 that have any questions or comments on this, 17 Ms. Taylor? 18 MS. TAYLOR: I think if we open the line --19 MR. VAZQUEZ: I just saw a hand go up by 20 Member Gaines. 21 Member Gaines, go ahead. MR. GAINES: Great. Thank you. 2.2 23 How are you, Tony? 24 MR. VAZQUEZ: Good. Good. How's it going? MR. GAINES: Good. Thanks for the 25 opportunity, Chair Vazquez. 26 So I just wanted to clarify. I know there 27 have been some issues with agriculture in terms of 28

1 trying to provide some clarity. And it's my understanding that that will be addressed at a future 2 time. 3 And so I just wanted to acknowledge that 4 there are some challenges in terms of the 5 interpretation, potential challenges. And we just 6 7 want to make sure that they're clarified, and there'll be a vehicle in the future to address those. 8 I've got a lot of agriculture in my 9 district. And there's just a lot of concern. 10 Ι think they -- the ag community felt that it was 11 12 addressed in the original language in the initiative. But we just want to make sure that that 13 is -- that remains clear, and that family farms 14 are -- have that opportunity to pass land on to the 15 16 next generation. And of course you have some challenges when 17 18 it comes to valuation of property versus the actual 19 revenue raised off the property. 20 And I think cattle ranching is probably one 21 of the best examples where low-margin business, but you could have high-valued land that could break up a 2.2 23 family farm or ranch that's been in operation for 24 generations. 25 So I just wanted to bring that issue up. And encourage that we're gonna have an opportunity to 26 address that as earlier discussed. 27 So thank you, Chair Vazquez. 28

1 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. That is a good point. I mean, this --2 they're calling it the skinny bill. But this bill 3 that's actually moving forward now doesn't have 4 everything -- you know, I mean, we had a whole 5 6 laundry list of things. But the legislators were 7 saying, well if you want to fast track it, give us what you need now. And that's what you see here in 8 539. 9 But we still have another -- hopefully 10 another bite at the apple coming soon before the 11 12 April 1st deadline. And we'll keep you posted on that as that moves forward. 13 And I see a hand also from our president 14 from the assessors, Mr. Ernie Dronenburg, if he's 15 16 available. 17 MR. DRONENBURG: Yeah. Good morning 18 MR. VAZQUEZ: Good morning. MR. DRONENBURG: It's good to see you guys. 19 20 I think Ted's mouth is moving. Is he trying 21 to say something? MR. VAZQUEZ: No, go ahead. 2.2 MR. DRONENBURG: I can't overstate the 23 24 amount of teamwork that has gone on this. 25 You know, I've been around a long time, and I've never seen such cooperation, such overtime, 26 working weekends, hours plus, by the Board of 27 Equalization with the assessors on an issue. 28

It's really heartbreaking to think about 1 what the past could have been if we had this kind of 2 cooperation. It's really cool. 3 And a special kudos to Brenda. Because 4 every other word out of her mouth was -- during this 5 process is, "We need emergency regulations for the 6 7 Board. We need emergency regulations for the Board." She didn't care if anything else was in the 8 bill, as long as it included emergency regulations 9 for the Board. 10 And they're there. So that's really 11 12 important. You guys are going to fill the gap of what the Legislature is going to allow us to have. 13 And I feel like this is all old hat to Ted. 14 He spent many years in there. He knows what we see 15 16 is not necessarily what we're going to get. 17 But the -- the author of the bill -- and 18 it's coauthored by McGuire. And that's really good, 19 because he's really sticking up for the farm 20 interest, Ted. He's there in spades for the farm 21 interest. But the author of the bill has said -- and I 2.2 23 don't want to say too much, because Moon's going to 24 do an excellent presentation, I'm sure. But he has said that there would be no 25 amendments to this bill. Because he wanted to get it 26 through. And the way to get it through is make no 27 amendments. 28

Of course I know here again Ted is really 1 grinning because he knows that there's no such thing 2 as no amendments. If somebody really wants it, and 3 it's do or die, it will be amended. But that's what 4 he claims. 5 So I'm not going to talk about substance. 6 7 You've got Moon, who's been really involved with this. And he's done an excellent job. So I'll let 8 him get into the details. 9 But just compliments to your staff, and 10 letting you know what we see as the progress. And 11 12 we're spending all of our efforts with our lobbyists on this thing, and it's been quite a lift. 13 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 14 I see another hand now from Ms. Stowers. 15 Go ahead, Ms. Stowers. 16 17 MS. STOWERS: Thank you, Chair Vazquez. 18 I also wanted to say how grateful I am that 19 SB-539 was introduced. I am so looking forward to it 20 being -- moving forward and enacted. 21 I'm going to wait for Mr. Moon's 2.2 presentation to clarify some of the rulemaking. 23 But I do want to acknowledge the work that 24 BOE's staff did. 25 It was a heavy lift, you guys. And you guys stayed focused and continued to push. 26 Same thing with the assessors. Their staff 27 and their lobbyists in their push for it. 28

And of course the Members' staff who had 1 some involvement, and others who I may or may not 2 know about. 3 So it was all hands on deck to get this bill 4 introduced. So hopefully we don't have any 5 amendments, so we can keep it moving forward. 6 7 And anything that's lacking in the bill, as long as we can clarify it in the rulemaking process, 8 I'm on board. Just look forward to moving it 9 forward. 10 Thank you. 11 12 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. You're right. Both of you spoke to this. 13 You know, there was just so many folks that 14 spent the extra hours and extra time on this, and I 15 16 really appreciate it. 17 But with that, let me just check and see if 18 there's any public comments. 19 Ms. Taylor, are there any public comments on 20 the content of SB-539? 21 MS. TAYLOR: I will check. AT&T moderator, would you please let us know 2.2 23 if there's anyone on the line who would like to make 24 a public comment regarding this matter. 25 All callers are requested to limit their comments to three minutes in order to provide 26 everyone with an opportunity. 27 For the record, we request that callers 28

1 provide their name. AT&T MODERATOR: Thank you. 2 If you wish to make a public comment, please 3 press one, and then zero at this time. 4 5 And I do have one in queue. It will just be one moment for their name. 6 7 Thank you. And we'll go to the line of Joseph. 8 Please state your name, followed by your 9 comment. 10 MR. PENINO: Joseph Penino [phonetic]. 11 12 I want to thank Chairman Vazquez and all the other Honorable chair people. 13 I'm not sure if this is -- exactly falls 14 into Senate Bill-539. However, my concern is the 15 16 implementation of Prop. 19 and the gray area from the 17 point that it was approved in the election, to the 18 point we get the application and the interpretation for the administrative process. 19 20 That's a pretty big area there. And I 21 happen to fall within that area of selling a primary, 2.2 and then picking up a replacement property. So I was wondering, am I off base here? 23 Do 24 I look in 539, which may pick up my concerns? Or is 25 there somebody that can comment on that, and lead me in the right direction? 26 Thank you. 27 28 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Is there anybody else on the line, 1 Ms. Taylor, or was that it? 2 AT&T MODERATOR: I have no further comments 3 in queue at this time. 4 MR. VAZQUEZ: What I would just suggest for 5 that last caller, just check -- keep checking our 6 7 website. Because we're trying to keep it as current as possible, especially as SB-539 moves forward. 8 And if for some reason this doesn't answer 9 your question, by all means, you know, on our 10 website, you can reach out to our staff, either our 11 12 staff up in Sacramento, or depending on what district you're in, you can locate any one of the Members as 13 well. 14 But feel free to reach out. At the end of 15 the day, I always suggest and recommend -- because 16 17 we're not legally in a position to give you legal 18 advice, I recommend that you check with your -whether you're working with an attorney, or your real 19 20 estate people, or your financial folks. Because 21 every case is very specific and very unique. And I don't want to misquide anybody, or lead somebody on 2.2 with misinformation. 23 24 So, for me, I think I -- I think the safest 25 thing is to, one, check the website. And if your answer -- if you don't find an answer there, then I 26 would continue with either one of our staff, our 27 district offices, or our staff in Sacramento. 28

1 And if that doesn't clear it up for you, then I would refer back to whoever you're working 2 with that's doing your financial planning, just to be 3 safe. Especially on real estate. 4 I see a hand up by Vice Chair Schaefer. 5 MR. SCHAEFER: Yes, Chair Vazquez. 6 7 I just wanted to say I have no specific comments, but I am so impressed with Assessor 8 Dronenburg's presentation showing how hard his staff 9 and our staff have gone the extra mile to make this 10 all possible. We need more input from them. 11 12 Thank you. MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 13 Now, this has been really -- a real team 14 And I know Mr. Dronenburg and some of his effort. 15 16 other assessors went that extra mile. And I really 17 appreciate the time and effort they put in as well to 18 work with us on this. 19 With that, and I'm not -- looking and seeing 20 any other hands or comments, Ms. Taylor, would you 21 please call our second agenda item. 2.2 23 ITEM G1 24 25 MS. TAYLOR: Yes. Our second agenda item is G1, Chief Counsel Matters, Rulemaking; overview of 26 the plan to develop guidance and rulemaking, 27 presented by Mr. Moon. 28

MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 1 Mr. Moon, are you available at this time? 2 I see you on the screen. Okay. 3 MR. MOON: I am. 4 Good morning, Chairman Vazquez, Members of 5 the Board. Richard Moon with the Legal Department. 6 7 As you know, staff's been working on various types of guidance for Prop. 19. And we most recently 8 published an LTA 2021/08, which includes about 9 40 questions and answers regarding the 10 intergenerational transfer exclusion. 11 12 And this LTA gives assessors, taxpayers, and interested parties, guidance on topics, such as, for 13 example, timing, valuations, specific transactions, 14 and partial transfers. And we believe this LTA does 15 16 provide significant guidance. 17 However, as you're aware, guidance published 18 in the form of an LTA does not have the authority 19 that regulations do. 20 Therefore, in addition to the LTA guidance, 21 we've been working on draft regulations, two new 2.2 regulations, and amendments to several existing 23 regulations. 24 Because work has also been proceeding along 25 the legislative track, staff has been preparing to move the rules through either the emergency 26 rulemaking, or the regular rulemaking process. 27 And, as you know, and as has just been 28

discussed, SB-539 has now been introduced. And it 1 includes explicit emergency rulemaking authorization. 2 But it has not yet passed, and it is not clear how 3 quickly the bill will move. 4 Therefore, instead of waiting, staff will 5 begin the regular rulemaking process, following the 6 7 procedures required by OAL and the APA, and adhering to our usual practice. 8 If SB-539 passes during this process, staff 9 would bring the rules to the Board for adoption as an 10 emergency regulation, and also continue with the 11 12 regular rulemaking process. We would then go down both tracks 13 simultaneously, effectively pulling forward the 14 regular rulemaking that would ordinarily follow the 15 16 emergency rulemaking process that makes the emergency 17 rules permanent. 18 As stated, we're drafting two separate new 19 rules: one covering the intergenerational transfer 20 exclusion, and one covering the base year value 21 transfers. And we're a little bit further along on the 2.2 23 intergenerational transfer exclusion rule, because of 24 the earlier operative date. 25 So for the intergenerational transfer exclusion, as you know, Prop. 19 excludes transfers 26 of a principal residence between parent or 27 grandparent, and child or grandchild that continue to 28

