



**STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION  
STAFF LEGISLATIVE BILL ANALYSIS**

Date Amended:	<b>03/25/10</b>	Bill No:	<b><a href="#">SB 1239</a></b>
Tax:	<b>Sales and Use</b>	Author:	<b>Wyland</b>
Related Bills:	<b>AB 810 Caballero</b>	<b>SB 699 (Alquist)</b>	
	<b>AB 829 (Caballero)</b>	<b>SB 1053 (Runner)</b>	
	<b>AB 1719 (Harkey)</b>	<b>SBx6 8 (Dutton)</b>	
	<b>AB 1812 (Silva)</b>	<b>SBx6 18 (Steinberg)</b>	
	<b>AB 2280 (Miller)</b>	<b>SBx8 44 (Dutton)</b>	

***This analysis will only address the bill’s provisions which impact the Board.***

**BILL SUMMARY**

This bill would provide a state (General Fund only) sales and use tax exemption for purchases of qualifying tangible personal property by persons engaged in manufacturing, as defined.

**ANALYSIS**

**CURRENT LAW**

Under current law, business entities engaged in manufacturing and research and development that make purchases of equipment and supplies for use in the conduct of their manufacturing and related activities are required to pay tax on their purchases to the same extent as any other person either engaged in business in California or not so engaged. Current law does not provide special tax treatment for purchases of equipment used by these entities in their manufacturing and related activities.

The statewide sales and use tax rate (8.25%) imposed on taxable sales and purchases of tangible personal property is made up of the following components (additional transactions and use taxes (also known as district taxes) are levied by various local jurisdictions and are not reflected in this chart):

<b>Rate</b>	<b>Jurisdiction</b>	<b>Purpose/Authority</b>
5.00%	State (General Fund)	State general purposes (Revenue and Taxation Code (RTC) Sections 6051, 6051.3, 6201, and 6201.3)
1.00%	State (General Fund)	State general purposes (RTC Sections 6051.7 and 6201.7, operative 4/1/09 through 6/30/11)
0.25%	State (Fiscal Recovery Fund)	Repayment of the Economic Recovery Bonds (RTC Sections 6051.5 and 6201.5, operative 7/1/04)
0.50%	State (Local Revenue Fund)	Local governments to fund health and welfare programs (RTC Sections 6051.2 and 6201.2)
0.50%	State (Local Public Safety Fund)	Local governments to fund public safety services (Section 35, Article XIII, State Constitution)
1.00%	Local (City/County) 0.75% City and County 0.25% County	City and county general operations (RTC Section 7203.1, operative 7/1/04); Dedicated to county transportation purposes
8.25%	Total Statewide Rate	

***This staff analysis is provided to address various administrative, cost, revenue and policy issues; it is not to be construed to reflect or suggest the Board’s formal position.***

### PROPOSED LAW

This bill would add RTC Section 6377.1 to the Sales and Use Tax Law to provide a partial exemption (General Fund only) from the sales and use tax rate of 6% (5% on and after July 1, 2011) for the following purchases made by a “qualified person”:

- Tangible personal property to be used 50 percent or more in any stage of manufacturing, processing, refining, fabricating, or recycling of property (i.e., machinery, equipment belts, shafts, computers, software, pollution control equipment, buildings and foundations), as specified.
- Tangible personal property to be used 50 percent or more in research and development.
- Tangible personal property purchased for use by a contractor, as specified, for use in the performance of a construction contract for the qualified persons who will use the property as an integral part of any manufacturing, processing, refining, fabricating, or recycling process or as a research or storage facility in connection with the manufacturing process.

The bill would define a “qualified person” as any person engaged in manufacturing activities, as described in the North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes 3111 and 3399, or an affiliate of a qualified person, as defined.

“Fabricating,” “manufacturing,” “primarily,” “process,” “processing,” “refining,” “research and development,” are defined and the tangible personal property intended to be included or excluded from the proposed partial exemption are described.

