



STATE OF CALIFORNIA

STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

450 N STREET, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA  
PO BOX 942879, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 94279-0092  
TELEPHONE (916) 324-1825  
FAX (916) 322-4530  
www.boe.ca.gov

CAROLE MIGDEN  
First District, San Francisco

BILL LEONARD  
Second District, Ontario

CLAUDE PARRISH  
Third District, Long Beach

JOHN CHIANG  
Fourth District, Los Angeles

STEVE WESTLY  
State Controller, Sacramento

Ramon J. Hirsig  
Executive Director

June 4, 2004

Dear Interested Party:

Enclosed is the Initial Discussion Paper regarding *place of sale when sales orders are accepted and processed electronically*. Discussion is scheduled for the Board's **October 12, 2004 Business Taxes Committee** meeting.

However, before the issue is presented at the Business Taxes Committee meeting, staff would like to provide interested parties an opportunity to discuss the issue and present any suggested changes or comments. Accordingly, a meeting is scheduled in **Room 122 at 10:00 a.m. on June 17, 2004**, at the Board of Equalization; 450 N Street; Sacramento, California.

If you are unable to attend the meeting but would like to provide input for discussion at the meeting, please feel free to write to me at the above address or send a fax to (916) 322-4530 before the June 17 meeting. If you are aware of other persons that may be interested in attending the meeting or presenting their comments, please feel free to provide them with a copy of the enclosed material and extend an invitation to the meeting. If you plan to attend the meeting on June 17, or would like to participate via teleconference, I would appreciate it if you would let staff know by contacting Mr. Charles E. Arana Jr. at (916) 327-3601 or by e-mail at [chuck.arana@boe.ca.gov](mailto:chuck.arana@boe.ca.gov) prior to June 14. This will allow staff to make alternative arrangements should the expected attendance exceed the maximum capacity of Room 122 and to arrange for teleconferencing. In addition, please let Mr. Arana know if you wish to have future correspondence, including the second discussion paper and all attachments, sent to your e-mail address rather than to your mailing address.

Whether or not you are able to attend the above interested parties' meeting, please keep in mind that the due date for interested parties to provide written preliminary responses to staff's analysis is **July 12, 2004**. Please be aware that a copy of the material you submit may be provided to other interested parties. Therefore, please ensure your comments do not contain confidential information.

If you are interested in other topics to be considered by the Business Taxes Committee, you may refer to the "Board Meetings and Committee Information" page on the Board's Internet web site (<http://www.boe.ca.gov/meetings/meetings.htm#two>) for copies of Committee discussion or issue papers, minutes, a procedures manual and calendars arranged according to subject matter and by month.

Thank you for your consideration. I look forward to your comments and suggestions. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Mr. Geoffrey E. Lyle, Supervisor, Business Taxes Committee and Training Section, at (916) 322-0849.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey L. McGuire  
Tax Policy Manager  
Sales and Use Tax Department

JLM: ca

Enclosures

cc: (all with enclosures)  
Honorable Carole Migden, Chairwoman  
Honorable Claude Parrish, Vice Chairman  
Honorable Bill Leonard, Member, Second District (MIC 78)  
Honorable John Chiang, Member, Fourth District  
Honorable Steve Westly, State Controller, C/O Ms. Marcy Jo Mandel  
Ms. Carole Ruwart, Board Member's Office, First District (MIC 71)  
Ms. Sabina Crocette, Board Member's Office, First District  
Mr. Neil Shah, Board Member's Office, Third District (via e-mail)  
Mr. Romeo Vinzon, Board Member's Office, Third District (via e-mail)  
Ms. Margaret Pennington, Board Member's Office, Second District (via e-mail)  
Mr. Lee Williams, Board Member's Office, Second District (MIC 78 and via e-mail)  
Mr. Michael Thomas, Board Member's Office, Fourth District (MIC 72)  
Ms. Sylvia Tang, Board Member's Office, Fourth District (MIC 72)  
Mr. Ramon J. Hirsig (MIC 73)  
Mr. Timothy Boyer (MIC 83)  
Acting Deputy Director SUTD (MIC 43)  
Ms. Janice Thurston (MIC 82)  
Mr. Jeffrey H. Graybill (MIC 82)  
Mr. John Waid (MIC 82)  
Ms. Carla Caruso (MIC 82)  
Ms. Jean Ogrod (via e-mail)  
Mr. Jeff Vest (via e-mail)  
Mr. David Levine (MIC 85)  
Mr. Steve Ryan (via e-mail)                      Mr. Geoffrey E. Lyle (MIC 50)  
Mr. Todd Gilman (MIC 70)                      Ms. Lauren Simpson (MIC 50)  
Mr. Dave Hayes (MIC 67)                      Mr. Charles E. Arana Jr. (MIC 50)  
Mr. Joseph Young (via e-mail)                Ms. Cecilia Watkins (MIC 50)  
Mr. Jay Gould (MIC 32 and via e-mail)  
Mr. Vic Anderson (MIC 44 and via e-mail )  
Mr. Larry Bergkamp (via e-mail)