1 use the real property as their principal residence. The regulation that we're drafting contains 2 specific guidance regarding definitions, or what you 3 may characterize as substantive parts of Prop. 19 4 regarding filing and regarding valuation. 5 With regard to the substantive definitional 6 7 category, some of the issues that are addressed in the regulation are the continual residence 8 requirements in the real property as the principal 9 residence of the eligible transferee, that the 10 allowance of transfers from grandchildren to 11 12 grandparents, so that the transfers can go both directions. 13 The rules also state that eligible 14 transferees have one year to establish the real 15 16 property as the principal residence. And, also, that 17 a family farm need not include a principal residence. 18 And these requirements that are in the 19 regulation or in the draft regulation also mirror 20 what is in SB-539. 21 Things that are in the rule that are not explicitly in SB-539, but that the rule clarifies, 2.2 23 include items such as that only a single eligible 24 transferee need make the real property their 25 principal residence. It has claim-filing requirements. 26 And although SB-539 does not specify what those 27 requirements are, it does -- it does require the 28

Board to come up with claim-filing requirements. 1 And so these rules would do that. 2 The rules also address the fact that 3 assessors can ask for verification for the exclusion 4 or for the continued residence. That the transferor 5 cannot also transfer the base year value to another 6 principal residence. 7 And it also has a portion dealing with the 8 application of supplemental assessments. 9 As far as the filing requirements, the rules 10 would state that a claim must be filed within three 11 12 years, or within six months of supplemental assessment, or prior to the transfer to a third 13 party, or else there will only be prospective 14 release. And, again, as long as the property hasn't 15 been transferred to a third party. 16 17 And these filing requirements are not 18 explicitly stated in SB-539, but they do mirror what 19 currently exists to file a claim under Section 63.1, 20 which is the parent-child exclusion under Prop. 58. 21 As far as valuation, the rules will require -- will demonstrate the calculation of how 2.2 23 the new taxable value is calculated upon application 24 of the exclusion and upon removal of the exclusion. And it will be described and then fleshed out with a 25 number of examples. And, again, that level of 26 specificity is not in SB-539. 27 For the base year value transfer, again, as 28

you know, Prop. 19 allows transfer of a base year 1 value from an original principal residence to a 2 replacement principal residence for those 55 or over, 3 severely disabled, and victims of wildfire and 4 natural disasters. 5 6 Here, again, the regulations contain 7 specific quidance around substantive or definitional requirements, filing requirements, and then 8 valuation. 9 So examples of items that are in the 10 substantive or definitional category would include 11 12 that either the sale of the original residence, or the purchase of the replacement residence, but not 13 both, may occur before April 1; that each spouse gets 14 three transfers and a previous transfer that may have 15 16 been made under 69.5. The old base year value rules 17 will not count towards those three transfers. And 18 those items are currently in SB-539. 19 Things that are not in SB-539 that the rule 20 clarifies would be things such as, specifically, 21 we're explicitly including victims of wildfire and natural disasters. That is not explicitly stated in 2.2 23 SB-539. 24 It would explicitly include a filing 25 requirement. It would explicitly include a number of definitions. 26 And it would explicitly include that a claim 27 for 69.5 is not a public document. That requirement 28

is there in the intergenerational transfer exclusion 1 in SB-539, but not in the base year value transfer 2 portion. 3 As far as filing requirements, the rules 4 require that a claim must be filed generally within 5 three years of purchase or completion of new 6 7 construction of the replacement principal residence. And that is not in 539, SB-539. But, again, 8 it does mirror the current requirements that are in 9 Section 69.5. 10 With respect to valuation, the rules will 11 12 establish the dates on which valuation are to be established, and then examples of how the calculation 13 of the value is to be done. And, again, that level 14 of specificity is not in SB-539. 15 As far as our process and our timing, again, 16 17 we have two brand new rules that will be created. We 18 expect that the first new rule, the one covering the intergenerational transfer exclusion, will be 19 20 published for comment early next week. And the 21 second regarding base year value transfers, one-to-two weeks following that. 2.2 23 Each rule would then move along similar, but 24 separate tracks, separated by about a month time. 25 And that will allow staff to focus on completing the intergenerational transfer exclusion rule first, and 26 then complete the base year transfer rule. 27 And, again, that's because of the earlier 28

1 operative date of the intergenerational transfer exclusion. 2 The process for both rules will involve 3 staff bringing them back to the Board two times. The 4 first would be for approval to send to the Office of 5 Administrative Law to publish the NOPA, or the Notice 6 7 of Proposed Amendment. And we anticipate for the intergenerational 8 transfer exclusion rule, that that would be at the 9 April meeting. And then for the base year value 10 transfer rule, that that would be at the May Board 11 12 Meeting. The second time we would bring the rule back 13 to the Board would be for adoption after a public 14 hearing. 15 And we would anticipate for the first rule, 16 17 that would be in July; and for the second rule, that 18 it would be in August. 19 And given all of the various considerations, 20 such as time, transparency and public involvement, we believe that this is the best timeframe to follow. 21 2.2 And I'm happy to answer any questions that 23 you may have. 24 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Thank you, 25 Mr. Moon. Once again, I just wanted to really look --26 I'm looking forward to this rule resolving some of 27 our critical issues that are concerning to the 28

1 taxpayers as guickly as possible. And I am very grateful, again, to our 2 Senators Hertzberg, Allen, and McGuire for including 3 this emergency rulemaking authority for Prop. 19 for 4 the BOE, and this bill, SB-539. 5 But I am -- and you kind of touched on it in 6 7 your remarks here. One, I have a question, you know, clarify how long the child transferee or their 8 sibling must occupy the home in order to keep this 9 exclusion. 10 MR. MOON: Yeah. That -- so both SB-539 and 11 12 the rules require that the exclusion will last as long as an eligible transferee keeps the real 13 property as their principal residence. 14 And that will -- that is in SB-539. It will 15 16 also be stated again in the rule. 17 MR. VAZQUEZ: Now, for example, I know that 18 we've heard this from some testimonies, if there's, let's say, three children, for example, and one 19 20 leaves, how much time could lapse before another one 21 could move in and claim it as their principal residence? 2.2 23 MR. MOON: They would have the same one year 24 that the original eligible transferee who moved in first had. 25 And, again, that would be made clear in the 26 rule. 27 MR. VAZQUEZ: And then on the -- okay. 28

Well, that's -- what about, can we clarify the 1 method, timing and impact of Prop. 19, the 2 requirement for assessors to adjust any amount over a 3 million each year based on the percentage changed in 4 the California housing price index? 5 MR. MOON: Yes. There is plan to be a 6 7 provision in the rule that will cover that. And what will be required is that that's done beginning, I 8 believe, in 2023. 9 And then every two years after that, the 10 Board will look at that index, and then publish, I'm 11 12 assuming via the form of an LTA, what that adjustment will be. 13 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 14 Are there any questions? 15 I see a couple hands now. Let me start with 16 17 Ms. Stowers, and then Member Gaines. 18 Go ahead, Ms. Stowers. 19 MS. STOWERS: I get to go first. Thank you. 20 Okay. Let's start with SB-539, and then I'm 21 looking at the agenda. 2.2 Mr. Moon, you said that you guys would do a 23 dual track, you'll go with the regular rulemaking, 24 and you'll go with the emergency rulemaking. 25 And then if SB-539 is enacted, you'll come back to the Board to move forward with the emergency 26 rules. 27 So I'm not -- looking at SB-539, I'm not 28

really clear if emergency rulemaking includes both 1 intergenerational transfer and base year transfer, or 2 just intergenerational transfers. 3 MR. MOON: Well, what I can say is that the 4 emergency rulemaking authority is in part of the bill 5 that's section two, which is 63.2, which is the 6 7 intergenerational transfers. But the language of the authorization says 8 that we have authority to implement Section 2.1 of 9 10 Proposition 19, which is both base year value and intergenerational transfer. 11 12 MS. STOWERS: Thank you for that clarification. That's what I thought, but I wanted 13 14 to have you say it. I also want to talk about the regular 15 rulemaking process, and then emergency rulemaking 16 17 process. Let's start with regular. 18 Again, let me first thank, again, the senators for moving this bill forward, 539. Because 19 20 it's extremely important for everyone. 21 But I also wanted to acknowledge that I've been getting some phone calls, and people are 2.2 indicating that the BOE -- for the BOE to take action 23 24 on the regular rulemaking process to implement 25 Prop. 19, could be viewed as the BOE attempting to expand this authority or interfere with the 26 legislative process. 27 So, Mr. Moon, if you don't mind, or if 28

Mr. Nanjo is there, could someone kind of give us an 1 overview on why we are considering regular rulemaking 2 process, and what is our obligation and legal 3 authority? 4 And that's my first question regarding 5 rulemaking. And then I do have a second question. 6 7 MR. NANJO: Okay. Hi. This is Henry Nanjo, Chief Counsel. 8 Mr. Moon, I can go ahead and take this, and 9 then you can add in if I missed anything, if that's 10 11 all right. 12 MR. MOON: Certainly. MR. NANJO: Okay. Thank you. 13 So as this Board has discussed over the last 14 several months, the Proposition 19, which was dually 15 passed by the voters in November of 2020, left a lot 16 17 of details ambiguous or without clarity. 18 As the Board knows, the Board of 19 Equalization has obligation to make sure that rules, 20 property tax rules are applied consistently and in a 21 fair manner across the 58 counties of California. In this particular case, in an effort to 2.2 23 provide guidance to the 58 assessors, especially on 24 the intergenerational transfer issue, which became 25 effective -- Proposition 19 rules became effective on February 16th. 26 It is our -- basically in conjunction with 27 working with the California Assessors' Association, 28

an attempt to provide that guidance, which will help 1 them do their work consistently. 2 In regards to your comment about 3 overreaching on the part of BOE, the Legal Department 4 is very sensitive to that. So what we are doing is 5 we are strictly looking to the intent and the text of 6 7 Proposition 19, and only making those rules which there is some basis on in both Proposition 19, either 8 in the text, or in some of the intent language. 9 However, that being said, there are a number 10 of issues that are still unclear, which we do not 11 12 have that kind of basis or guidance on, which remains to be in the purview of the Legislature. 13 MS. STOWERS: Okay. 14 MR. NANJO: Does that answer your question, 15 16 Deputy Controller Stowers? 17 MS. STOWERS: I do believe so. And you did 18 kind of stepped -- you must have read my mind on the 19 overreaching. That was my follow-up question. So I 20 appreciate that. 21 MR. NANJO: You mentioned that in your comments, ma'am. 2.2 23 MS. STOWERS: Oh, did I? I have so much on 24 my brain. Brain overload. Because I was going to 25 thank you for that. Because, you know, I've been around a couple 26 of times with, not necessarily BOE 2.0, but BOE 1.0, 27 and another agency, where they've had rulemaking 28

