



BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

BUSINESS TAXES COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

HONORABLE BETTY T. YEE, COMMITTEE CHAIR

450 N STREET, SACRAMENTO

MEETING DATE: DECEMBER 17, 2013, TIME: 10:00 A.M.

ACTION ITEMS & STATUS REPORT ITEMS**Agenda Item No: 1****Title: Proposed Amendments to Regulation 1655, *Returns, Defects and Replacements*.****Issue:**

Whether the Board should amend Sales and Use Tax Regulation (Regulation) 1655, *Returns, Defects and Replacements*, in order to implement, interpret, and make specific the amendments made to Civil Code sections 1793.2 and 1793.25, by Assembly Bill 242 (AB 242)(Stat. 2011, Ch. 727).

Committee Discussion:

Staff introduced the issue. There was no discussion of this item.

Committee Action:

Upon motion by Mr. Runner and seconded by Mr. Horton, without objection, the Committee approved and authorized for publication the proposed amendments to Regulation 1655, *Returns, Defects and Replacements*. A copy of the proposed amendments to Regulation 1655 is attached.

Agenda Item No: 2**Title: Proposed Amendments to Regulation 4902, *Relief From Liability*, for the extension of relief based on written advice.****Issue:**

Should the Board revise Regulation 4902, *Relief From Liability*, to extend relief of liability for reliance upon written advice or reliance on a prior audit to another person if that person has a common controlling ownership, and shares accounting functions with the written advice recipient?

Committee Discussion:

Staff introduced the issue and explained that the proposed amendments would extend relief of liability under specific circumstances. Staff also explained that a revision to the proposed amendments was recommended subsequent to the distribution of the issue paper and related materials. Staff requested that the proposed amendments include changing the term "that person" to "those persons" at the end of the second paragraph in subdivision (a).


Staff further noted that the proposed amendments, inclusive of the additional revision, conform Regulation 4902 with the revisions to Sales and Use Tax Regulation 1705, *Relief From Liability*, approved at the August 13, 2013 Business Taxes Committee meeting.

Committee Action:

Upon motion by Mr. Horton and seconded by Ms. Steel, without objection, the Committee approved and authorized for publication the proposed amendments to Regulation 4902, *Relief From Liability*, inclusive of changing the term "that person" to "those persons" at the end of the second paragraph in subdivision (a). A copy of the proposed amendments to Regulation 4902 is attached.




Honorable Betty T. Yee, Committee Chair



Cynthia Bridges, Executive Director

BOARD APPROVED

at the 12/17/13 Board Meeting



Joann Richmond, Chief
Board Proceedings Division

Regulation 1655, Returns, Defects and Replacements

Reference: Sections 6006-6012 and 6012.3, Revenue and Taxation Code; Sections 1793.2-1793.25, Civil Code; and Sections 11713.12 and 11713.21, Vehicle Code.

(a) Returned Merchandise.

(1) In General. Except as provided in paragraph (2) of this subdivision, the amount upon which tax is computed does not include the amount charged for merchandise returned by customers if, (1) the full sale price, including that portion designated as "sales tax," is refunded either in cash or credit, and (2) the customer, in order to obtain the refund or credit, is not required to purchase other property at a price greater than the amount charged for the property that is returned. Refund or credit of the entire amount is deemed to be given when the purchase price, less rehandling and restocking costs, is refunded or credited to the customer. The amount withheld for rehandling and restocking may not exceed the actual cost of rehandling and restocking the returned merchandise. However, in lieu of using the actual cost for each transaction, the amount withheld for rehandling and restocking may be a percentage of the sales price determined by the average cost of rehandling and restocking returned merchandise during the previous accounting cycle (generally one year). If the seller elects to withhold rehandling and restocking amounts based on a percentage of sales price, the seller is bound by that election for the entire accounting cycle for which the election is made and must apply that percentage in lieu of actual cost during that period on all returned merchandise transactions for which rehandling and restocking costs are withheld. The amount withheld as rehandling and restocking costs may not include compensation for increased overhead costs because of the return, for refinishing or restoring the property to salable condition where the necessity therefore is occasioned by customer usage, or for any expense prior to the "sale" (i.e., transfer of title, lease, or possession under a conditional sale contract). Sellers must maintain adequate records which may be verified by audit, documenting the percentage used.

(2) Contract Cancellation Options Required by Car Buyer's Bill of Rights.

