

SHASTA COUNTY ASSESSMENT SAMPLING SURVEY

MARCH 2023

CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

TED GAINES, SACRAMENTO

SALLY J. LIEBER, SAN FRANCISCO

ANTONIO VAZQUEZ, SANTA MONICA

MIKE SCHAEFER, SAN DIEGO

MALIA M. COHEN

FIRST DISTRICT

SECOND DISTRICT

THIRD DISTRICT

FOURTH DISTRICT

STATE CONTROLLER

YVETTE M. STOWERS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR





STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
PROPERTY TAX DEPARTMENT
PO BOX 942879, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 94279-0064
1-916-274-3350 • FAX 1-916-285-0134
www.boe.ca.gov

TED GAINES
First District, Sacramento

SALLY J. LIEBER
Second District, San Francisco

ANTONIO VAZQUEZ
Third District, Santa Monica

MIKE SCHAEFER
Fourth District, San Diego

MALIA M. COHEN
State Controller

YVETTE M. STOWERS
Executive Director

March 28, 2023

No. 2023/014

TO COUNTY ASSESSORS:

**SHASTA COUNTY
ASSESSMENT SAMPLING SURVEY**

A copy of the Shasta County Assessment Sampling Survey is enclosed for your information. The State Board of Equalization (BOE) completed this sampling survey in accordance with section 15640 of the Government Code and section 75.60 of the Revenue and Taxation Code for purposes of certifying the eligibility of the county to continue to recover costs associated with administering supplemental assessments.

The Shasta County Assessment Sampling Survey Report is a quantitative evaluation of Shasta County's 2020-21 assessment roll. The sampling indicates Shasta County's 2020-21 assessment roll meets the requirements for assessment quality established by section 75.60.

We thank the Honorable Leslie Morgan, Shasta County Assessor-Recorder, and staff for their courtesy and cooperation.

Sincerely,

/s/ David Yeung

David Yeung
Deputy Director
Property Tax Department

DY:dcl
Enclosure

INTRODUCTION

Although county government has the primary responsibility for local property tax assessment, the State has both a public policy interest and a financial interest in promoting fair and equitable assessments throughout California. The public policy interest arises from the impact of property taxes on taxpayers and the inherently subjective nature of the assessment process. The financial interest derives from state law that annually guarantees California schools a minimum amount of funding; to the extent that property tax revenues fall short of providing this minimum amount of funding, the State must make up the difference from the general fund.

The assessment practices survey program is one of the State's major efforts to address these interests and to promote uniformity, fairness, equity, and integrity in the property tax assessment process. Under this program, the State Board of Equalization (BOE) periodically reviews the practices and procedures (surveys) and/or a sampling of the county's assessment roll (samples) of specified County Assessors' offices. This report reflects the BOE's findings in its current sample of the Shasta County Assessor's Office.

SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

Pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code¹ section 75.60, the BOE determines through the survey program whether a county assessment roll meets the standards for purposes of certifying the eligibility of the county to continue to recover costs associated with administering supplemental assessments. Such certification is obtained either by receiving satisfactory statistical results from a sampling of the county's assessment roll or by a determination by the survey team – based on objective standards defined in regulation – that there are no significant assessment problems in the county.

The sampling of the county's assessment roll determines the average level (ratio) of assessment for all properties and the disparity among assessments within the sample. The ideal assessment ratio is 100 percent, and the minimum acceptable ratio is 95 percent. Disparity among assessments is measured by the sum of absolute differences found in the sample; the ideal sum of absolute differences is 0 percent and the maximum acceptable number is 7.5 percent. If the assessment roll meets the minimum standards for ratio and disparity, the county is eligible to continue to recover the administrative cost of processing supplemental assessments.²

¹ Unless otherwise stated, all statutory references are to the California Revenue and Taxation Code and all rule references are to sections of California Code of Regulations, Title 18, Public Revenues.

² For a detailed description of the scope of this program, please refer to the document entitled *Assessment Sampling Program*, which is available on the BOE's website at <http://www.boe.ca.gov/Assessors/pdf/assessmentsamplingprogram.pdf>.

SHASTA COUNTY SAMPLING RESULTS

The BOE's County-Assessed Properties Division sampled Shasta County's 2020-21 assessment roll, which contained 96,489 assessments with an enrolled taxable value of approximately \$19.7 billion. The composition of the 2020-21 assessment roll by property type is as follows:

PROPERTY TYPE	NUMBER OF ASSESSMENTS	ENROLLED VALUE
Residential	53,494	\$9,734,293,481
Rural	21,965	\$4,791,538,362
Commercial/Industrial	10,563	\$4,962,559,711
All Other	10,467	\$205,437,197
Total	96,489	\$19,693,828,751

The Shasta County assessment roll meets the requirements for assessment quality established by section 75.60. Our sample of the 2020-21 assessment roll indicated an average assessment ratio of 99.76 percent and the sum of the absolute differences from the required assessment level was 0.44 percent. Accordingly, the BOE certifies that Shasta County is eligible to receive reimbursement of costs associated with administering supplemental assessments.

COUNTY-ASSESSED PROPERTIES DIVISION SAMPLING GROUP

Shasta County

Deputy Director:

David Yeung

Survey Program Manager:

Holly Cooper

Principal Property Appraiser

Survey Supervisor:

Christine Bradley

Supervising Property Appraiser

Sample Team:

James McCarthy

Senior Petroleum & Mining Appraisal Engineer

Amanda Lopez

Senior Specialist Property Appraiser

Artemis Oestreich

Senior Specialist Property Appraiser

Jeff Arthur

Senior Specialist Property Auditor Appraiser

Alexander B. Fries

Senior Specialist Property Auditor Appraiser

Nicole Grady

Associate Property Appraiser

Chris Howell

Associate Property Appraiser

Vida McIsaac

Associate Property Appraiser

Kat Santora

Associate Property Appraiser

Hanju Lee

Assistant Property Appraiser

Lydia Vannarattanarat

Junior Property Appraiser

Dany Lunetta

Associate Government Program Analyst