1 regulations. And it was determined to be an overreach, and rules determined to be invalid. 2 So I'm happy to hear that you guys are 3 sensitive to that, and making sure that what you do 4 do in rulemaking is within the limits of Prop. 19. 5 And, of course, if SB-539 is enacted, and 6 7 there's additionally provision within the law, I'm sure our rulemaking will follow it. 8 So that kind of an --9 MR. NANJO: Absolutely. We are --10 MS. STOWERS: Go ahead. 11 12 MR. NANJO: No, I said absolutely. We are very mindful and are taking great lengths to be 13 consistent with the language that exists. 14 MS. STOWERS: Thank you. 15 Mr. Moon, did you want to add anything else 16 17 to regular rulemaking? 18 MR. MOON: I mean, I guess the only thing I 19 would add is that we are also sensitive to potential 20 overreach on our part. 21 And we are sensitive to the way that the 2.2 government is set up in terms of the separation of 23 the powers. The Legislature is there to enact 24 legislation, and we are to interpret that 25 legislation. And so I guess what I would say specifically 26 with respect to our thinking on Prop. 19 is that 27 SB-539 has been introduced. 28

And I don't think that there's been anything 1 that we are thinking, or that we would put in the 2 regulations that hasn't been, I guess, blessed or 3 confirmed by the Legislature in SB-539. 4 So I'm not -- I'm not sure -- I don't think 5 there's any overreach on our part. 6 7 MS. STOWERS: Okay. Great. Thank you. And, Mr. Moon, you touched a little bit on 8 the emergency rulemaking as it relates to SB-539. 9 10 And I've also been a little busy getting calls on emergency rulemaking, people acknowledging that 11 12 SB-539 has a provision for emergency rulemaking. But they're also asking about the BOE's authority to do 13 emergency rulemaking on its own if SB-539 is not 14 enacted, or just to fast track everything. 15 16 So I was wondering, for the record, if 17 someone can give us a high-level overview on the 18 difference -- on what it would mean to have emergency 19 rulemaking based on a Board-declared emergency? 20 That's kind of a general question. 21 And then a second question to that is, you know, what the Board must establish in order to have 2.2 23 emergency rulemaking if we don't have legislation. 24 Any pitfalls come across for emergency rulemaking? 25 And I have a couple more questions, and I'll wait till you guys answer the first three. 26 MR. NANJO: Okay. Thank you, Deputy 27 Controller Stowers. 28

Mr. Moon, if it's all right with you, I'll 1 try to take first shot at it, and you can weigh in. 2 Again, this is Chief Counsel, Henry Nanjo. 3 With regards to emergency rulemaking, all 4 state agencies have the authority to do emergency 5 rulemaking under Government Code Section 11342.545. 6 7 However, that's only if an emergency exists that is a situation that, quote, calls for immediate 8 action to avoid serious harm to the public's peace, 9 health, safety, or general welfare. 10 Under this section, to justify the adoption 11 12 of emergency regulations, the BOE must present specific facts supported by, quote, substantial 13 evidence demonstrating the existence of an emergency, 14 and the need for immediate adoption of the proposed 15 regulation to avoid such serious harm, as much as 16 17 Proposition 19, judging from some of our public 18 commenters, may be a major issue and cause serious 19 harm to individuals in certain situations. 20 It's a fairly high bar, because BOE's 21 jurisdiction is primarily related to taxation for it 2.2 to cause, quote, serious harm to the public peace, 23 health, safety or general welfare. 24 So, thus, in that respect, it's -- if -- I would believe that it would be difficult for us to 25 meet that standard. 26 The risks are that if we do make an 27 emergency regulatory package, that, again, the Board 28

makes the finding that there is substantial evidence 1 demonstrating the existence of serious harm to public 2 peace, health, safety or the general welfare, then 3 that would be examined by the Office of 4 Administrative Law, OAL. 5 And if they do not concur with the Board's 6 7 finding that such emergency conditions are justified, the emergency regulations would then not be approved, 8 and the regulatory package thrown out. 9 Because emergency regulations always affect 10 the rights of the public in a shifting landscape, 11 12 it's generally preferable for the Board to act under clear authority, i.e., the legislative authority, so 13 there's no question or possibility that our 14 regulatory package can be thrown out. 15 As you can imagine, for us to try to do 16 17 emergency regulations that the assessors will try to 18 follow, and then have them throw them out, would cause even more confusion to an already unclear 19 20 situation. 21 Deputy Controller Stowers, did I answer your question, or did I miss something? 2.2 23 MS. STOWERS: I think you answered my 24 question, sir. I appreciate that response. MR. NANJO: Sure. 25 And then, Mr. Moon, did you have anything to 26 add that I may have missed? 27 MR. MOON: No, nothing to add. 28

MS. STOWERS: Okay. Then this is my final 1 question, and I'll turn my mic over to someone else. 2 I know that BOE recently completed 3 rulemaking with respect to certificate aircraft. And 4 we did do that under emergency rulemaking. But it 5 was deemed emergency under RTC Section 1157. And a 6 7 similar agency, Secure Choice, did the same thing under Government Code Section 100048. But, again, it 8 was deemed emergency by the Legislature. 9 I'm just curious, you know, are any of you 10 guys aware that a state agency did declare an 11 12 emergency? And if so, did the Office of Administrative 13 Law accept it? 14 Just in your practice, if you have any 15 16 insight on that. 17 MR. NANJO: Sure. This is Henry Nanjo, 18 Chief Counsel again. 19 I have a vague recollection that there 20 were -- may have been a state agency that declared an 21 emergency under the wildfire situation, or the, you know, flooding situation, or something like that, 2.2 23 that may have been through an emergency declaration. 24 But I don't -- I recall that those 25 instances, if they occurred, were far and few between. In the vast majority of emergency 26 situations, they are declared by the Legislature. 27 Mr. Moon, are you aware of anything? 28

MR. MOON: Well, I do know that there are 1 some cases where emergency regulations have been 2 challenged, and they've been upheld. 3 And the one that I remember kind of off the 4 top of my head is emergency regulations, I believe it 5 was either by Health and Human Services or -- or 6 7 housing. And the reason why they declared an 8 emergency regulation there was because they needed to 9 conform some of their practices to federal law. 10 And the Court said that they upheld the agency's 11 12 emergency declaration regarding that. The other thing I guess I can mention is 13 that I'm not aware of any other time, with regard to 14 property tax rules, where the Board has done 15 16 emergency regulations on its own. And this includes -- you may recall when the 17 18 COVID pandemic had started, we had been talking about Revenue and Taxation Code Section 170. And the Board 19 20 had promulgated Rule 139 regarding a form of disaster 21 relief after the 9/11 terrorist attacks. And the Board, at that time, did not do 2.2 23 those rules under emergency regulations. They did 24 those under regular rulemaking as well. 25 MS. STOWERS: Well, that's -- that's helpful to know. 26 Well, this is -- this is more, you know, for 27 me, educational for the public who is listening, 28

educational. 1 Not taking a position on emergency rules, 2 but I just wanted to have the discussion, since I 3 keep getting the calls. So I appreciate you guys 4 responding to my questions. 5 That's all I have for right now, Chair. 6 7 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Good questions. Member Gaines, I see your hand up still. 8 MR. GAINES: Yeah. Great. Thank you, 9 Chair Vazquez. 10 I just wanted to reiterate the family farm 11 12 issue. And I'm just looking at our presentation here for Prop. 19, and -- because it looks like we are 13 clarifying family farm. And that -- that's great 14 that we're doing that. 15 And a family farm may not be -- necessary be 16 a primary residence. So we want to make sure that 17 18 the farmland is included. And that being, you know, land that's under cultivation, used for pasture 19 20 grazing, production of any agricultural commodity. 21 And -- but there's a challenge here with 2.2 family farm as it regards an appraisal unit. So, you know, if I -- if I was a farmer, I'd want to make 23 24 sure that my family farm was being protected. 25 The intent and the language, I think, was not to create a sell-off of -- of family farms. And 26 yet, if we can't get clarification, we're going to 27 run into a problem on this appraisal unit. 28

It ought to be defined per APN versus any 1 other fashion. Because if you start combining parcel 2 numbers together for a family farm, you're going to 3 create tax consequences that will shut down some of 4 those family farms across the state. 5 I don't think that was ever the intent of 6 Prop. 19. You know, their -- the intent was to 7 provide base year transfer. And then they tightened 8 up in areas here in terms of the transfer of the 9 family home, or to a rental property. 10 But, you know, I believe that the farming 11 12 and ranching community was neutral on Prop. 19 because they felt they weren't impacted. So that's 13 an area that really needs needs to be zeroed in on 14 and clarified, this legislation. So I think that is 15 a -- that is a challenge. 16 If it's not addressed, you're going to see a 17 18 decline in family farms in California. And that was 19 never the intent of the proposition. So I just 20 wanted to clarify that. 21 Thank you. 2.2 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 23 I see a hand up from our president from the 24 assessors, Ernest Dronenburg. 25 I don't know if he wants to comment on that, or just has other questions. 26 MR. DRONENBURG: No, I just wanted to tell 27 Ted that -- I'm sorry -- Member Gaines that that 28

1 issue is not quiet. It is being very much debated. And when we -- when the skinny bill was put 2 together, we were told it couldn't be controversial 3 at all. So we looked at just the elements that we 4 needed, and just the elements that weren't 5 controversial. 6 7 The author of the skinny bill has talked about that issue. And he has said that, you know, 8 we're going to have a -- we believe we'll have 9 another bill, and we'll address the controversial 10 pieces in that other bill. Because that's not 100 11 12 percent agreed to by the -- actually, the other -the Assembly. So -- and they've stated that. 13 And so we've got to make sure that we don't 14 give them something that's going to -- one percent 15 controversial, and they eliminate the whole bill. 16 So 17 that was specifically left out. 18 But it's not a hidden issue. It's a big 19 issue. And the Farm Bureau is all over the issue and 20 talking with the Legislature. But it wasn't an 21 accident. 2.2 And of course the way you assess property 23 currently, farms are based on a unitary value. It's 24 the unit, not -- that a farm is appraised on 25 currently. So it -- in order to change that, we will have to have some kind of legislation that says a 26 different method can be used. 27 So I don't know if that helps Member Gaines 28