(A) Contract Cancellation Option. On and after July 1, 2006, the terms "gross receipts" and "sales price" do not include the purchase price for a contract cancellation option agreement with respect to a contract to purchase a used vehicle with a purchase price of less than forty thousand dollars (\$40,000), which a dealer is required to offer to a buyer pursuant to Vehicle Code section 11713.21. The purchase price for a contract cancellation option described in this subparagraph shall not exceed:

1. Seventy-five dollars (\$75) for a vehicle with a cash price of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) or less;
2. One hundred fifty dollars (\$150) for a vehicle with a cash price of more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000), but not more than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000);

The proposed amendments contained in this document may not be adopted. Any revisions that are adopted may differ from this text.

3. Two hundred fifty dollars (\$250) for a vehicle with a cash price of more than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), but not more than thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000); or
4. One percent of the purchase price for a vehicle with a cash price of more than thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000), but less than forty thousand dollars (\$40,000).

(B) Restocking Fee. On and after July 1, 2006, the terms “gross receipts” and “sales price” do not include the dollar amount of a restocking fee the buyer must pay to the dealer to exercise the right to cancel a purchase of a used car under a contract cancellation option agreement pursuant to Vehicle Code section 11713.21 as described in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph. The dollar amount of a restocking fee described in this subparagraph shall not exceed:

1. One hundred seventy-five dollars (\$175) if the vehicle's cash price is five thousand dollars (\$5,000) or less;
2. Three hundred fifty dollars (\$350) if the vehicle's cash price is more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000), but less than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000); or
3. Five hundred dollars (\$500) if the vehicle's cash price is ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) or more.

(C) Amounts Refunded to Customers. On and after July 1, 2006, the terms “gross receipts” and “sales price” do not include that portion of the selling price for a used motor vehicle that is refunded to the buyer due to the buyer's exercise of the right to return the vehicle for a refund, which is contained in a contract cancellation option agreement pursuant to Vehicle Code section 11713.21 as described in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph.

(b) Defective Merchandise.

(1) In General. Amounts credited or refunded by sellers to consumers on account of defects in merchandise sold may be excluded from the amount on which tax is computed. If, however, defective merchandise is accepted as part payment for other merchandise and an additional allowance or credit is given on account of its defective condition, only the amount allowed or credited on account of defects may be excluded from taxable gross receipts. The amount allowed as the “trade-in” value must be included in the measure of tax.

(2) Restitution or Replacement Under California Lemon Law.

(A) General. Under subdivision (d) of Civil Code section 1793.2, if a manufacturer is unable to service or repair a “new motor vehicle,” as that term is defined in subdivision (e)(2) of Civil Code section 1793.22, to conform to the applicable express warranties after a reasonable number of attempts, the manufacturer must either replace the motor vehicle or provide the buyer restitution of the purchase price, less specified amounts, at the buyer's election.

The proposed amendments contained in this document may not be adopted. Any revisions that are adopted may differ from this text.

For purposes of this regulation, the term buyer shall include a lessee of a new motor vehicle.

(B) Restitution. A manufacturer who pays a buyer restitution pursuant to, and in complete compliance with, subdivision (d)(2) of Civil Code section 1793.2 is entitled to a refund of the amount of sales or use tax, or sales tax reimbursement included in the restitution paid by the manufacturer to the buyer. The manufacturer may file a claim for refund of that amount with the Bboard. The claim must include a statement that the claim is submitted in accordance with the provisions of section 1793.25 of the Civil Code. The manufacturer must submit with the claim documents evidencing that restitution was made pursuant to, and in complete compliance with, subdivision (d)(2) of Civil Code section 1793.2 including: a copy of the original sales or lease agreement between the buyer and the dealer or lessor of the non-conforming motor vehicle; copies of documents showing all deductions made in calculating the amount of restitution paid to the buyer along with full explanations for those deductions, including settlement documents and odometer statements; a copy of the title branded "Lemon Law Buyback" for the non-conforming motor vehicle returned by the buyer; and proof that the decal the manufacturer is required to affix to that motor vehicle has been so affixed in accordance with section 11713.12 of the Vehicle Code. The manufacturer must also submit with the claim the seller's permit number of the dealer or lessor who made the retail sale or lease of the non-conforming motor vehicle to the buyer, and evidence for one of the following:

1. ~~that~~ The dealer had reported and paid sales tax on the gross receipts from that sale; or

2. The buyer of the motor vehicle had paid the use tax on the sales price for the storage, use, or other consumption of that motor vehicle in this state; or

3. The lessee of the motor vehicle had paid the use tax on the rentals payable from the lease of the vehicle.

For purposes of this regulation, the number of attempts made to repair the non-conforming motor vehicle, if any, prior to providing the customer restitution is not relevant for purposes of determining whether restitution has been made pursuant to subdivision (d)(2) of Civil Code section 1793.2.