# INITIAL DISCUSSION PAPER

## Place of Sale When Sales Orders are Accepted and Processed Electronically

### Issue

Should the method by which electronic commerce is conducted determine the manner in which retailers report local tax?

### Background

#### Introduction

At its meeting on April 13, 2004, the Board directed the Business Taxes Committee to review and discuss the “place of sale” for local sales tax purposes when sales orders are placed and processed<sup>1</sup> electronically. The purpose of this Discussion Paper is to provide a history of local tax rules, explain the current rules, raise issues that may suggest the desirability of new or additional rules for electronic commerce transactions, and provide a platform for a discussion by local government and retailers.

Commerce in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century is changing significantly as retailers incorporate new technologies into the ways that they perform their transactions. Many retailers in today’s markets have web sites on servers,<sup>2</sup> that may or may not be owned by the retailer, where consumers place orders electronically from computers. The retailer’s computers process the order transmitted to it from the web site (this processing is done through servers which can be located anywhere), and the order information is then transmitted to the retailer’s or wholesaler’s server(s) (which could be in or out of California and owned or not owned by the retailer or wholesaler) for order fulfillment from a warehouse. Such processing and fulfilling may occur in any state, and at locations that may not be owned or operated by the retailer. In many of these cases, there is no human involvement on the part of the retailer making the sale until the order is fulfilled at the retailer’s warehouse. If the retailer does not own inventory, the retailer apparently has no human involvement at all in the sale. Thus, the person-to-person interaction at the order stage, on which the Board has historically based its analysis of the local sales tax consequences of a sale, is not present. In some cases, especially in business-to-business orders, even the purchaser’s order may be placed by a computer that tracks inventory, resulting in no human involvement on the purchaser’s side as well. These changes in sales methods have raised the issue of where to allocate the local sales tax when the transaction takes place electronically through the Internet<sup>3</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> For purposes of this Discussion Paper, “processed” means performing one or more of the following activities or functions in connection with an order related to the sale of tangible personal property: checking inventory; checking the purchaser’s credit; checking the “ship to” address; and transmitting the order information to a warehouse for fulfillment of the order. This list of activities is intended to be illustrative and not exhaustive.

<sup>2</sup> “Servers are [computer] systems, often with multiple microprocessors working together, that house large amounts of data, direct traffic, perform complex transactions and control central functions in local and wide area networks and on the Internet.” (2003 Intel Annual Report, p. 2 <<http://www.intel.com/intel/annual03ar03.pdf>>.)

<sup>3</sup> Because local use tax is allocated to the place where the property is first functionally used (Reg. 1802(c)) electronic commerce transactions subject to local use tax do not have “place of sale” issues as local sales tax transactions do.

## INITIAL DISCUSSION PAPER

### Place of Sale When Sales Orders are Accepted and Processed Electronically

Resolution of this issue requires an understanding of the retailer's operations in the state, as well as the circumstances of its transactions. Determining the answers to the following questions will assist in resolving the local sales tax allocation issues arising from electronic commerce transactions.

1. Is the transaction subject to sales tax or use tax?
2. If a transaction is subject to sales tax, what is the place of sale for local sales tax purposes?<sup>4</sup>

To date, staff has received no comments from interested parties on this topic. The Business Taxes Committee is scheduled to discuss this issue at its meeting on October 12, 2004.