1 to understand what's going on. But it should relieve your fears that this is something that nobody knows 2 about. I mean, it's very hot. 3 MR. GAINES: Yeah, it's a big deal. 4 And I, you know, in terms of looking at an 5 appraisal unit, that's a moving target for a farmer 6 7 or a rancher. Because their -- their -- a ranch is in motion, right? 8 MR. DRONENBURG: You're talking to a 19-year 9 member of the Farm Bureau. 10 MR. GAINES: We have a -- we have a family 11 12 ranch of walnuts. And over time we were either buying or selling a parcel here or there to whatever 13 made sense for the ranch at that particular time. 14 And so I think people understand that that 15 is a -- that an APN, an individual parcel number, 16 17 should be treated as such, individually. 18 But sounds like that's going to be addressed, so I'm hopeful to hear that. 19 20 Thank you, Mr. Dronenburg. Appreciate it. 21 MR. SCHAEFER: Chair -- Chair Vazquez. MR. VAZQUEZ: Oh, Vice Chair Schaefer. 22 Go 23 ahead. 24 MR. SCHAEFER: Back when I was in law school, I was in the tobacco farm business, and I was 25 in the corn farm business as a sharecropper in 26 Southern Maryland. And today, farming is quite 27 different. We think of it as the fields. 28

Do we have a relationship with the cannabis 1 industry? How does that fit into that? 2 MR. VAZQUEZ: I'm sorry, Mr. Schaefer, was 3 that a question for me or Mr. Moon? 4 MR. SCHAEFER: Question for Mr. -- well, a 5 question for Mr. Moon, is how the cannabis industry 6 7 relates to our family farm. MR. MOON: So we have not had any 8 discussions, or anyone from the cannabis industry 9 reach out to us to see how it would affect them. 10 What I can say, however, is that there's 11 12 nothing specifically called out in Prop. 19 in SB-539, or will be in our rules, carving anything out 13 or speaking specially about cannabis. 14 And so however they are organized now, so if 15 it's a family farm that cultivates cannabis, the same 16 17 rules that would apply to family farms would apply to 18 that farm as well. MR. SCHAEFER: That's what I would expect. 19 20 That's a good answer. 21 Thank you, Mr. Moon. 2.2 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 23 Seeing no other hands, I know we just had 24 Jeff Prang, Assessor Jeff Prang from LA join us. I 25 don't know if he had any questions or comments on this rulemaking process. 26 Ms. Taylor, do we know if he's available? 27 MR. PRANG: Mr. Chairman, I'm on the -- on 28

the line. 1 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. 2 MR. PRANG: So I apologize. I was detained 3 by other meetings, so I came in relatively late. 4 So I didn't hear all the discussion. But I'm happy to 5 answer any questions. 6 I did hear some of the discussion that 7 Assessor Association President, Ernie Dronenburg, 8 stated. I'm happy to offer any additional 9 information that -- that you might need that 10 Mr. Dronenburg didn't offer. 11 12 MR. VAZQUEZ: I think you were well-represented. 13 I'm opening it up in case you had a 14 question. But if you're comfortable with your 15 16 leadership, I think you should be okay. 17 MR. PRANG: We -- you know, we laid out a 18 careful and thoughtful plan trying to get to the 19 finish line, which is creating an implementation plan 20 for Prop. 19 that will make it easy for assessors and 21 the Board of Equalization to administer. As was -- has probably been discussed, 2.2 23 there's still a long road to get there. We're not 24 necessarily confident that we're going to get 25 everything that we need to administer it 26 appropriately. I know assessors are really looking to the 27 Board of Equalization for leadership. We're all 28

going to have to stretch to provide property owners 1 in California guidance that they need. 2 But absent a comprehensive legislative 3 package, some of that guidance is going to be 4 missing. And that will create some -- a lot of 5 confusion, if not chaos, in the system until such 6 7 legislation is done. That concerns me a great deal. Because I 8 know, like any other public officials, especially 9 10 those of us who have to run for office, we don't want to be in a position to tell the public that we don't 11 12 know the answers. But there is -- while we still have time to 13 work on this legislation, there is a real risk that 14 we may not have all the answers. And that end up --15 16 might end up being our answer, that we simply don't 17 know the answers to a lot of questions the taxpayers 18 will have. 19 MR. VAZQUEZ: No, I -- that's understood. 20 And it's my understanding that if we move 21 forward with the skinny bill with hopefully no amendments, it will get through. 2.2 23 And I'm hearing that there's going to be a 24 strong -- very strong opportunity for us to come back 25 with a second bill, that hopefully will include everything that -- I know you gave a list to us. 26 And your assessors have really thought through everything 27 that you need that is just basically 28

1 administratively. We're hoping that we can include all of 2 that, and get it all approved in a timely manner to 3 assist you folks. 4 5 MR. PRANG: So I am a -- you know, have a couple of thoughts on this one. 6 7 One, as you know, we developed a comprehensive package to interpret Proposition 19. 8 And I would describe that package as administerial. 9 10 It was noncontroversial. It was simply assessors and the BOE going through it line by line, and trying to 11 12 clarify those points of administration and law that we need in order to provide guidance and direction to 13 the public. 14 There are elements -- there's other 15 stakeholders, Realtors, the Farm Bureau, the 16 17 firefighters that have certain interpretations that 18 they would like to emphasize that they require a 19 little bit more time to get to a consensus, that are 20 different than the administerial definitions that we 21 had proposed. Having the skinny bill move forward as 2.2 23 Senate Bill 359 is a good first step. But I can say 24 unequivocally, it is not enough. It represents just 25 maybe 10 or 20 percent of what we need to administer Proposition 19. 26 So what we're hearing, that they want to 27 move that forward with no amendments, does make me 28

nervous. Because at the moment, we have the 1 legislative vehicle to fix some of these 2 deficiencies. We don't know that we're going to have 3 a legislative vehicle to deal with the other 80 or 90 4 percent of Prop. 19 that is not in 359. 5 So if we can hear from legislative 6 7 leadership, they recognize that the vast majority of Proposition 19 is not yet being addressed. And it 8 has to be addressed this year, otherwise it's going 9 to have significant impacts on the public. 10 I know I'm going to be sitting on the edge 11 12 of my seat until we -- until we get a little bit more direction and confidence that these issues are going 13 to be on their radar screen this year. 14 MR. VAZQUEZ: No, that's well understood. 15 16 And hopefully we'll have some good news on that 17 shortly. 18 MR. PRANG: Thank you. Well, I do want to say, Mr. Chairman, that I 19 20 very much appreciate the leadership, your leadership 21 and that of your colleagues, as well as the Board staff. 2.2 23 It's been a really incredibly good 24 partnership. We speak almost daily. And it's really 25 demonstrated how much we can get done when we work together. 26 Now we just need to create that next bridge 27 to the Legislature, the Governor's office, and 28

hopefully we'll get what we need to get this work 1 done. 2 MR. VAZQUEZ: I know. Thank you. 3 I mentioned it earlier in my opening remarks 4 that I was really appreciative and thankful for you, 5 and of course for the President Dronenburg, for the 6 participation and your efforts as well. 7 Because I know we tapped you as well as your 8 staff these last two, three weeks. And I know we've 9 had even meetings over the weekend, and really 10 appreciated your time as well. 11 12 MR. PRANG: Thank you. MR. VAZQUEZ: With that, if there's no other 13 questions from any of the Members, let me just check 14 with Ms. Taylor. 15 Is there any public comments on rulemaking 16 17 process? 18 MS. TAYLOR: I will check with the AT&T 19 moderator. 20 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 21 MS. TAYLOR: AT&T moderator, can you please 2.2 let us know if there's anyone on the line who would 23 like to make a public comment regarding this matter. 24 For the record, we request that the callers 25 provide their names, and limit their remarks to three minutes. 26 AT&T MODERATOR: Thank you. 27 If you wish to make a comment, please press 28

one, then zero at this time. 1 And I currently have no comments in queue at 2 this time. 3 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 4 And, Ms. Taylor, you said there was no 5 written comments on this, correct? 6 MS. TAYLOR: Correct. 7 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 8 With that, if you would please call our 9 third item of the day. 10 11 12 ITEM K1d 13 MS. TAYLOR: The third item is K1d, 14 Executive Director's Report, Operational Priorities 15 16 and Projects; report on the status of operational 17 priorities and agency projects, including requesting 18 Board authorization for further actions related to 19 the legislative developments. 20 The first subitem is K1d, Proposition 19 21 implementation. Ms. Renati will present an overview of the 2.2 23 implementation and action plan. 24 MS. RENATI: Good morning, Chairman Vazquez 25 and Honorable Members. My name is Lisa Renati, Chief Deputy Director. 26 This morning I'll provide an overview of the 27 BOE's implementation and action plan for 28

1 Proposition 19. For many decades, the Board of Equalization 2 has been tasked with the implementation of 3 legislation affecting our agency and tax program. 4 A historic practice or methodology the Board 5 of Equalization has successfully utilized is to 6 7 organize implementation as a project, which allows an integrated and organized approach to ensure the full 8 body of work is implemented. 9 Using project management techniques, which 10 focus on the delivery of desired outcomes, fixed 11 12 timeframes, performance goals, and accountability, we will ensure comprehensive implementation of 13 Proposition 19 is achieved, which will also be 14 cost-effective and efficient. 15 16 As you know, the first component of Prop. 19 17 regarding intergenerational transfer exclusions 18 became operative just last week on February 16th. 19 The provisions regarding base year transfer 20 values -- base year value transfers rather, will 21 become operative on April 1st of this year. Accordingly, over the next few weeks, our 2.2 23 existing Prop. 19 project will be expanded with much 24 more detail, including any necessary updates based on 25 implementation legislation. The objective of the Prop. 19 implementation 26 project is to ensure implementation is well 27 organized, that we can anticipate issues and mitigate 28

risks that would impede our ability to successfully 1 implement this important legislation, and fulfill our 2 constitutional and statutory duties. 3 Members, starting today, we will begin our 4 first report on the Proposition 19 project as a team. 5 As outlined in the public agenda notice, going 6 7 forward, the team report presentation will remain in the same order throughout the project. 8 Each team leader will provide updates on 9 important milestones achieved, and upcoming 10 deliverables. 11 12 Members, as you are well aware, the BOE's responsibilities and duties affected by 13 Proposition 19 touch many facets of our agency. 14 We are confident that our longstanding 15 16 history of implementing legislation, and the best 17 practices learned through past successful 18 project-planning will serve us well. 19 We are fully prepared to act on the 20 necessary components of the project, and the 21 subsequent reports by the agency's leaders today will provide you with information on the project 2.2 implementation actions achieved to date. 23 24 As the project continues, the team and I 25 will provide monthly updates of our progress to ensure you are informed, to allow public 26 participation, and to present material that requires 27 your action and/or approval. 28