The bill would specify that the proposed exemption would *not* include (1) any tangible personal property that is used primarily in administration, general management, or marketing, (2) consumables with a normal useful life of less than one year, except for fuels used in the manufacturing process, and (3) furniture, inventory, equipment used in the extraction process, or equipment used to store finished products that have completed the manufacturing process.

The proposed exemption shall not apply to any taxes levied pursuant to Sections 6501.2 and 6201.2 (Fiscal Recovery Fund), 6051.5 and 6201.5 (Local Revenue Fund), and pursuant to Section 35 of Article XIII of the California Constitution (Local Public Safety Fund). In addition, the bill specifies that the exemption shall not apply to any tax levied by a county, city, or district pursuant to the Bradley-Burns Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax Law or the Transactions and Use Tax Law (also known as district taxes).

As a tax levy, the bill would become effective immediately, but would become operative on January 1, 2011.

### BACKGROUND

For a ten-year period ending December 31, 2003, the law provided a partial (General Fund only) sales and use tax exemption for purchases of equipment and machinery by new manufacturers, and income and corporation tax credits for existing manufacturers' investments (MIC) in equipment. Manufacturers were defined in terms of specific federal “Standard Industrial Classification” (SIC) codes. The partial exemption applied to the state tax (but not the local tax) portion for sales and purchases of qualifying property, and the income tax credit was equal to six percent of the amount paid for qualified property placed in service in California. Qualified property was similar to the property described in this bill –depreciable equipment used primarily for manufacturing,

---

*This staff analysis is provided to address various administrative, cost, revenue and policy issues; it is not to be construed to reflect or suggest the Board's formal position.*

refining, processing, fabricating or recycling; for research and development; for maintenance, repair, measurement or testing of qualified property; and for pollution control meeting state or federal standards. Qualified property also included tangible personal property purchased by a contractor, as specified, for use in the performance of a construction contract for the qualified person who would use that property as an integral part of the manufacturing process, as described. Certain special purpose buildings were included as "qualified property," as this bill proposes. New manufacturers could either receive the benefit of the exemption, or claim the income tax credit. However, existing manufacturers could only receive the benefit of the income tax credit.

This sales and use tax exemption and income tax credit had a conditional sunset date. They were to sunset in any year following a year when manufacturing employment (as determined by the Employment Development Department) did not exceed January 1, 1994 manufacturing employment by more than 100,000. On January 1, 2003, manufacturing employment (less aerospace) did not exceed the 1994 employment number by more than 100,000 (it was less than the 1994 number by over 10,000), and therefore the MIC and partial sales tax exemption sunsetted at the end of 2003.

Since the expiration of the partial exemption of manufacturing equipment, numerous bills have been introduced to either reinstate or to expand or modify the exemption, but failed to pass. A sample of bills introduced during the last two Legislative Sessions include the following:

Bill No.	Session	Author	Proposed Exemption
AB 1152	2007-08	Niello	Qualifying tangible personal property by persons engaged in manufacturing and software production
AB 1206	2007-08	Smyth	Machinery and equipment used in research and development activities
AB 1681	2007-08	Houston	Qualified tangible personal property for use by qualified persons engaged in manufacturing, telecommunications, and electrical generation activities
AB 344	2005-06	Villines	Qualifying tangible personal property by qualified persons primarily engaged in manufacturing, telecommunications and electrical generation activities. Would apply to 25% of the sales or purchases for 2006, 50% for 2007, and 100% thereafter.
AB 1580	2005-06	Torrice	Qualifying tangible personal property by qualified persons primarily engaged manufacturing, construction contracting, software production, telecommunications, cable distribution, scientific research and development services, and wholesale distribution of recyclable materials
SB 552	2005-06	Alquist	Materials, supplies, machinery and equipment used by entities engaged in manufacturing, research and development, telecommunications, software production, and printing, and for semiconductor, biotechnology and pharmaceuticals clean rooms and equipment. Includes optional Bradley-Burns local and district tax exemption
SB 1291	2005-06	Alquist	Materials, supplies, machinery and equipment used by entities engaged in manufacturing, research and development, software production, and newspaper printing, and for semiconductor, biotechnology and pharmaceutical clean rooms and equipment