#### Is the Transaction Subject to Sales Tax or Use Tax?

Under the California Sales and Use Tax Law, sales tax is imposed on retailers for the privilege of selling tangible personal property at retail in this state. (Revenue and Taxation Code (RTC) section 6051.) The use tax is complementary to the sales tax and is imposed on the storage, use, or other consumption in this state of tangible personal property purchased from any retailer for storage, use, or other consumption in this state. (RTC section 6201.) The obligation to pay use tax is on the consumer. (RTC section 6202(a).) However, if an out-of-state retailer is engaged in business in this state as defined in RTC section 6203, it is required to register with the Board (Certificate of Registration - Use Tax), collect the use tax from the consumer at the time of making the sale, and remit the tax to the Board, allocating local use tax to the place of first functional use. (Reg. 1803(c).)<sup>5</sup>

The sales tax and the use tax are at the same rate. The sales tax is measured by the retailer's gross receipts, and the use tax is measured by the sales price of the property purchased. (RTC sections 6011 & 6012.) The application of local sales tax and local use tax generally follows the application of state sales tax and state use tax, subject to various exclusions and exemptions from local tax. (Reg. 1803.) Retailers making sales subject to sales tax must obtain a seller's permit and a sub-permit for each location at which transactions related to sales are negotiated, and local sales tax is allocated to the place where the sale is deemed to take place. (RTC section 6066, Reg. 1699, 1802(c).)

Regulation 1620(a)(1) (*Interstate and Foreign Commerce*) provides in part that when a sale occurs in this state, the sales tax is not rendered inapplicable solely because the sale follows a movement of the property into this state from a point outside its borders. Such movements

---

<sup>4</sup> Staff notes that the place-of-sale concept is not the only possible method of allocating local sales tax. For example, the Streamlined Sales Tax Program (SSTP) contemplates the allocation of local sales tax using a place-of-use orientation similar to district taxes. The SSTP is an effort created by state governments, with input from local governments and the private sector, to simplify and modernize sales and use tax collection and administration. RTC sections 6026 - 6031 create a Board of Governance and direct the Board of Governance to represent California as a voting member of the SSTP. See <http://www.streamlinedsalestax.org/> and A Report to the Legislature on the Streamlined Sales Tax Project, April 30, 2004, at <http://www.boe.ca.gov/pdf/1Q04SSTPLegRptFinal42204.pdf>.

<sup>5</sup> The Sales and Use Tax regulations referenced in this paper can be viewed at <http://www.boe.ca.gov/sutax/staxregs.htm>

## INITIAL DISCUSSION PAPER

### Place of Sale When Sales Orders are Accepted and Processed Electronically

prevent application of the sales tax only when conditions exist under which the taxing of the sale is prohibited by the United States Constitution or there exists a statutory exemption. (Reg. 1620(a)(1).) Regulation 1620 defines the conditions when sales tax does and does not apply, as follows:

“Sales tax applies when the order for the property is sent by the purchaser to, or delivery of the property is made by, any local branch, office, outlet or other place of business of the retailer in this state... and the sale occurs in this state.... Participation in the transaction in any way by the local office, branch, outlet or other place of business is sufficient to sustain the tax.” (Reg. 1620(a)(2)(A).)

“Sales tax does not apply when the order is sent by the purchaser directly to the retailer at a point outside this state, or to an agent of the retailer in this state, and the property is shipped to the purchaser, pursuant to the contract of sale, from a point outside this state directly to the purchaser in this state... provided there is no participation whatever in the transaction by any local branch, office, outlet or other place of business of the retailer....” (Reg. 1620(a)(2)(B).)

#### If a transaction is subject to sales tax, what is the place of sale for local sales tax purposes?

Under the Bradley-Burns Uniform Sales and Use Tax Law (“Local Tax”), counties, cities and some redevelopment agencies are authorized to impose a local sales and use tax in their jurisdictions in accordance with the provisions of this law. (RTC sections 7200 – 7212.) All participating jurisdictions are required to contract with the Board for the collection and administration of the local tax. (RTC 7202(d).) Local use tax generally is allocated to the place of first functional use, while local sales tax generally is allocated to the place where the sale is negotiated. (Reg. 1802.) Additionally, the legislature has provided specific local tax rules for sales of specific products, such as sales of jet fuel and leases of motor vehicles. (RTC sections 7204.03, 7205.1.)