(C) Replacement. For purposes of this regulation, a manufacturer who, pursuant to subdivision (d)(2) of Civil Code section 1793.2, replaces a non-conforming motor vehicle with a new motor vehicle substantially identical to the motor vehicle replaced is replacing the motor vehicle under the terms of the mandatory warranty. No additional tax is due unless the buyer is required to pay an additional amount to receive the replacement motor vehicle, in which case tax is due measured by the amount of that payment. If an amount is refunded to the customer as part of the exchange of the non-conforming motor vehicle for the replacement motor vehicle, then that amount is regarded as restitution for purposes of this regulation if it satisfies the requirements of subdivision (d)(2) of Civil Code section 1793.2. The manufacturer may file a claim for refund under subdivision

The proposed amendments contained in this document may not be adopted. Any revisions that are adopted may differ from this text.

(b)(2)(B) of this regulation for the amount of sales or use tax, or sales tax reimbursement that is included in the amount of that restitution paid by the manufacturer to the buyer. For purposes of this regulation, the number of attempts made to repair the non-conforming motor vehicle, if any, prior to providing the customer a replacement is not relevant for purposes of determining whether the replacement has been made pursuant to subdivision (d)(2) of Civil Code section 1793.2.

(D) The amount of use tax the board is required to reimburse the manufacturer shall be limited to the amount of use tax the manufacturer is required to pay to or for the lessee pursuant to Civil Code section 1793.2.

(c) Replacement Parts -Warranties.

(1) In General -Definitions. "Mandatory Warranty." A warranty is mandatory within the meaning of this regulation when the buyer, as a condition of the sale, is required to purchase the warranty or guaranty contract from the seller. "Optional Warranty." A warranty is optional within the meaning of this regulation when the buyer is not required to purchase the warranty or guaranty contract from the seller, i.e., the buyer is free to contract with anyone he or she chooses.

(2) Mandatory Warranties. The sale of tangible personal property includes the furnishing, pursuant to the guaranty provisions of the contract of sale, or mandatory warranty, of replacement parts or materials, and if the property subject to the warranty is sold at retail, the measure of the tax includes any amount charged for the guaranty or warranty, whether or not separately stated. The sale of the replacement parts and materials to the seller furnishing them thereunder is a sale for resale and not taxable.

(3) Optional Warranties. The person obligated under an optional warranty contract to furnish parts, materials, and labor necessary to maintain the property is the consumer of the materials and parts furnished and tax applies to the sale of such items to that person. If he or she purchased the property for resale or from outside California, without tax paid on the purchase price, he or she must report and pay tax upon the cost of such property to him or her when he or she appropriates it to the fulfillment of the contract of warranty.

(4) Deductibles. A deductible paid by a customer under the terms of a mandatory or optional warranty contract is subject to tax measured by the amount of the deductible allocable to the sale of tangible personal property to the customer. For example, if the itemized sales price of tangible personal property (or the fair retail value if not separately itemized) provided pursuant to a warranty is 50 percent of the total fair retail value of the repairs and the deductible is \$100, 50 percent of that deductible, \$50, would be allocable to the sale of tangible personal property and would be subject to tax, whether the warranty were optional or mandatory. Unless otherwise stated in the warranty contract, when either an optional or a mandatory warranty provides that the customer will pay a deductible towards repairs and services provided under the warranty, the person providing the warranty contract is liable for any tax or tax reimbursement otherwise payable by the customer with respect to that deductible.

The proposed amendments contained in this document may not be adopted. Any revisions that are adopted may differ from this text.

REGULATION 4902, RELIEF FROM LIABILITY

Reference: Sections 7657.1, 8879, 30284, 32257, 40104, 41098, 43159, 45157, 46158, 50112.5, 55045, and 60210, Revenue and Taxation Code.

(a) GENERAL. A person may be relieved from the liability for the payment of tax, defined in section 4901(a)(7), imposed pursuant to applicable tax laws, defined in section 4901(a)(1), including any penalties and interest added to the tax, when that liability resulted from the failure to make a timely return or a payment and such failure was found by the board to be due to reasonable reliance on:

(1) Written advice given by the board under the conditions set forth in subdivision (b) below, or

(2) Written advice in the form of an annotation or legal ruling of counsel under the conditions set forth in subdivision (d) below; or

(3) Written advice given by the board in a prior audit ~~of that person~~ under the conditions set forth in subdivision (c) below. As used in this regulation, the term "prior audit" means any audit conducted prior to the current examination where the issue in question was examined.