Most of the information and updates will be 1 presented under Item K, Other Administrative Matters. 2 But, Members, for the near future, we will 3 also keep this item under M, Public Policy Hearings, 4 to allow important discussions regarding any 5 legislative matters. 6 7 Members, unless you have any questions for me, I would like to turn to each team leader, so they 8 can provide you with information on their 9 department's implementation actions, including 10 updates on guidance provided. 11 12 And seeing as there's no questions --MR. VAZQUEZ: No, go ahead. I'm sorry. 13 Go ahead, Ms. Renati. 14 MS. RENATI: Unless there's any questions 15 you had, Mr. Chairman --16 17 MR. VAZQUEZ: I'll wait until you finish. 18 Go ahead. 19 MS. RENATI: I'm sorry. It's so hard with 20 the delay. 21 So the first report will be provided by 2.2 Chief of County-Assessed Properties, Ms. Patty Lumsden, who will provide a report on the Property 23 24 Tax Department's implementation actions, including 25 updates on guidance. MS. LUMSDEN: Good morning, Chair Vazquez, 26 Honorable Board Members. My name is Patty Lumsden, 27 and I'm the Chief of the County-Assessed Properties 28

1 Division here at the State Board of Equalization. And today I'm going to report to you on the 2 Property Tax Department's Proposition 19 3 implementation actions and guidance updates that have 4 been put in place to date. 5 As part of the Property Tax Department's 6 7 Prop. 19 implementation plan, the following items have been completed: 8 So far we have issued a Letter to Assessors 9 No. 2020/061 to announce the passing of 10 Proposition 19, and to provide some general 11 12 information. And this has been posted on our website. 13 We have also issued Letter to Assessors 14 No. 2021/007, which contained our seven newly-created 15 16 and four existing forms that we put out to assist 17 assessors in collecting necessary information to 18 implement Prop. 19 for both intergenerational 19 transfers and base year value transfers. 20 We also have issued Letter to Assessors 21 No. 2021/008, which provides guidance on Proposition 19's intergenerational transfers. 2.2 And 23 this is done through a total of 44 questions and 24 answers. And we have also created on our website and 25 posted on our website frequently asked questions. 26 And -- that relates to both base year value transfers 27 and intergenerational transfers for Proposition 19. 28

We also have on our website creating 1 comparison charts that reflect the effects of 2 Proposition 19. 3 And then, plus, in addition to that, of 4 course, is the Chief Counsel Memo that's posted to 5 our website, as well as Proposition 19 facts sheet. 6 7 So we -- we have some next steps that we plan on following to stay up on our implementation 8 plan. And that is to -- we are now working on 9 providing further quidance for the base year value 10 transfer portion of Prop. 19, and then we will be 11 12 issuing another questions and answers LTA similar to the one we issued for the intergenerational 13 transfers. 14 We will be continuing to update our website 15 16 as new information is available. And we will 17 continue to work with our Legal Department on the 18 promulgation of regulations to assist in the implementation of Prop. 19. 19 20 And we continue to track legislation 21 relating to Prop. 19, such as Senate Bill 539. 2.2 And we plan on reviewing and updating Assessors Handbook sections, Letters to Assessors, 23 24 county assessors only letters, annotations, and other 25 documents or publications as needed. As we have discussed in previous meetings, 26 there will be some of these sections that will remain 27 in effect for some time, such as some of the 28

Proposition 58 parent-child transfers will still be 1 in effect for a while. And so we'll have to evaluate 2 our -- our documents to see what updates we need to 3 make. 4 We also are working with our TSD section to 5 update and revise our current database in order to 6 7 track the number of base year value transfers throughout the state for persons over 55, and persons 8 that are severely disabled, in order to continue our 9 clearinghouse functions at the BOE. 10 And this is due to our current database has 11 12 the ability to trans -- to follow one-time transfers. But with the Proposition 19, we will now be needing 13 to update it so it can track three-time transfers 14 under the new provisions. 15 16 And of course we will still continue to 17 track the \$1 million exclusion under the prior 18 Proposition 58 provisions until that no longer is 19 necessary. 20 And we will continue to issue guidance and 21 create and amend forms as the need arises for both intergenerational transfer exclusions, as well as 2.2 23 base year value transfers as they relate to 24 Proposition 19. 25 This will be an ongoing effort, and we will continue to work with our Legal Department and the 26 California Assessors' Association in order to address 27 those issues as they come up during this process. 28

1 And that concludes my report. And I'm available to answer your questions. 2 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 3 Ms. Renati, did we have Mr. Moon also making 4 a presentation on this, or are we going to wait? 5 MS. RENATI: Thank you, Chairman. 6 7 I believe Mr. Moon was going to add or assist Ms. Lumsden as needed. 8 So if you have any questions that she needs 9 help with, he'll jump in. But for now, we'll go on 10 to the next comment when you're ready. 11 12 MR. VAZQUEZ: All right. Seeing no hands, I just had a quick 13 14 question. And I don't know if it gets more addressed 15 to Mr. Moon or Ms. Lumsden. But it's, you know, that 16 17 we're still getting complaints from taxpayers who 18 tried to transfer property under the old parent-child 19 exclusion, and are being told they're too late if the 20 deed was recorded by February 15th. 21 My staff explains that, one, an unrecorded deed is acceptable; and, two, that the signature 2.2 23 of -- on it of February 16th is acceptable, since the 24 15th was a holiday. 25 And then they addressed this by the Chief Counsel's Memo, the LTA No. 2 -- 2021/08, and the 26 FAQs on our website. 27 But would you mind stating, for the sake of 28

the listening public, where they can most easily find 1 the clear, simple statement to this effect on 2 Prop. 19 on our webpage. 3 MS. RENATI: Yes, Chairman. 4 So as you mentioned, that particular issue 5 has been brought up, and we do also still get 6 questions in regards to it. 7 We did specifically put that question and 8 answer in our LTA as you mentioned under 2021/008, 9 and it is question No. 4. 10 And also, as you also mentioned is we also 11 12 have on our website under our frequently asked questions, and it's under the parent-child and 13 grandparent-grandchild section of that under question 14 No. 8. 15 So they are out -- that information is out 16 17 there, as you pointed out, in our website. 18 So if there's, you know, another spot that you maybe think would be more accessible to the 19 20 public, then we're definitely willing -- open and 21 willing to get further input on that. But currently that's where that information is. 2.2 23 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 24 So for the viewing public, and hopefully 25 folks that are listening, so you said it's on page 8, I believe. 26 MS. RENATI: It's question No. 8 of our 27 frequently asked questions. 28

MR. VAZQUEZ: Oh, question. 1 MS. RENATI: Yeah. There's a tab under the 2 Proposition 19. If you -- if they click on 3 Proposition 19 on our website, there's a tab for 4 frequently asked questions. And it's under that 5 section in there. 6 7 And there's a part that deals with parent-child and grandparent-grandchild questions. 8 And it's question No. 8. 9 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 10 MS. RENATI: You're welcome. 11 12 MR. VAZQUEZ: And going back just a minute here for Ms. Renati. 13 In your opening remarks, I just wanted --14 on -- especially based on your overview, it was clear 15 16 that you have various timeframes and targeted 17 completion dates associated with each part. 18 I just wanted to affirm that I'm very supportive of that, and want to emphasize how 19 20 important it is for the taxpayers in my district and 21 myself to have received sound information that we can rely on in a timeline -- in the timelines 2.2 23 established. 24 And so I just wanted to make that statement, 25 and appreciate that. MS. RENATI: Thank you, Chairman. This is 26 Lisa Renati. 27 MR. VAZQUEZ: With that, was there -- you 28

said Mr. Moon was just there for reference, right? 1 He's not making a presentation? 2 MS. RENATI: Correct, Chairman. Mr. Moon 3 will be available in the next report regarding the 4 5 Legal Department. 6 MR. VAZQUEZ: Okay. I know you were going through all the different bullets here. Did we leave 7 one out, or are we finished on your end? 8 MS. RENATI: No, it's -- if you will allow, 9 I can introduce the next report. 10 MR. VAZQUEZ: Please do. 11 12 MR. SCHAEFER: I wanted to comment on --MR. VAZQUEZ: Vice Chair Schaefer, do you 13 have a question? 14 (Unintelligible background discussion.) 15 MR. VAZQUEZ: Just a reminder, if you're not 16 17 speaking, mute your --18 (Unintelligible background discussion.) 19 MR. VAZQUEZ: Okay. Thank you. 20 I'm sorry, Ms. Renati. Go ahead. 21 MS. RENATI: Thank you, Chairman. Again, this is Lisa Renati, Chief Deputy 22 23 Director. 24 The next report will be provided by Chief 25 Legal Counsel, Henry Nanjo, and Tax Counsel, Richard Moon, who will provide a report on the Legal 26 Department's implementation actions, and the next 27 28 steps.