For purposes of the state Sales and Use Tax Law, the place of the sale of tangible personal property is the place where the property is physically located at the time the act constituting the sale or purchase takes place. (RTC section 6010.5.) However, for purposes of the Local Tax Law, different rules apply. (Reg. 1628(b)(4).) For local tax purposes, retail sales are consummated at the retailer’s place of business if the retailer has only one place of business in the state (RTC section 7205(a)); otherwise, if the retailer has no permanent place of business, or more than one place of business, sales are consummated as determined under rules and regulations of the Board (RTC section 7205(b)). As relevant here, Regulation 1802(a)<sup>6</sup> interprets and implements the place of sale rules as follows:

“(1) RETAILERS HAVING ONE PLACE OF BUSINESS. For the purposes of the Bradley-Burns Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax Law, if a retailer has only one place of business in this state, all California retail sales of that retailer in which that place of

---

<sup>6</sup> Subdivision (b) sets forth local sales tax allocation rules for specific sellers, and is therefore generally not a factor in this discussion. The rules contained in subdivision (a) cover the vast majority of retailers.

## INITIAL DISCUSSION PAPER

### Place of Sale When Sales Orders are Accepted and Processed Electronically

business participates occur at that place of business unless the tangible personal property sold is delivered by the retailer or his or her agent to an out-of-state destination, or to a common carrier for delivery to an out-of-state destination.

“(2) RETAILERS HAVING MORE THAN ONE PLACE OF BUSINESS.

“(A) If a retailer has more than one place of business in this state but only one place of business participates in the sale, the sale occurs at that place of business.

“(B) If a retailer has more than one place of business in this state which participate in the sale, the sale occurs at the place of business where the principal negotiations are carried on. If this place is the place where the order is taken, it is immaterial that the order must be forwarded elsewhere for acceptance, approval of credit, shipment, or billing. For the purposes of this regulation, an employee’s activities will be attributed to the place of business out of which he or she works.”

Regulation 1802(c) further provides that local sales tax is allocated to the place where the sale is deemed to take place under rules of Regulation 1802(a) and (b).<sup>7</sup>

A business location is not considered “a place of business” of the retailer under Regulation 1802 unless that location can be issued a seller’s permit or sub-permit: persons who desire to engage in the business of selling tangible personal property in this state must obtain a seller’s permit for each place of business at which transactions related to sales are customarily negotiated with their customers. (RTC section 6066(a), Reg. 1699.) Regulation 1699(a) states:

“Every person engaged in the business of selling ... tangible personal property of a kind the gross receipts from the retail sale of which are required to be included in the measure of the sales tax, and only a person actively so engaged, is required to hold a permit for each place of business in this state at which transactions relating to sales are customarily negotiated with his or her customers. For example:

“A permit is required for a branch sales office at which orders are customarily taken and contracts negotiated, whether or not merchandise is stocked there.”

The regulation also specifies that:

“No additional permits are required for warehouses or other places at which merchandise is merely stored and which customers do not customarily visit for the purpose of making purchases and which are maintained in conjunction with a place of business for which a permit is held; but at least one permit must be held by every person maintaining stocks of merchandise in this state for sale.”

Thus, the place of sale is generally the retailer’s registered place of business at which the sale is negotiated or the order taken. Local sales tax generally is allocated directly to the local

---

<sup>7</sup> Subdivision (b) of Regulation 1802 contains allocation rules for seven different types of retailers. This Discussion Topic concerns only the general rules under subdivisions (a) and (c).

## INITIAL DISCUSSION PAPER

### Place of Sale When Sales Orders are Accepted and Processed Electronically

jurisdiction of that location.<sup>8</sup> Guidelines for allocating local tax are set forth in Exhibit 5 of Chapter 5 of the *Compliance Policy and Procedures Manual*. (<http://www.boe.ca.gov/pdf/cpm-05.pdf>.)

The challenges facing the Board, retailers and participating jurisdictions today deal with allocation issues in the Internet age, where retailers do not operate in traditional business locations involving face-to-face negotiations of sale.