Written advice from the board may only be relied upon by the person to whom it was originally issued or a legal or statutory successor to that person. Written advice from the board which was received during a prior audit of the person under the conditions set forth in subdivision (c) below, may be relied upon by the person audited or a person with shared accounting and common ownership with the audited person or by a legal or statutory successor to ~~that~~those persons.

The term "written advice" includes advice that was incorrect at the time it was issued as well as advice that was correct at the time it was issued, but, subsequent to issuance, was invalidated by a change in statutory or constitutional law, by a change in board regulations, or by a final decision of a court of competent jurisdiction. Prior written advice may not be relied upon subsequent to: (1) the effective date of a change in statutory or constitutional law and board regulations or the date of a final decision of a court of competent jurisdiction regardless that the board did not provide notice of such action; or (2) the person receiving a subsequent writing notifying the person that the advice was not valid at the time it was issued or was subsequently rendered invalid. As generally used in this regulation, the term "written advice" includes both written advice provided in a written communication under

The proposed amendments contained in this document may not be adopted. Any revisions that are adopted may differ from this text.

subdivision (b) below and written advice provided in a prior audit of the person under subdivision (c) below.

(b) ADVICE PROVIDED IN A WRITTEN COMMUNICATION. Advice from the board provided to the person in a written communication must have been in response to a specific written inquiry from the person seeking relief from liability, or from his or her representative. To be considered a specific written inquiry for purposes of this regulation, representatives must identify the specific person for whom the advice is requested. Such inquiry must have set forth and fully described the facts and circumstances of the activity or transactions for which the advice was requested.

(c) WRITTEN ADVICE PROVIDED IN A PRIOR AUDIT. Presentation of the person's books and records for examination by an auditor shall be deemed to be a written request for the audit report by the audited person and any person with shared accounting and common ownership with the audited person. If a prior audit report of the person requesting relief contains written evidence which demonstrates that the issue in question was examined, either in a sample or census (actual) review, such evidence will be considered "written advice from the board" for purposes of this regulation. A census, (actual) review, as opposed to a sample review, involves examination of 100% of the person's transactions pertaining to the issue in question. For written advice contained in a prior audit of the person to apply to the person's activity or transaction in question, the facts and conditions relating to the activity or transaction must not have changed from those which occurred during the period of operation in the prior audit. Audit comments, schedules, and other writings prepared by the board that become part of the audit work papers which reflect that the activity or transaction in question was properly reported and no amount was due are sufficient for a finding for relief from liability, unless it can be shown that the person seeking relief knew such advice was erroneous.

For the purposes of this section a person is considered to have shared accounting and common ownership if the person:

- (1) Is engaged in the same line of business as the audited person,
- (2) Has common verifiable controlling ownership of 50% or greater ownership or has a common majority shareholder with the audited person, and
- (3) Shares centralized accounting functions with the audited person. The audited person routinely follows the same business practices that are followed by each entity involved. Evidence that may indicate sharing of centralized accounting functions includes, but is not limited to, the following:

The proposed amendments contained in this document may not be adopted. Any revisions that are adopted may differ from this text.

- A. Quantifiable control of the accounting practices of each business by the common ownership or management that dictates office policies for accounting and tax return preparation.
- B. Shared accounting staff or an outside firm who maintains books and records and prepares returns for tax and fee programs administered under the Revenue and Taxation Code sections referenced under this regulation.
- C. Shared accounting policies and procedures.

These requirements must be established as existing during the periods for which relief is sought. A subsequent written notification stating that the advice was not valid at the time it was issued or was subsequently rendered invalid to any party with shared accounting and common ownership, including the audited party, serves as notification to all parties with shared accounting and common ownership, including the audited party, that the prior written advice may not be relied upon as of the notification date.

(d) ANNOTATIONS AND LEGAL RULINGS OF COUNSEL. Advice from the board provided to the person in the form of an annotation or legal ruling of counsel shall constitute written advice only if:

(1) The underlying legal ruling of counsel involving the fact pattern at issue is addressed to the person or to his or her representative under the conditions set forth in subdivision (b) above.

(2) The annotation or legal ruling of counsel is provided to the person or his or her representative by the board within the body of a written communication and involves the same fact pattern as that presented in the subject annotation or legal ruling of counsel.

(e) TRADE OR INDUSTRY ASSOCIATIONS. A trade or industry association requesting advice on behalf of its member(s) must identify and include the specific member name(s) for whom the advice is requested for relief from liability under this regulation.

History: Adopted February 5, 2003, effective May 28, 2003. The underscored citation indicates an electronic hyperlink to the cite.

The proposed amendments contained in this document may not be adopted. Any revisions that are adopted may differ from this text.