STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION PROPERTY TAX DEPARTMENT PO BOX 942879, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 94279-0064 1-916-274-3350 • FAX 1-916-285-0134 www.boe.ca.gov



TED GAINES First District, Sacramento

MALIA M. COHEN Second District, San Francisco

ANTONIO VAZQUEZ, CHAIRMAN Third District, Santa Monica

MIKE SCHAEFER, VICE CHAIR Fourth District, San Diego

> BETTY T. YEE State Controller

BRENDA FLEMING Executive Director No. 2021/029

July 21, 2021

TO COUNTY ASSESSORS:

COUNTY LOW-VALUE ORDINANCES – POSSESSORY INTERESTS

Effective July 12, 2019, <u>Assembly Bill 608</u> (Stats. 2019, ch. 92) amended the low-value exemption under Revenue and Taxation Code section 155.20 by expanding the \$50,000 limit for possessory interests to include *all* possessory interests, rather than only those with a temporary and transitory use in a publicly owned fairground, fairground facility, convention facility, or cultural facility. Those changes to section 155.20 are described in Letter To Assessors No. 2019/023, and apply to lien dates January 1, 2020 through January 1, 2024. Beginning with the January 1, 2025 lien date, the \$50,000 limit will revert back to apply to *only* possessory interests with a temporary and transitory use in a publicly owned fairground, fairground, fairground facility, convention facility, or cultural facility.

In addition to the amendments to section 155.20, Section 2 of AB 608 states that the specific goals, purposes, and objectives of expanding the low-value exemption is to provide county governments with the authority to increase the amount of low-value property tax exemption for possessory interests allowed under their ordinances up to the level that their cost-benefit analysis indicates in order to further the goal of cost-effective property tax administration. As a way of determining whether the expanded low-value exemption is meeting, failing to meet, or exceeding the specific goals, expectations, and objectives, AB 608 requires each County Assessor to report to the State Board of Equalization (BOE) whether each county has increased their low-value exemption for possessory interests and by what amount.

The BOE requested information from County Assessors regarding each county's low-value ordinance. The responses to the BOE's request are compiled in the attached listing, which includes whether a county has a low-value ordinance, if that ordinance was changed as a result of AB 608, and the resulting changes.

If you have any questions regarding the low-value exemption, please contact the County-Assessed Properties Division at 1-916-274-3350.

Sincerely,

/s/ David Yeung

David Yeung Deputy Director Property Tax Department

COUNTY ORDINANCE LOW-VALUE EXEMPTION CHANGES

| County | Does your county have a low-value ordinance? | Has your low-value ordinance been amended to include all possessory interests (as allowed by AB 608)? | What was the low-value ordinance limitation for possessory interests prior to the amendment? | What is the low-value ordinance for possessory interests after the amendment? |
|--------------|---|---|--|--|
| Alameda | Yes | No | | |
| Alpine | No | | | |
| Amador | No | | | |
| Butte | Yes | Yes | \$2,000 | \$50,000 |
| Calaveras | Yes | No | | |
| Colusa | No | No | | |
| Contra Costa | No | No | | |
| Del Norte | Yes | No | | |
| El Dorado | Yes | No | | |
| Fresno | Yes | No | | |
| Glenn | Yes | No | | |
| Humboldt | Yes | Yes | N/A | \$15,000 |
| Imperial | Yes | No | | |
| Inyo | Yes | No | | |
| Kern | Yes | No | | |
| Kings | No | | | |
| Lake | No | | | |
| Lassen | Yes | No | | |
| Los Angeles | Yes | No | | |
| Madera | Yes | No | | |
| Marin | No | | | |
| Mariposa | Yes | No | | |
| Mendocino | Yes | No | | |
| Merced | Yes | No | | |
| Modoc | Yes | No | | |
| Mono | Yes | No | | |
| Monterey | No | | | |
| Napa | Yes | No | | |
| Nevada | Yes | No | | |
| Orange | Yes | No | | |
| Placer | Yes | No | | |
| Plumas | Yes | No | | |
| Riverside | Yes | No | | |
| Sacramento | Yes | No | | |

COUNTY ORDINANCE LOW-VALUE EXEMPTION CHANGES

| County | Does your county have a low-value ordinance? | Has your low-value ordinance been amended to include all possessory interests (as allowed by AB 608)? | What was the low-value ordinance limitation for possessory interests prior to the amendment? | What is the low-value ordinance for possessory interests after the amendment? |
|-----------------|---|---|--|--|
| San Benito | Yes | No | | |
| San Bernardino | Yes | No | N/A | N/A |
| San Diego | Yes | No | | |
| San Francisco | Yes | No | | |
| San Joaquin | Yes | Yes | \$5,000 | \$50,000 |
| San Luis Obispo | Yes | Yes | \$5,000 | \$50,000 |
| San Mateo | Yes | No | | |
| Santa Barbara | Yes | No | | |
| Santa Clara | Yes | No | | |
| Santa Cruz | Yes | No | | |
| Shasta | Yes | No | | |
| Sierra | No | | | |
| Siskiyou | Yes | No | | |
| Solano | Yes | No | | |
| Sonoma | Yes | No | | |
| Stanislaus | Yes | No | | |
| Sutter | Yes | Yes | \$5,000 | \$50,000 for temporary fairground use |
| Tehama | No | | | |
| Trinity | Yes | No | | |
| Tulare | Yes | No | | |
| Tuolumne | Yes | Yes | \$2,000 | \$5,000 |
| Ventura | Yes | No | | |
| Yolo | Yes | No | | |
| Yuba | Yes | No | | |