#### Local Tax History

The existing allocation rules were developed when the local tax system was instituted in 1956 with Board staff working in concert with cities, counties, and retailers. The system balanced the needs and desires of the participating jurisdictions against the administrative burdens and expenses of the retailers, who would be preparing the local tax returns and schedules, and reporting and paying sales taxes or collecting use taxes. The system was based on the economic model then current: sales were primarily accomplished through face-to-face negotiations between the customer and a representative of the retailer.<sup>9</sup> The predecessor to Regulation 1699, Tax Ruling 79, adopted in 1939, limited issuance of seller's permits to locations where retailers customarily negotiated sales with customers. The Board recognized at the time, however, that some sales agents would travel from their home offices to make sales, so their sales activities were (and are) attributed to the offices out of which they work. (See former Tax Ruling 2202, now Reg. 1802(a)(2)(B).) Sales offices also tended to be fairly permanent locations, and the Board has required that, in order for local sales tax to be allocated to a city, the retailer must have a place of business there in which the retailer has a "proprietary interest," the retailer must have employees permanently stationed there, and those employees must be negotiating sales. (Reg. 1802(a); Annotations 710.0013 (7/18/91) and 710.0024 (8/5/83).)

Technology, however, has marched on. As retailers began increasingly to use telephone banks to make sales rather than sales occurring face-to-face, the Board concluded that the location of the retailer's telephone bank where the retailer answered customers' telephone calls was the place where the sales negotiations occurred. (Annotations 710.0007 (5/19/92) and 710.0010 (11/14/91).) Again, the Board was responding to the instruction of Regulation 1699(a) to find the location which customers regularly contacted to negotiate sales with the retailer.

Mail-order catalog sales became increasingly common, and many retailers and the property they sold using this method of sale were located out of state. Consequently, the applicable tax was

---

<sup>8</sup> When a retailer has only one place of business in this state that negotiates sales, local sales tax of those sales is automatically allocated to that location. If the retailer has more than place of business capable of being registered under the Board's rules and regulations, the permit is issued to the retailer's headquarters and sub-permits are issued to each selling location. The qualifications for a sub-permit are the same as for a seller's permit.

<sup>9</sup> The word "negotiate" is defined identically in a standard Webster's dictionary and in Black's Law Dictionary, as meaning "to confer with another so as to come to terms or reach an agreement." (Webster's II New Riverside University Dictionary (1994) p. 789, col. 1; Black's Law Dict. (5th ed. 1979) p. 934, col. 1; see, *Mason v. Mazel* (1947) 82 Cal. App. 2d 769, 772.) Staff recognizes that in the case of typical over-the-counter sales there are no "negotiations" as that term is defined, but the bringing of goods to the counter has always been considered "negotiations" for the purpose of obtaining a seller's permit.

## **INITIAL DISCUSSION PAPER**

### **Place of Sale When Sales Orders are Accepted and Processed Electronically**

use tax, and the attention of the Legislature and Board was turned primarily to getting out-of-state retailers with nexus in California to collect and remit the use tax in these situations.

As the 1990's progressed, more and more sales began to be conducted on the Internet. Initially, servers mainly belonged to service providers, either Independent Internet Service Providers (ISP's), or national commercial on-line services like America On-Line. Upon consultation with industry, the Board concluded that a web site was a means to forward a buyer's order to the retailer through communications lines. Recognizing that if the server were located in California, a question of nexus on the part of an out-of-state on-line retailer could arise, the Board amended Regulation 1684(a) in 1997 to provide that the use of a computer server to create or maintain a web site on the Internet would not be considered in determining if a retailer has substantial nexus with California.<sup>10</sup>

As the millennium drew to a close, some California retailers established web sites on servers located in this state that customers could visit and on which they could place orders. Regarding whether web servers were places of sale, in 2001 the Board adopted subdivision (i) of Regulation 1699 as follows:

“The location of a computer server on which a web site resides may not be issued a seller's permit for sales tax purposes except when the retailer has a proprietary interest in the server and the activities at that location otherwise qualify for a seller's permit under this regulation.”

This subdivision is consistent with the opinion set forth in Annotation 710.0013.600 (12/30/97), where, following the reasoning behind the 1997 amendment to Regulation 1684(a), the opinion concluded that when the order is placed through an Internet web site and forwarded through the site to a location in California where the retailer's employees process the order, the place where the order is processed is the place of sale for local tax purposes. The rationale for this result is that RTC section 7205, Regulation 1699(a) and Regulation 1802(a) require the Board to determine where the retailer is exercising its privilege of selling, i.e., the place where persons customarily contact the retailer for the purpose of negotiating sales. Moreover, if a web server cannot provide nexus to require a retailer to collect use tax under Regulation 1684, it cannot support jurisdiction to make a retailer pay sales tax. Therefore, the location of electronic forwarding equipment, be it electronic telephone switching equipment or a web server, is not a place of sale, in and of itself.