MR. NANJO: Thank you, Ms. Renati. 1 Chairman Vazquez, Honorable Members of the 2 Board, this is Henry Nanjo, Chief Counsel again. 3 I'm actually going to let Mr. Moon take a 4 So if there's questions for him, of course 5 break. he's available to answer. But I'll cover this brief 6 7 report on the Legal Department's implementation actions. 8 As is the case with most elements of BOE, 9 the Legal Department has had a serious increase in 10 the number of inquiries we've gotten from taxpayers 11 12 and other professionals regarding Proposition 19 questions. 13 In an effort to provide timely service and 14 respond to these inquiries, the Legal Department's 15 16 been reorganized a little bit. I've actually 17 designated a senior attorney as a lead for 18 Proposition 19 issues. That attorney, Ms. Sonya Yim, is also 19 20 working with the Property Tax Department and the TRA, 21 Taxpayers' Rights Advocate's Office, to ensure that we have consistent answers, and that we get 2.2 appropriate information as quickly as possible to 23 24 those who are asking for our assistance. 25 In addition, the Legal Department has been supporting the Property Tax Department and Taxpayer 26 Rights Advocates Department in reviewing material and 27 ensuring that we get appropriate and legally correct 28

information up on our websites and through materials 1 that have been sent out. 2 We also are working hand in hand with the 3 Property Tax Department with regards to making sure 4 that common inquiries and/or questions are answered. 5 I can't speak enough on behalf of the 6 7 Property Tax Department and the TRA for the efforts they're doing in order to get information to 8 taxpayers. 9 Finally, the major efforts of the Legal 10 11 Department has been working with the California 12 Assessors' Association, and in conjunction with them on both any efforts to get legislation and discuss 13 issues, as well as the yeomen's regulatory work that 14 Mr. Moon has already reported on and given you pretty 15 16 much a full report on. 17 But that's all -- again, we're dualtracking 18 two different regulations. One on the intergenerational transfers, and the other one on the 19 20 base year values, in an effort to get some clarity 21 out to the assessors. 2.2 And that is primarily the report on the 23 Legal Department's implementation action. 24 Mr. Moon, did you have anything to add? 25 MR. MOON: No, nothing to add. MR. NANJO: Thank you. 26 And back to you, Ms. Renati. 27 MS. RENATI: Our next report will be 28

provided by the Board of Equalization's Chief 1 Communication's Officer, Peter Kim. 2 He will provide a report on the 3 Communication Office's planned Prop. 19 4 implementation efforts. 5 MR. KIM: Thank you, Ms. Renati. 6 7 Chairman Vazquez and Honorable Members, this is Peter Kim, Chief Communications Officer. 8 Members, today I will provide a brief report 9 on the Communication Office's efforts in 10 operationalizing and implementing Proposition 19. 11 12 As you know, the Board approved the communications plan on education and outreach in 13 January. 14 I am closely collaborating with our 15 16 Taxpayers' Rights Advocate, Lisa Thompson, to explore 17 additional ways in educating and reaching out to 18 taxpayers. 19 The Proposition 19 webpage is continually 20 being updated and enhanced to provide the latest 21 information in realtime for taxpayers. As of this morning, a minor refresh of the 2.2 23 design of the BOE website went live. 24 We've also added additional questions and answers on the "frequently asked questions" page, and 25 posted the link to the latest letter to assessors 26 with a series of 44 questions and answers on the 27 intergenerational transfer exclusion provision 28

1 related to Proposition 19. While it is guidance to county assessors, it 2 can also serve and be helpful to taxpayers in better 3 understanding Proposition 19. 4 We have also begun to post a notice on 5 existing webpages on the BOE website that have 6 7 Proposition 58 and 193 information to remind taxpayers that they are no longer operative for 8 transfers on or after February 16th. 9 Since the Proposition 19 webpage went live 10 on November 10th, soon after the November 2020 11 12 general election, and up until yesterday, there have been almost 100,000 unique external visits reflecting 13 that the BOE website is an essential communications 14 tool in sharing Proposition 19 information. 15 Finally, our social media channel such as 16 17 Facebook, Twitter and Instagram are being utilized to 18 expand our audience and direct followers to the BOE 19 website for the latest update. 20 This concludes my report. And I'm available 21 to answer any questions the Board may have. 2.2 Thank you. 23 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you, Mr. Kim. I just 24 have one quick question if nobody else has one. 25 And that's -- you know, the assessors and Board Members have held virtual webinars on Prop. 19. 26 And I assume we will plan to continue more how-to 27 webinars, especially to help taxpayers understand and 28

1 comply with Prop. 19. Could you tell me what's the best way for 2 the public to keep informed about these events? 3 MR. KIM: Mr. Chairman, thank you for that 4 question. 5 As I've commented before, our webpage is 6 7 really to serve as a repository of information. I will look into seeing if it's feasible to add a 8 calendar of events tab on the Prop. 19 webpage to 9 possibly list events hosted by either Board Member 10 offices or county assessors where the Board is 11 12 partner when advanced notice is provided. So I'll definitely take a look into that, 13 and follow up with your office and the Board. 14 Thank you. 15 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 16 I think there's a great need for some 17 18 personal how-to communication with taxpayers that 19 would be really helpful. 20 And I see a hand for Member Gaines. 21 Go ahead, Member Gaines. 2.2 MR. GAINES: Thank you, Chair Vazquez. 23 I was just wondering, Mr. Kim, if you could 24 maybe give me a little more -- little better sense in terms of constituent contact at the website. 25 And I'm just trying to get a read on kind of 26 what that algorithm looks like in terms of the number 27 of people responding. 28

1 And are you seeing it diminishing as we get farther away from the Prop. 19 deadline of 2 February 16th, or is that interest just continuing to 3 grow? 4 I don't know if you've got breakdowns on a 5 weekly basis, or daily basis, or something of that 6 7 nature, to kind of give me a little better indication of the demand there. 8 MR. KIM: Yes, Board Member Gaines. Thank 9 you for that question. 10 I may need some assistance from our Property 11 12 Tax Department, because they are the lead in terms of fielding questions from taxpayers. 13 But I do know that, generally speaking, that 14 all the questions we do receive, we make sure and 15 16 take inventory of what types of questions they have, 17 just so that we make sure that our webpage and our 18 website is responsive to their needs. 19 I don't know if someone from the Property 20 Tax Department could provide that information to you 21 now. But you could definitely circle back with the Board if we can't do it at this moment. 2.2 23 MR. GAINES: How about just in a more 24 general sense. Do you know, like, how many hits 25 we're getting on the website kind of looking back on a weekly basis? 26 MR. KIM: Yeah, I don't have that specific 27 data in front of me right now. 28

But I do know that, as I reported, we 1 have -- we almost have over -- I mean, almost 100,000 2 unique external visits since the webpage went live. 3 And so it is a reflection of just the demand 4 that taxpayers and other folks are looking for. 5 And so hopefully we continue to serve as 6 7 that repository of information for taxpayers. MR. GAINES: Sure. Okay. 8 And I think -- my recollection is, weren't 9 we around 90,000 on February 11th? 10 I'm trying to remember the last time you 11 12 gave us a report. Was that the 11th, or --MR. KIM: Yes, sir. 13 So the data that I provided to the Board was 14 as of February 16th. So it's been maybe a little 15 16 over a week. 17 MR. GAINES: Okay. 18 MR. KIM: And so, therefore, it's gone up to 19 almost 100,000. I think we're like 16 visits short 20 of being 100,000. 21 MR. GAINES: Okay. 22 MR. KIM: So that is just sort of a snapshot 23 of just the -- the traffic from that period. 24 MR. GAINES: All right. Wonderful. That's 25 helpful. Thank you. MR. KIM: Thank you. 26 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 27 With that, seeing no other hands or 28

comments, let me have Ms. Renati, if you would please 1 introduce Ms. Thompson, who would report on the fifth 2 voted subitem, the Taxpayer Rights Advocate's Office 3 Implementation Actions. 4 MS. RENATI: Chairman, this is Lisa Renati, 5 Chief Deputy Director. 6 7 As you stated, the next report will be provided by Lisa Thompson. She's our Taxpayers' 8 Rights Advocate. And she will provide a report on 9 the implementation actions to be completed by her 10 office. 11 12 MS. THOMPSON: Good morning, Honorable Board Members. My name is Lisa Thompson. I'm the agency's 13 Taxpayers' Rights Advocate. 14 And I'm pleased to report to you on the 15 Proposition 19 implementation and action plan for the 16 17 Taxpayer Rights Advocate's Office. 18 The Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office is reviewing guidance issued by the Property Tax 19 20 Department and Board-prescribed forms adopted to 21 ensure we are aware of all the comprehensive information issued by our agency to assist county 2.2 23 assessors and taxpayers with the effects of 24 Proposition 19. 25 As soon as implementing legislation has been adopted for the provisions of Proposition 19, the 26 Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office will begin revising 27 existing information sheets on the 28

1 grandparent-child -- or -- excuse me -- parent-child and grandparent-grandchild transfer exclusion, as 2 well as the base year value transfer for seniors and 3 disabled persons. 4 Because property transfers and home 5 purchases will have occurred prior to the effective 6 7 date of Proposition 19, it is important that we maintain information concerning transfers occurring 8 before this time when Proposition 58 and 193 were 9 still in place for family transfers, as well as under 10 Proposition 69 and 110 for seniors and disabled 11 12 persons. We're considering how best to present this 13 in conjunction with property transfers occurring 14 after the effective dates of Proposition 19 for the 15 16 various provisions. 17 It is important to the Taxpayer Rights 18 Advocate's Office that taxpayers understand what laws apply to them and under what timeframes. 19 20 It is likely that we will have information 21 sheet publications that address transfers occurring before and after a certain date. 2.2 23 To ensure the taxpayers are aware, there 24 were changes made to the exclusion and base year 25 value transfer provisions. The Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office worked 26 with the Communications Officer, Mr. Peter Kim, as 27 well as the Legal Department, to place an important 28

1 notice on the TRA office's webpage under the "Taxpayer Education Area" to notify taxpayers that as 2 of certain dates, the provisions for the family 3 transfer exclusion and base year value transfers were 4 affected by the passage of Proposition 19. 5 Specifically, that the parent-child and 6 7 grandparent-grandchild transfer exclusion added by Proposition 58 and 193 are repealed, and that 8 Proposition 19 instituted new intergenerational 9 transfer exclusion rules as of February 16th, 2021, 10 and also that Proposition 19 instituted new base year 11 12 value transfer provisions for persons age 55 and over, and disabled persons, that become effective on 13 April 1st, 2021. 14 The Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office will 15 16 continue to work with the Communications Officer on 17 education and outreach, which is the topic of the 18 next agenda item. 19 Unless there are any questions regarding the 20 update for the Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office 21 implementation plan, that concludes my update. MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 2.2 23 Members, do we have any questions of 24 Ms. Thompson? 25 Seeing no hands, we will continue. Ms. Renati, I believe you have -- is it 26 Ms. Thompson coming back again? I think she is. 27 MS. RENATI: Chairman, that's correct. 28