*This staff analysis is provided to address various administrative, cost, revenue and policy issues; it is not to be construed to reflect or suggest the Board's formal position.*

## COMMENTS

1. **Sponsor and purpose.** The author is sponsoring this bill in an effort to promote job growth and job retention in California's manufacturing industry.
2. **What types of entities do Codes 3111 to 3399 include?** Codes 3111 to 3399 include all establishments primarily engaged in manufacturing activities. This includes manufacturers in the aerospace sector, textiles, pharmaceuticals, printing, food, beverages, petroleum, plastics, and more.
3. **Administrative and technical concerns:**
  - In defining "qualified person," it is recommended that the bill require that the qualifying entity be *primarily* engaged in the activities described in the referenced codes. This is an important issue and one that generated many disputes when the Board administered Section 6377 previously.
  - Another issue relates to the proposed definitions for the types of property included and excluded from the proposed exemption. For example, on page 5, lines 22 and 38, the bill refers to the items having a *useful life* of one year or more (or less than one year). In order to lessen potential audit disputes, the bill should contain some mechanism for determining the useful life. Perhaps some reference to the provision in the California income tax laws for depreciating assets should be incorporated into the bill.
  - Subdivision (g) of proposed Section 6377 (page 7, line 11) provides for an exemption from tax for specified leases of qualified property and limits this exemption for a six-year period. This limitation is modeled after a provision in former Section 6377 that provided a state tax exemption solely to new manufacturers' leases of equipment. Since this bill would provide the exemption for all qualifying persons, it appears the limitation in subdivision (g) is unnecessary and should be stricken. Otherwise, long-term leases of qualifying property would not enjoy the same tax privileges that the bill would provide to actual purchases of the same property.

Board staff is available to work with the author's office to address these and other concerns that may be identified.

4. **Partial exemptions complicate administration of the tax.** Currently, most sales and use tax exemptions apply to the total applicable sales and use tax. However, there are currently five partial exemptions in California law, where only the state tax portion (6.25% General Fund (6%) and Fiscal Recovery Fund (0.25%)) of the state and local sales and use tax rate is exempted. These five partial tax exemptions include: 1) farm equipment and machinery, 2) diesel fuel used for farming and food processing, 3) teleproduction and postproduction equipment, 4) timber harvesting equipment and machinery, and 5) racehorse breeding stock. These partial tax exemptions are difficult for both retailers and the BOE. They complicate return preparation and return processing. And, errors on returns attributable to these partial exemptions occur frequently, which result in additional return processing workload for the Board.

This bill creates a new partial exemption category (since current law does not have any partial exemptions other than a 6.25% exemption, which effective July 1, 2011 is reduced to 5.25%). This would require a revision to the sales and use tax return and

---

*This staff analysis is provided to address various administrative, cost, revenue and policy issues; it is not to be construed to reflect or suggest the Board's formal position.*

result in a new, separate computation on the return. Some retailers would have to segregate in their records sales subject to the 6% exemption (reduced to 5% beginning July 1, 2011) from sales with a complete exemption (such as a sale for resale or a sale in interstate commerce), and sales that are fully taxable. This bill would add a new level of complexity, which would create a corresponding increase in errors in reporting the tax to the Board. This increase in errors would further complicate the Board's administration of the sales and use tax law and complicate reporting obligations of retailers.

5. **Related Legislation.** Last year's AB 829 (Caballero) and SB 699 (Alquist) contained similar provisions that would have provided a partial sales and use tax exemption on tangible personal property, including sustainable development equipment investments purchased by persons engaged in manufacturing, research and development, software publishing, and their affiliates, as specified, beginning on January 1, 2013. AB 829 died in the Assembly Appropriations Committee, while SB 699 died in the Senate Revenue and Taxation Committee.