---

<sup>10</sup> The third paragraph of subdivision (a) of Regulation 1684 states: “The use of a computer server on the Internet to create or maintain a World Wide Web page or site by an out-of-state retailer will not be considered a factor in determining whether the retailer has a substantial nexus with California. No Internet Service Provider, On-line Service Provider, internetwork communication service provider, or other Internet access service provider, or World Wide Web hosting services shall be deemed the agent or representative of any out-of-state retailer as a result of the service provider maintaining or taking orders via a web page or site on a computer server that is physically located in this state.”

## **INITIAL DISCUSSION PAPER**

### **Place of Sale When Sales Orders are Accepted and Processed Electronically**

#### **Discussion**

The Board's previous discussions of place-of-sale issues generally have had their foundation in two concepts: first, that there is the involvement of a human being in the sales transaction on both the purchaser and the retailer side, and second, that in order to qualify as a place of business of the retailer, the retailer's business location must be relatively permanent, with its employees negotiating sales there.

As noted above, however, with Internet or electronic commerce sales, one or more of these assumptions may not apply. There may not be an individual on either the purchasing or the retailing end of the sale. The first time a human being comes in contact with the transaction may be when the order reaches the location of the stock of goods for processing for delivery. The retailer may not own a stock of goods in this state, fulfilling its sales orders from one or more third-party suppliers in what are like drop-shipment arrangements, i.e., a third party fulfills the order and ships it to the customer. The retailer's only physical presence in California may be an administrative headquarters where no selling activities occur, which is the kind of business location which has not qualified for a seller's permit under RTC section 6066 and Regulation 1699.

Some changes created by electronic commerce have been integrated relatively easily into the existing local tax system. For example, some stores have adopted systems whereby customers are given readers to scan their own purchases as they shop and then check out at a kiosk using a debit or credit card or check without going through a cash register. The Board considers these kiosks to be substitutes for traditional cash checkouts.

Existing local sales tax rules anticipate that a retailer will negotiate sales at a physical location staffed by employees, but in electronic commerce transactions the sales may be processed by one or more computers with no human involvement, and no physical location of the retailer connected to the sale. Following are some examples that illustrate the issues encountered in determining where the place of sale is and allocating local sales tax in electronic commerce transactions. In each case, assume the retailer has a seller's permit and the California buyer places the order over the Internet.

Example 1: The retailer has its headquarters in a California city and a warehouse in another California city. The retailer's web site is hosted by an independent service provider located in another California city. All orders are electronically processed at the retailer's headquarters, e.g. electronically received, credit checked, acknowledged, and transmitted for fulfillment to the retailer's warehouse, which then ships the product to the California customer. The sale is subject to California sales tax because the buyer, the seller, and the property sold are all located in California at the time of the sale, but the determination of the retailer's place of sale is more difficult than a traditional over-the-counter sale. The local sales tax may not be allocated to the location of the web site, because the web site cannot be issued a permit under Regulation 1699(i), which explains:

“The location of a computer server on which a web site resides may not be issued a seller's permit for sales tax purposes except when the retailer has a proprietary interest

## INITIAL DISCUSSION PAPER

### Place of Sale When Sales Orders are Accepted and Processed Electronically

in the server and the activities at that location otherwise qualify for a seller's permit under this regulation.”

Since the first time that employees of the retailer are involved in the order is when it is received at the retailer's warehouse where the retailer's employees fill the order from the warehouse inventory, staff would interpret current allocation rules to require the local tax to be allocated directly to the local jurisdiction of the warehouse. (Regulation 1802(a).)

Example 2: Same as Example 1, except a third-party California supplier, not the retailer, fulfills the orders from its warehouse inventory. The retailer has no employee involved in processing the order, but the sale is still subject to sales tax because all activities take place in California. Under existing rules, there is no business location of the retailer that can be issued a sub-permit under Regulation 1699(a). Staff would interpret existing allocation rules to require the local sales tax to be allocated indirectly through the medium of the county-wide pool to the jurisdiction of the retailer's only business location in this state. (RTC section 7202; see also *City of San Joaquin v. State Board of Equalization* (1970) 9 Cal. App. 3d. 365, 375-376.)<sup>11</sup>