The final report will be provided by 1 Taxpayers' Rights Advocate, Lisa Thompson, who will 2 provide a report on implementation actions regarding 3 education outreach as regards to Prop. 19 4 implementation. 5 MS. THOMPSON: Again, I'm Lisa Thompson, and 6 7 I'm here to present information on the Proposition 19 implementation and action plan for education and 8 outreach. 9 As of the February 11th, 2021 Board Meeting, 10 the Communications Officer, Peter Kim, and I provided 11 12 an update on the activities related to education and outreach. 13 As part of my remarks, I explained that a 14 facts sheet on Proposition 19 was created and posted 15 to our website under the "Additional Resources" tab 16 17 of our Proposition 19 page, which presented 18 information on the base year value provision for 19 seniors and disabled persons that will become 20 effective April 1st, and presents information on the 21 parent-child and grandparent-grandchild exclusion that became effective February 16th, 2021. 2.2 23 I also updated you on the creation of the 24 PowerPoint presentation on Proposition 19 that could 25 be used for speaking engagements by Board Members' offices, and standard PowerPoint presentation slides 26 that were posted to our website. 27 Peter Kim and I have been watching for 28

implementing legislation for Proposition 19 so we can 1 make any updates to the fact sheet and PowerPoint 2 presentation as necessary. 3 Peter Kim and I are also working closely 4 together in collaborating on updates to 5 6 Proposition 19 frequently asked questions on our 7 website to ensure taxpayers are informed. Recently a question was added to the website 8 addressing how to apply for the homeowner's or 9 10 disabled veteran's exemption, and directing them to additional resources about those exemptions. 11 12 Since taxpayers apply for the parent-child and grandparent-grandchild exclusion are required 13 under Proposition 19 to file for the homeowner's or 14 disabled veteran's exemption within one year. 15 16 So as indicated previously, Taxpayers' 17 Rights Advocate Office will revise its information 18 sheet -- sheets on the exclusion and base year value transfer topics affected by Proposition 19, and 19 20 continue to draft information sheets on other topics 21 to ensure taxpayers have information available to them in simple, nontechnical terms. 2.2 23 This concludes my update for the education 24 and outreach implementation plan. I'm available if 25 you have any questions. MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 26 Members, do we have any questions or 27 comments for Ms. Thompson? 28

Member Gaines, go ahead. 1 MR. GAINES: Thank you, Chair Vazquez. 2 I just want to thank Ms. Thompson and, 3 again, Mr. Kim, for their efforts. That website is 4 just so much better than it has been historically. 5 And I think, you know, if we could just 6 7 continue to make sure that we're staying in touch with constituent needs, and having that reflected 8 through our website, it's a great means of 9 communication to our constituents. 10 So I just want to thank them for their 11 12 efforts, and improving it so dramatically. Thanks. 13 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 14 Vice Chair Schaefer, I think it was, had a 15 16 hand up. 17 MR. SCHAEFER: Yes, Chair Vazquez. 18 I'm just reading Ms. Thompson's report. 19 It's out, I think, a little earlier this year, which 20 T like. 21 I notice under "taxpayer service improvements" on page 10, features six counties that 2.2 23 have made improvements in the services provided to 24 the public. None of those counties are in my 25 district. I wondered if my district ever does submit something for her valuation. 26 I assume she solicits all 58 districts. 27 And some choose to respond, some, I guess, choose to not 28

1 respond. Could she tell me something about her 2 experience in dealing with District 4 assessors' 3 offices. 4 Hello? 5 MS. THOMPSON: Yes. 6 7 So yesterday I provided an update on the Taxpayer Rights Advocate annual report. And that 8 just as -- in addition to our educational component 9 10 that I discussed with you today. What we do is we solicit input from 11 12 assessors each year. And after the fiscal year, and about August timeframe, we send the request to all 58 13 counties. 14 It's a one bulk e-mail that asks for 15 information on different customer service 16 17 improvements that they have submitted during that 18 particular fiscal year of the report, that we're seeking information for that we would like to 19 20 incorporate into our annual report. 21 So assessors determine whether they're going to submit information to incorporate. 2.2 23 But even though a particular county might 24 not be identified in the report, it doesn't mean that 25 they are not providing customer service improvements, or they've done improvements to their systems during 26 that timeframe. 27 So --28

MR. SCHAEFER: Well, then that doesn't --1 MS. THOMPSON: -- historically --2 MR. SCHAEFER: Ms. Thompson, that doesn't 3 mean they ignored you. They may have submitted, or 4 may not have submitted something. 5 And, in any event, it's not in the top six 6 7 that you featured, which I have no problem with that. MS. THOMPSON: Yeah. We submit -- if 8 someone submitted -- if an assessor submitted 9 information, we would incorporate it. 10 But, yes. So if it was submitted, it was 11 12 incorporated. But, you know, in many respects, at 13 different assessor's offices they may, you know, 14 place information on their own website to indicate 15 different, you know, service improvements that they 16 17 made. 18 MR. SCHAEFER: Yeah. Well, this morning I talked with the Imperial County assessor, and they 19 20 have a growing hemp business. Which is dealing with 21 the fibers and making the clothes, and disputes they have with the Bureau of Reclamation to buy water. 2.2 23 And we're making a lot of progress there to 24 be able to better serve the hemp -- the hemp farmers in our district. 25 And I would like a lot of this very positive 26 stuff, as soon as it's finalized, to maybe get into 27 your top six. But, you know, if they don't submit 28

1 it, you can't know about it. Okay. MS. THOMPSON: Okay. Well, thank you very 2 much. But -- yeah. 3 And I'll reach out to the Imperial County 4 assessors separately in addition to a group e-mail 5 just to inquire that. 6 But this would be -- what's reflected in our 7 report pertains to, you know, assessment -- property 8 tax assessment. So if it was not in that area, the 9 hemp area, it's just --10 MR. SCHAEFER: Sure. 11 12 MS. THOMPSON: -- different than --MR. SCHAEFER: (Unintelligible.) 13 MS. THOMPSON: (Unintelligible.) 14 -- property tax matters, then it wouldn't be 15 reflected in our report. But I will reach out to the 16 17 assessors to ask them --18 MR. SCHAEFER: Robert Dunville, the 19 assessor, has been there for many years, and really 20 knows the community. 21 I've been down to a rodeo with him with my And we feel that he's doing a great job. 2.2 staff. 23 Thank you. 24 MS. THOMPSON: Thank you. 25 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Seeing no other comments, let me have 26 Ms. Taylor, if you would please check -- do we have 27 any written comments on this item before we go to the 28

1 public? MS. TAYLOR: Sir, we do not. 2 MR. VAZQUEZ: Let's go ahead and check with 3 AT&T to see if there's any public comments on 4 Prop. 19 implementation action plan. 5 MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. 6 7 AT&T moderator, can you please let us know if there's anyone on the line who would like to make 8 a public comment regarding this matter. 9 For the record, we request that callers 10 provide their name and limit their remarks to three 11 12 minutes. AT&T MODERATOR: Thank you. 13 If you wish to make a comment, please press 14 one, and then zero at this time. 15 16 Now we'll go to the line of Joseph. 17 Please state your name, followed by your 18 comment. 19 MR. PENINO: Joseph Penino. 20 My comment is that I have a memorandum dated 21 January 8th, 2021 from Chief Counsel Nanjo. And it 2.2 specifically states in there the implementation of 23 the base transfer -- base year value transfer. 24 And it gives a -- there's a question 25 regarding it. And it's very clear at that point that the process does not have to take -- that the 26 process -- there's an exemption for the April 27 exemption. Which basically means the transfer --28

1 just filling out a form. Any comments on that? 2 MR. VAZQUEZ: Is Mr. Nanjo on the line 3 still? 4 MR. NANJO: Yes, I am, Chairman Vazquez. 5 For the speaker, this is kind of what we 6 7 were talking about earlier in the discussion. The Chief Counsel memo and guidance that we 8 provide the assessors is just that, it's guidance. 9 The individual assessors may or may not 10 choose to follow it. 11 So that's an issue to take -- for the 12 speaker to take -- or the caller to take up with 13 their individual county assessor. 14 And this also, as I mentioned earlier in the 15 proceedings or hearing today, this is why we feel 16 17 that it's necessary to do some of this by regulation. 18 Thank you, Chairman Vazquez and Members of 19 the Board. 20 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 21 Ms. Taylor, do we have anybody else on the line? 2.2 23 MS. TAYLOR: We do not. 24 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 25 You know what, can we also check if the assessors -- you know, I know we have a couple of the 26 assessors. If they wish to comment or make any 27 suggestions or questions on this item before we move 28

on as well. 1 Hearing and seeing none, I think we're okay. 2 Ms. Taylor, before we move on to the next 3 item, I just wanted to see if I can get you to go 4 back. 5 It just occurred to me that when we were 6 7 discussing the rulemaking item under G on the agenda, we didn't make a motion supporting that rulemaking. 8 And I was wondering if we could go back to that. 9 MS. TAYLOR: Sure. I can recall the item, 10 and then you can proceed. 11 12 MR. VAZQUEZ: Please. 13 ITEM G1 RECALLED 14 15 MS. TAYLOR: We will return to G1, Chief 16 17 Counsel Matters, Rulemaking overview of the plans to 18 develop guidance and rulemaking, that was presented 19 by Mr. Moon. 20 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 21 And I would just like to entertain a motion 2.2 to see if we can get a motion to support that 23 rulemaking that took place. 24 And I see a hand up by Ms. Stowers. 25 Go ahead, Ms. Stowers. MS. STOWERS: Thank you, Chairman Vazquez. 26 I'm prepared to make a motion to authorize 27 staff to move forward with the regular rulemaking 28

1 process as described by Mr. Moon during the discussion under G1. 2 The action should include the following 3 eight items, and other steps as needed: 4 Prepare property tax rules to address 5 intergenerational transfer and base year transfer. 6 7 Amend existing property tax rules as needed. If and when SB-539 is enacted, come back to 8 the Board for approval of the emergency rules related 9 to intergenerational transfers and base year 10 transfers. 11 12 Complete the public comment period for intergenerational transfers by next week. 13 Complete the public comment period for base 14 years one to two weeks later. 15 Six, come back to the Board in April for 16 17 approval to have the Office of Administrative Law 18 publish a notice of proposed action for the intergenerational transfers, and come back to the 19 20 Board in May for the Office to approve the notice of 21 proposed action for base year transfer. And, hopefully, No. 8, the Board to approve 2.2 23 the intergenerational transfers in July, the property 24 taxes for that transfer. 25 And then in August, approve the property tax rules for base year transfers. 26 MR. GAINES: Second. 27 MR. VAZQUEZ: It's been moved and second. 28