Similar bills have been introduced this year:

- AB 810 (Caballero) is very similar to last year's AB 829 (Caballero).
- AB 1719 (Harkey) would provide a partial sales and use tax exemption (General Fund only) for purchases of qualifying tangible personal property by new trades or businesses engaged in manufacturing, as specified.
- AB 1812 (Silva) would provide a partial sales and use tax exemption (General Fund only), beginning on January 1, 2011, on tangible personal property purchased for use in manufacturing activities by manufacturers and software publishers and affiliates, as specified.
- AB 2280 (Miller) would provide a sales and use tax exemption on equipment purchased by any manufacturer for use in its manufacturing business in California.
- SBx6 8 and SBx8 44 (Dutton) and SB 1053 (Runner) would provide a partial sales and use tax exemption (General Fund only) on tangible personal property purchased for use in manufacturing activities or research and development by manufacturers and software publishers and affiliates, as specified.
- SBx6 18 (Steinberg) would provide a partial sales and use tax exemption (General Fund only) on tangible personal property purchased for use in manufacturing activities by manufacturers and software publishers, as specified. The bill also contains state corporate income tax provisions.

## **COST ESTIMATE**

Because of the *new* partial exemption, the Board would incur administrative costs attributable to programming, return revisions and return processing. In addition, the Board would incur costs to notify affected retailers, prepare a special publication and exemption certificate, audit claimed exemptions, and answer inquires from the public and taxpayers. An estimate of these costs is pending.

**REVENUE ESTIMATE****BACKGROUND, METHODOLOGY, AND ASSUMPTIONS**

The 2008 Annual Survey of Manufactures (ASM), a Census publication, reported manufacturing expenditures or purchases for California; the amount subject to the proposed exemption was \$21.7 billion. The following is a breakdown of expenditures:

Capital Expenditures NAICS 3111 to 3399 – California Estimate 2008*(in billions)*

Capital Expenditures <sup>1</sup> Structure (new & used)	Capital Expenditures Machine & Equipment (new & used)	Purchased Fuels	Total Expenditures
\$1.6	\$15.3	\$4.8	\$21.7

As a consequence of the downturn in the economy by 2011, capital investments are expected to be down by 11.5% from the 2008 totals and then rebound upward by 4.5% in 2012. Therefore, we estimate that total expenditures or purchases subject to the proposed exemption to be \$19.2 billion in 2011 and \$20 billion in 2012.

**REVENUE SUMMARY**

This bill provides a state sales and use tax exemption (General Fund only). Effective April 1, 2009, the state sales and use tax rate was increased by 1%, from 5% to 6%. The 1% sales and use tax rate increase will expire on June 30, 2011; consequently, the state sales and use tax rate will revert to 5%. With this tax rate change in 2011, and given that this bill would begin on or after January 1, 2011, the discussion below provides the exemption impact:

FY 2010-11 (January through June 2011)

The half year revenue loss from exempting tangible personal property purchased by manufacturers from the state sales and use tax (6%) amounts to \$0.6 billion ( $(\$19.2 \text{ billion} \times 6\%) \times 50\% = \$0.6 \text{ billion}$ ).

FY 2011-12

The full year revenue loss from exempting tangible personal property purchased by manufacturers from the state sales and use tax (5%) amounts to \$1 billion ( $\$20 \text{ billion} \times 5\% = \$1 \text{ billion}$ ).

Analysis prepared by:	Debra Waltz	(916) 324-1890	05/07/10
Revenue estimate by:	Bill Benson	(916) 445-0840	
Contact:	Margaret S. Shedd	(916) 322-2376	

ls

1239-1dw.doc

<sup>1</sup> Building and other structures expenditure was \$3.2 billion. We assume that about half of the expenditures would amount to labor charges for installation that is exempt.

***This staff analysis is provided to address various administrative, cost, revenue and policy issues; it is not to be construed to reflect or suggest the Board's formal position.***