This example points out the difficulty of finding sales negotiations and a physical location of a retailer's place of sale when all activities relating to the sale occur electronically. This raises the issue of whether sales negotiations, as that term is commonly understood, take place at all when the customer and retailer solely interact by electronic transmissions. On the other hand, if negotiations do take place, do they take place in cyberspace, i.e., a place with no physical location to which a seller's permit or sub-permit may be issued? To the extent the retailer's operations are consolidated in one location, a permit to that location might be a solution. What if, however, as in Annotation 710.0150 (8/18/99), the corporate headquarters and its server are in different places (see Example 4)? What if, in this day and age of “virtual corporations,”<sup>12</sup> the retailer's various corporate functions are located in several different cities? Which function should be issued a permit? Should the permit be issued to the location of the retailer's server or to the location of the programmers who design and maintain the web page, or to that of the marketing and sales personnel who provide the programmers the necessary information regarding products, prices, promotions, or to somewhere else?<sup>13</sup> Instead of searching for a place

---

<sup>11</sup> The county-wide pool system is an accounting system used to allocate the local portion of the sales or use tax reported under certain circumstances. The pool system is used only when the applicable rules and regulations of the Board do not permit direct allocation and even then is involved only after the applicable tax and the place of sale or use have been determined under the rules and regulations of the Board. Retailers who report tax to the participating jurisdictions through the medium of the pool system generally do so on Schedule B (*Detailed Allocation by County of 1 Percent Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax*) with their returns. Schedule B lists each county within the State of California. Local sales tax is listed opposite the county wherein is located the place of sale; local use tax is entered opposite the county of first functional use. At the end of each reporting quarter, the county-wide pool totals are prorated among the unincorporated area and the cities and redevelopment agencies of each county using the proportion that the directly-reported tax for each city, redevelopment agency, and unincorporated area bears to the total direct-reported tax for the county as a whole.

<sup>12</sup> Chutchian-Ferranti, Joyce, *Virtual Corporation*, Computerworld (9/13/99) <<http://highbeam.com>> (as of May 20, 2004).

<sup>13</sup> The difficulties increase if these departments were themselves “virtual” with no one physical location.

## **INITIAL DISCUSSION PAPER**

### **Place of Sale When Sales Orders are Accepted and Processed Electronically**

where the retailer has a place of sale, an argument could be made that, as the retailer is not engaged in the business of selling at any physical location in California, the place of sale is the California location of the stock of goods that fulfills the orders, with the local sales tax then allocated to the jurisdiction where the warehouse is located. Another alternative would be for the retailer to allocate the local sales tax through the medium of the state-wide pool especially if the sales involve high-volume but low-per-unit-cost sales, which is where the state-wide pool is typically used.

Examples 3 & 4: Same as Examples 1 and 2, except that the retailer hosts its own web site on a server that may (Example 3) or may not (Example 4) be located in the same place as its administrative headquarters. Now that the retailer owns the server, the question is whether the retailer undertakes any activity at the location of the server that would qualify that location for a seller's permit under Regulation 1699(i). Staff has previously taken the position that merely placing of an order on a web site is not "negotiation" in that the Internet order is like filling out an order form from a mail-order catalogue. (See Annotation 710.0151 (10/30/02).)

Examples 5 and 6: Same as Example 3 (the server is located at the retailer's headquarters), except the retailer also makes retail sales over the telephone, either at the headquarters location (Example 5) or at a remote location in this state (Example 6). Clearly, for the telephone sales, the telephone seller's location must obtain a seller's permit or sub-permit under Regulation 1699(a), and the local sales tax derived from the telephone sales would be allocated to the location of the telephone seller. (Reg. 1802(a)(1).) What, however, happens to the Internet sales? Resolution of this issue depends on the answers to the questions posed under Examples 3 and 4.

#### **Summary**

Determining the proper allocation of local sales taxes is becoming more complex due to the manner in which retailers conduct business in today's markets. The Board has referred the matter to the Business Taxes Committee for discussion of these issues when sales orders are placed, and/or accepted, and/or processed electronically. Numerous questions must be answered if a new standard is to be developed. Staff welcomes any comments or suggestions from local governments, retailers and other interested parties. Interested parties are also invited to participate in the interested parties meeting scheduled for June 17, 2004, in Sacramento.

Prepared by the Tax Policy Division, Sales and Use Tax Department

Current as of 6/03/2004

G:\BTC\BTC TOPICS - 2004\Electronic Sales\Papers\Electronic Sales IDP.doc