Seeing no other comments or questions, 1 Ms. Taylor, if you would please call the roll on 2 that. 3 MS. TAYLOR: Chair Vazquez. 4 MR. VAZQUEZ: 5 Aye. MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer. 6 MR. SCHAEFER: Aye. 7 MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines. 8 MR. GAINES: Aye. 9 MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen. 10 MS. COHEN: Aye. 11 12 MS. TAYLOR: Deputy Controller Stowers. MS. STOWERS: 13 Aye. MR. VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of those 14 present. 15 16 And with that, Ms. Taylor, if we could move 17 I guess now we're on the fourth item. on. 18 MR. NANJO: Chairman Vazquez, this is 19 Chief Counsel, Henry Nanjo. 20 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. Go ahead. 21 MR. NANJO: On behalf of the Legal Department, we will try to comply as closely with 2.2 that timeline as possible. 23 24 But if I can ask the Board Members for some 25 flexibility, we are kind of dependent on working with the Office of Administrative Law. And sometimes 26 things don't go exactly as we planned. 27 So if we can use that as general authority 28

and guidance for the timeline, please understand that 1 we may need to modify that as necessary. 2 MS. STOWERS: Chairman Vazquez. 3 MR. VAZOUEZ: I'm sorry. 4 Ms. Stowers, go ahead. 5 MS. STOWERS: This is Yvette Stowers. 6 7 I wanted to adjust my motion to understand that they would have to adjust the timelines, as they 8 are dependent on other agencies. 9 So just as long as they are moving forward, 10 as Mr. Nanjo commented. 11 12 MR. GAINES: Second. MR. VAZQUEZ: Ms. Taylor, I guess we need to 13 take another roll call on that amendment then. 14 MS. TAYLOR: Certainly. 15 Chairman Vazquez. 16 17 MR. VAZQUEZ: Aye. 18 MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer. MR. SCHAEFER: Aye. 19 20 MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines. MR. GAINES: Aye. 21 MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen. 2.2 23 MS. COHEN: Aye. 24 MS. TAYLOR: Deputy Controller Stowers. 25 MS. STOWERS: Aye. MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you, Members. 26 So that's approved, unanimously. 27 And with that, Ms. Taylor, if we could move 28

on to the fourth item. 1 2 ITEM K4 3 4 5 MS. TAYLOR: The next item is K4, 6 Legislative Research and Statistic Division, Chief's 7 Report. The first subitem is K4b, proposed 8 legislation related to the implementation of 9 Proposition 19, presented by Ms. Fleming. 10 MR. VAZQUEZ: Ms. Fleming, are you available 11 12 to tell us about the proposed Prop. 19 implementation legislation, SB-539, and the next steps in the 13 process, and the recommended action for the Board? 14 MS. FLEMING: Yes. 15 Thank you, Chairman Vazquez and Honorable 16 17 Members. Brenda Fleming, Executive Director. 18 Members, as you've heard today with some 19 really good discussion, and we certainly hope the 20 information provided not only provides updates on 21 what's going on with Senate Bill 539, but the importance of this legislation, and the need to 2.2 23 clarify some of those things that are ambiguous. 24 So we really appreciate the discussions and, 25 Members, we also appreciate the support to proceed 26 with the rulemaking process. Members, based upon today's discussion, 27 staff recommends that as we prepare for the next 28

steps, which would be the Legislative Policy 1 Committee Hearings, that we have the Board submit a 2 letter and Board approval to submit a letter of 3 support for Senate Bill 539 to the Legislature. 4 Based upon some conversations that we're 5 6 having with the -- with the Policy Committee and both 7 Houses, it looks like we need a letter of support by Friday, February 26th, in anticipation of some 8 possible hearings coming up soon. 9 So if we could, Members, I would appreciate 10 the Board's approval to proceed with a letter of 11 12 support for Senate Bill 539. MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 13 Members, do we have any questions or 14 comments of Ms. Fleming and her recommendation? 15 16 MR. GAINES: Do we need a motion? 17 MR. VAZQUEZ: I believe so. 18 MR. GAINES: So moved. 19 This is Member Gaines. 20 MR. SCHAEFER: Member -- Vice Chair Schaefer. 21 I second it. 2.2 MR. VAZOUEZ: It's been moved and second. 23 24 And, actually, hold on -- oh, I see a hand 25 by Ms. Stowers. Go ahead, Ms. Stowers. 26 MS. STOWERS: I'm in support of the motion. 27 I just wanted clarification. 28

Board approval of SB-539, will this letter 1 be going out on the Chair's letterhead, or will it be 2 going out over the --3 MR. VAZQUEZ: What's -- what's -- well, how 4 do the Members feel about that? I'm good either way. 5 MR. GAINES: If you need to do it quickly, I 6 7 just assume have the Chair do it. But I'm flexible, whatever the Board desires. 8 MS. STOWERS: I would like the Chair to do 9 it as well. 10 MR. VAZQUEZ: Ms. Stowers, do you want to do 11 12 that? MS. STOWERS: Yes to the Chair. 13 MR. VAZQUEZ: Okay. We'll just do it 14 through the Chair. Because it will probably be the 15 16 fastest thing. 17 So we have a --18 MS. FLEMING: Thank you, Members. 19 MR. VAZQUEZ: So we have a second, and I 20 see -- I see a hand up by Mr. Dronenburg. 21 Go ahead, Ernie. MR. DRONENBURG: Yeah. I'm issuing a 22 23 support letter on my stationary as president of CAA, 24 and it will be there, too, by Friday. So -- but it will -- it will -- that's 25 generally how the CAA operates, the president issues 26 the letter, you know. 27 28 MR. VAZQUEZ: Appreciate that.

Ms. Fleming, is that -- that's all you need 1 at this point, right? 2 MS. FLEMING: Yes, sir. 3 Thank you, Members, for the support. 4 Thank you for preparing to send and submit a 5 letter of support on SB-539. Much appreciated. 6 7 MR. VAZQUEZ: Let me get Ms. Taylor to call roll on that. 8 9 MS. TAYLOR: Chairman Vazquez. MR. VAZQUEZ: Aye. 10 MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer. 11 12 MR. SCHAEFER: Aye. MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines. 13 MR. GAINES: Aye. 14 MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen. 15 MS. COHEN: Aye. 16 17 MS. TAYLOR: Deputy Controller Stowers. 18 MS. STOWERS: Aye. 19 MR. VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of all those present. 20 21 Ms. Fleming, was there anything else on your 2.2 report, or was that it? 23 MS. FLEMING: Chairman and Members, that 24 concludes my report. 25 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. And, moving forward, if there's no other 26 comments or questions, let me get Ms. Taylor to call 27 28 the next item.

1 ITEM N 2 MS. TAYLOR: The final item would be N, 3 Public Comment on matters not on the agenda. 4 We did call this yesterday, but we can call 5 it again if you wish. 6 7 MR. VAZQUEZ: Okay. Well, let me ask Mr. Nanjo. 8 Do we have to, or are we -- I just want to 9 make sure we're in compliance here. 10 MR. NANJO: No, we are in compliance. 11 There 12 is no need to call it, because it was called 13 yesterday. MR. VAZQUEZ: So, Ms. Taylor, it sounds 14 like --15 16 MR. NANJO: It's your call, sir. 17 MR. VAZQUEZ: If the Members are 18 comfortable, I would just move on. 19 Ms. Taylor, there's no other -- and I see 20 heads nodding. So I think we're good. 21 I just want to make sure legally we're doing 2.2 this thing right. 23 And, Ms. Taylor, it sounds like that's the 24 end of today, is that correct? MS. TAYLOR: That is correct. 25 MR. VAZQUEZ: Let me -- in closing, and then 26 I'll turn it over to see if there's any other Members 27 that want to have any comments. 28

1 I would just like to thank Ms. Fleming, Mr. Moon, Ms. Renati, and the entire BOE staff, and 2 the assessors, team members, for the tremendous work 3 they did getting this legislation into the form it is 4 today. 5 As well as the efforts that develop to 6 7 publish the detailed letters to the assessors, a dozen important forms, facts sheets, and other 8 information required for the effective Prop. 19 9 implementation. It was a Herculean task, and in very 10 short time. 11 12 In recognition of Black History Month, I'd like to adjourn this meeting in honor of the Civil 13 Rights' leaders, past and present, and the countless 14 Americans from all walks of life who continue to work 15 16 for promoting awareness and positive change to help 17 create fairness and equity for all Black Americans. 18 And with that, let me just see if there's anybody else that has any other closing remarks 19 20 before we officially adjourn this meeting. 21 Seeing no other hands or comments, we stand 2.2 adjourned. 23 Oh, I'm sorry. I see a hand from Member 24 Gaines. Go ahead. 25 MR. GAINES: I'm sorry. I didn't get my 26 hand up fast enough. 27 MR. VAZQUEZ: Not a problem. Go ahead. 28

MR. GAINES: I just wanted to thank you for 1 your leadership effort. Because it's been a lot of 2 work to address Prop. 19. And the Board as a whole, 3 I think, has done well. And certainly the staff that 4 put in the long hours. 5 But, Tony, you're -- you're the head, you're 6 our Chair. And thank you for that leadership. 7 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you all. And thank you 8 for your patience. 9 I know at one point it was really -- we were 10 all kind of nervous, because we just couldn't get 11 12 anybody to put together this bill for us. And, like I said, I just wanted to thank 13 obviously the leadership, Senator Hertzberg, and my 14 Senator, Ben Allen, as well as Senator McGuire for 15 stepping up and being coauthors. 16 Because hopefully with that combination, we 17 18 can get this thing through in a very timely manner. 19 Because I know in listening to the 20 assessors, they're really, really in a pinch. You 21 know, they need to know ASAP, so they can begin to 2.2 implement Prop. 19. 23 I thank you all. 24 And I see a hand up by my Vice Chair Schaefer. 25 Go ahead. 26 MR. SCHAEFER: Chair Vazquez, I just want to 27 second Member Gaines' laudatory remarks. 28

And especially thank Senator Bob Hertzberg 1 for all he's done. He is the most powerful -- second 2 most powerful senator in the state. He's given his 3 full time to making all this happen. He should know 4 that we all appreciate him very much. 5 6 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 7 And with that, Members, we will go ahead and officially adjourn this meeting. 8 And I have 12:02. And our next meeting is 9 scheduled for March 23rd at 10:00 a.m., unless we 10 have any other comments. 11 12 And thank you again to the assessors. I see Mr. Dronenburg is still with us. And I believe 13 Jeff Prang is still with us. 14 Thank you for your time. I know we held you 15 16 over for most of yesterday, and part of today as 17 well. Thank you for your participation and your 18 patience as well. 19 With that, we're officially adjourned. And 20 we'll see you all on March 22nd. 21 Thank you. Enjoy your rest of your day. MR. GAINES: Take care. 2.2 23 24 25 26 27 28

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 2 State of California 3 ) ) SS 4 County of Sacramento 5 ) 6 I, Jillian Sumner, Hearing Reporter for 7 the California State Board of Equalization, certify 8 that on February 24, 2021, I recorded verbatim, in 9 shorthand, to the best of my ability, the 10 proceedings in the above-entitled hearing; that I 11 12 transcribed the shorthand writing into typewriting; 13 and that the preceding pages 1 through 91 constitute a complete and accurate transcription of 14 the shorthand writing. 15 16 17 Dated: August 8th, 2021 18 19 illian Sumner 20 JILLIAN SUMNER, CSR #13619 21 22 Hearing Reporter 23 24 25 26 27 28